UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON DC 20549

| | WASHING | TON, DC 20549 |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| | Fo | rm 10-K |
| (Mark One) | · | |
| x | ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT T | O SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 |
| | For the fiscal year e | nded September 30, 2013 or |
| | TRANSITION REPORT PURSUAN 1934 | T TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF |
| | For the transition | n period from to |
| | Commission fi | le number: 1-35040 |
| | MEDLEY CAPIT | AL CORPORATION |
| | (Exact Name of Registra | nt as Specified in its Charter) |
| | Delaware Other Jurisdiction of tion or Organization) | 27-4576073 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.) |
| | Brd Floor, New York, NY 10152 incipal Executive Offices) | 10152 (Zip Code) |
| | (212) | 759-0777 |
| | (Registrant's Telephone I | Number, Including Area Code) |
| | | |
| | Securities registered pursu | ant to Section 12(b) of the Act: |
| Ti | tle of Each Class | Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered |
| 7.12 | k, par value \$0.001 per share 5% Notes due 2019 5% Notes due 2023 | The New York Stock Exchange The New York Stock Exchange The New York Stock Exchange |
| | Securities registered pursuan | to Section 12(g) of the Act: None |
| Indicate by check mark if th | ne registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer | as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes \square No \boxtimes |
| Indicate by check mark if th | ne registrant is not required to file reports pur | suant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act. Yes \square No \boxtimes |
| | (or for such shorter period that the registrant | quired to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing |
| | | n 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the nts incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to |
| required to be submitted and pos | | ly and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter No \Box |
| | | an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See ting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one): |
| Large accelerated filer \square | Accelerated filer ⊠ Non-accelerated fil | er \square Smaller reporting company \square |
| Indicate by check mark who | ether the registrant is a shell company (as def | ined in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Yes \square No \boxtimes |

The aggregate market value of the Registrant's common stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant as of March 28, 2013 was 448,666,157. The Registrant had 40,152,904 shares of common stock, \$0.001 par value, outstanding as of December 6, 2013.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

| Portions of the registrant's proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A in connection with the |
|---|
| registrant's 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which will be filed subsequent to the date hereof, are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Form |
| 10-K. Such proxy statement will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission not later than 120 days following the end of the Registrant's fiscal |
| year ended September 30, 2013. |

MEDLEY CAPITAL CORPORATION TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|------|
| PART I | |
| Item 1. Business | 1 |
| Item 1A. Risk Factors | 29 |
| Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments | 51 |
| Item 2. Properties | 51 |
| Item 3. Legal Proceedings | 51 |
| Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures | 51 |
| PART II | 51 |
| Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities | 51 |
| Item 6. Selected Financial Data | 53 |
| Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 54 |
| Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk | 66 |
| Item 8. Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplementary Data | 67 |
| Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure | 68 |
| Item 9A. Controls and Procedures | 68 |
| Item 9B. Other Information | 68 |
| PART III | 69 |
| Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance | 69 |
| Item 11. Executive Compensation | 69 |
| Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters | 69 |
| Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence | 69 |
| Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services | 69 |
| PART IV | 70 |
| Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules | 70 |
| Signatures | 72 |

PART I

In this annual report on Form 10-K, except as otherwise indicated, the terms:

- · "we", "us", "our", "Medley Capital" and the "Company" refer to Medley Capital Corporation, a Delaware corporation, and its subsidiaries for the periods after our consummation of the formation transaction and to Medley Capital BDC LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, for the periods prior to our consummation of the formation transaction described elsewhere in this Form 10-K;
- · "MCC Advisors" and the "Adviser" refer to MCC Advisors LLC, our investment adviser; and
- · "Medley" refers, collectively, to the activities and operations of Medley Capital LLC, Medley LLC, MCC Advisors, associated investment funds and their respective affiliates.

Item 1. Business

GENERAL

Medley Capital Corporation is a non-diversified closed end management investment company incorporated in Delaware that has elected to be treated and is regulated as a business development company ("BDC") under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "1940 Act"). We completed our initial public offering ("IPO") and commenced operations on January 20, 2011. The Company has elected and qualified to be treated for U.S. federal income tax purposes as a regulated investment company ("RIC") under subchapter M of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), commencing with our first taxable year as a corporation, and we intend to operate in a manner so as to maintain our RIC tax treatment. As a RIC, we generally will not have to pay corporate-level federal income taxes on any net ordinary income or capital gains that we distribute to our stockholders if we meet certain source-of-income, distribution and asset diversification requirements. We are externally managed and advised by our investment adviser, MCC Advisors, pursuant to an investment management agreement.

The Company's investment objective is to generate current income and capital appreciation by lending directly to privately-held middle market companies to help these companies fund acquisitions, growth initiatives and working capital requirements or in connection with recapitalizations or other refinancing transactions. The Company's investment portfolio generally consists of senior secured first lien loans and senior secured second lien loans. In connection with many of our investments, we receive warrants or other equity participation features which we believe will increase the total investment returns.

Our investment activities are managed by our investment adviser, MCC Advisors. MCC Advisors is an affiliate of Medley and has offices in New York and San Francisco. MCC Advisors is responsible for sourcing investment opportunities, conducting industry research, performing diligence on potential investments, structuring our investments and monitoring our portfolio companies on an ongoing basis. MCC Advisors' team draws on its expertise in lending to predominantly privately-held borrowers in a range of sectors, including industrials, transportation, energy and natural resources, financials and real estate. In addition, MCC Advisors seeks to diversify our portfolio of loans by company type, asset type, transaction size, industry and geography.

The members of our management, Brook Taube, Seth Taube and Andrew Fentress, also serve as the principals of MCC Advisors ("Principals") and have worked together for over ten years, during which time they have focused on implementing their private debt strategy. We believe that MCC Advisors' disciplined and consistent approach to origination, portfolio construction and risk management should allow it to achieve compelling risk-adjusted returns for Medley Capital.

MCC Advisors also serves as our administrator and provides us with office space, equipment and other office services. The responsibilities of our administrator include overseeing our financial records, preparing reports to our stockholders and reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") and generally monitoring the payment of our expenses and the performance of administrative and professional services rendered to us by others.

As a BDC, we are required to comply with regulatory requirements, including limitations on our use of debt. We are permitted to, and expect to continue to, finance our investments through borrowings. However, as a BDC, we are only generally allowed to borrow amounts such that our asset coverage, as defined in the 1940 Act, equals at least 200% after such borrowing. The amount of leverage that we employ will depend on our assessment of market conditions and other factors at the time of any proposed borrowing.

On November 25, 2013, we have received an amended order from the SEC that expanded our ability to negotiate the terms of co-investment transactions with other funds managed by MCC Advisors or its affiliates, including Sierra Income Corporation, a non-traded business development company, subject to the conditions included therein. In situations where co-investment with other funds managed by MCC Advisors or its affiliates is not permitted or appropriate, such as when there is an opportunity to invest in different securities of the same issuer or where the different investments could be expected to result in a conflict between our interests and those of other MCC Advisors clients, MCC Advisors will need to decide which client will proceed with the investment. MCC Advisors will make these determinations based on its policies and procedures, which generally require that such opportunities be offered to eligible accounts on an alternating basis that will be fair and equitable over time. Moreover, except in certain circumstances, we will be unable to invest in any issuer in which a fund managed by MCC Advisors or its affiliates has previously invested. Similar restrictions limit our ability to transact business with our officers or directors or their affiliates.

Under the terms of the relief permitting us to co-invest with other funds managed by MCC Advisors or its affiliates, a "required majority" (as defined in Section 57(o) of the 1940 Act) of our independent directors must make certain conclusions in connection with a co-investment transaction, including that (1) the terms of the proposed transaction, including the consideration to be paid, are reasonable and fair to us and our stockholders and do not involve overreaching of us or our stockholders on the part of any person concerned and (2) the transaction is consistent with the interests of our stockholders and is consistent with our investment objectives and strategies.

On March 26, 2013, our wholly-owned subsidiary, Medley SBIC LP ("SBIC LP"), a Delaware limited partnership, received a license from Small Business Administration ("SBA") to operate as a Small Business Investment Company ("SBIC") under Section 301(c) of the Small Business Investment Company Act of 1958.

The SBIC license allows SBIC LP to obtain leverage by issuing SBA-guaranteed debentures, subject to the issuance of a capital commitment by the SBA and other customary procedures. SBA-guaranteed debentures are non-recourse, interest only debentures with interest payable semi-annually and have a ten year maturity. The principal amount of SBA-guaranteed debentures is not required to be paid prior to maturity but may be prepaid at any time without penalty. The interest rate of SBA-guaranteed debentures is fixed on a semi-annual basis at a market-driven spread over U.S. Treasury Notes with 10-year maturities. The SBA, as a creditor, will have a superior claim to SBIC LP's assets over our stockholders in the event we liquidate SBIC LP or the SBA exercises its remedies under the SBA-guaranteed debentures issued by SBIC LP upon an event of default.

SBA regulations currently limit the amount that SBIC LP may borrow to a maximum of \$150 million when it has at least \$75 million in regulatory capital, receives a capital commitment from the SBA and has been through an examination by the SBA subsequent to licensing.

On November 16, 2012, we obtained exemptive relief from the SEC to permit us to exclude the debt of SBIC LP guaranteed by the SBA from our 200% asset coverage test under the 1940 Act. The exemptive relief provides us with increased flexibility under the 200% asset coverage test by permitting us to borrow, through SBIC LP, up to \$150 million more than we would otherwise be able to absent the receipt of this exemptive relief.

Our principal executive office is located at 375 Park Avenue, 33rd Floor, New York 10152 and our telephone number is (212) 759-0777.

Formation Transaction

Medley Capital BDC LLC (the "LLC"), a Delaware limited liability company, was formed on April 23, 2010.

Prior to the pricing of our IPO, Medley Opportunity Fund LP ("MOF LP"), a Delaware limited partnership, and Medley Opportunity Fund, Ltd. ("MOF LTD"), a Cayman Islands exempted limited liability company, transferred all of their respective interests in six loan participations in secured loans to middle market companies with a combined fair value, plus payment-in-kind interest and accrued interest thereon, of approximately \$84.95 million (the "Loan Assets") to MOF I BDC LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("MOF I BDC") in exchange for membership interests in MOF I BDC. As a result, MOF LTD owned approximately 90% of the outstanding MOF I BDC membership interests and MOF LP owned approximately 10% of the outstanding MOF I BDC membership interests. On January 18, 2011, each of MOF LTD and MOF LP contributed their respective MOF I BDC membership interests to the LLC in exchange for LLC membership interests. As a result, MOF I BDC became a wholly-owned subsidiary of the LLC.

On January 18, 2011, the LLC converted into Medley Capital Corporation, a Delaware corporation. As a result, MOF LTD and MOF LP's LLC membership interests were exchanged for 5,759,356 shares of the Company's common stock at \$14.75 per share. On January 20, 2011, the Company filed an election to be regulated as a BDC under the 1940 Act.

On January 20, 2011, we priced our IPO and sold 11,111,112 shares of common stock at \$12.00 per share. On February 24, 2011, an additional 450,000 shares of our common stock were issued at a price of \$12.00 per share pursuant to the partial exercise of the underwriters' over-allotment option. Net of underwriting fees and estimated offering costs, the Company raised a total of approximately \$129.6 million. Our shares began trading on January 20, 2011 on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "MCC."

Investment Process Overview

We view our investment process as consisting of three distinct phases described below:

Sourcing and Origination MCC Advisors sources investment opportunities through access to a network of contacts developed in the financial services and related industries by Medley. It is the Advisers responsibility to identify specific opportunities, to refine opportunities through rigorous due diligence of the underlying facts and circumstances while remaining flexible and responsive to client's needs. With a total of 30 investment professionals in the New York and San Francisco offices involved in sourcing and origination for MCC Advisors, each investment professional is able to maintain long-standing relationships and responsibility for a specified market. These origination efforts attract hundreds of proposals quarterly from lower middle market and middle market companies.

An investment pipeline is maintained to manage all prospective investment opportunities and is reviewed weekly by the Investment Committee of MCC Advisors ("Investment Committee"). The purpose of the investment pipeline, which is comprised of all prospective investment opportunities at various stages of due diligence and approval, is to evaluate, monitor and approve all of our investments, subject to the oversight of our Investment Committee.

Credit Evaluation We utilize a systematic, consistent approach to credit evaluation developed by Medley, with a particular focus on determining the value of a business in a downside scenario. The key criteria that we consider and attributes that we seek include: (i) strong and resilient underlying business fundamentals; (ii) a substantial equity cushion in the form of capital ranking junior in the right of payment to our investment; (iii) sophisticated management teams with a minimum operating history of two years; (iv) a conclusion that overall downside risk is manageable; (v) asset-backed companies that provide collateral support in the form of accounts receivable, inventory, machinery, equipment, real estate, IP and other assets; and (vi) (absent a requirement for future financing beyond the proposed commitment.) The first review of an opportunity is conducted using the above-mentioned analysis to determine if the opportunity meets MCC Advisors, general investment criteria. The next three reviews performed by the Investment Committee include the following: (1) an early read memo, (2) Investment Committee update, and (3) Investment Committee approval memo. MCC Advisors maintains a rigorous in-house due diligence process. Prior to making each investment, MCC Advisors subjects each potential portfolio company to an extensive credit review process, including analysis of market and operational dynamics as well as both historical and projected financial information. Areas of additional focus include management or sponsor experience, industry and competitive dynamics, and tangible asset values. Background checks and tax compliance checks are required on all portfolio company management teams and influential operators.

Our due diligence process typically entails:

- · negotiation and execution of a term sheet;
- · on-site visits;
- · interviews with management, employees, customers and vendors;
- · review of loan documents and material contracts, as applicable;
- · obtaining background checks on all principals/partners/founders;
- · completing customer and supplier calls;
- · review tax and accounting issues related to a contemplated capital structure;
- · developing a financial model with sensitivity analysis that includes a management case, expected case and downside case;
- · receiving third party reports such as environmental, appraisal and consulting reports, as applicable.

Monitoring. MCC Advisors views active portfolio monitoring as a vital part of our investment process. MCC Advisors utilizes a proprietary portfolio monitoring system, Asset Management System ("AMS"), which maintains a centralized, dynamic electronic reporting system which houses, organizes and archives all portfolio data by investment. This is the primary system that tracks all changes to investment terms and conditions. AMS produces a report for each investment within the portfolio by summarizing the investment's general information, terms and structure, financial performance, covenant package, history of events and call notes. Each month, the previous month reports are archived and an updated report is created. This feature enables MCC Advisors to track the history of every investment, while maintaining access to the most recent reporting information available, ensuring accurate reporting of the investment.

MCC Advisors will typically require portfolio companies to adhere to certain affirmative covenants requiring the following reports:

monthly financial statements · annual audits and management letters

monthly covenant certificates · quarterly industry updates

· monthly bank statements · quarterly backlog/pipeline reports

· annual insurance certificates · annual budgets and forecasts.

MCC Advisors holds quarterly portfolio reviews where the Investment Committee reviews each transaction in detail and reassesses the risk rating presently assigned.

Rating Criteria: In addition to external risk management research and internal monitoring tools, we use an investment rating system to characterize and monitor the credit profile and our expected level of returns on each investment in our portfolio. We use a five-level numeric rating scale. The following is a description of the conditions associated with each investment rating:

| Credit Rating | Definition |
|------------------|--|
| 1 | Investments that are performing above expectations. |
| 2 | Investments that are performing within expectations, with risks that are neutral or favorable compared to risks at the time of origination. |
| | All new loans are rated '2'. |
| 3 | Investments that are performing below expectations and that require closer monitoring, but where no loss of interest, dividend or principal is expected. |
| | Companies rated '3' may be out of compliance with financial covenants, however, loan payments are generally not past due. |

4 Investments that are performing below expectations and for which risk has increased materially since origination.

Some loss of interest or dividend is expected but no loss of principal.

In addition to the borrower being generally out of compliance with debt covenants, loan payments may be past due (but generally not more than 180 days past due).

5 Investments that are performing substantially below expectations and whose risks have increased substantially since origination.

Most or all of the debt covenants are out of compliance and payments are substantially delinquent.

Some loss of principal is expected.

Investment Committee

The purpose of the Investment Committee, which is comprised of Brook Taube, Seth Taube and Andrew Fentress, is to evaluate and approve all of our investments. The Investment Committee process is intended to bring the diverse experience and perspectives of the committee's members to the analysis and consideration of each investment. The Investment Committee serves to provide investment consistency and adherence to our core investment philosophy and policies. The Investment Committee also determines appropriate investment sizing and suggests ongoing monitoring requirements.

In addition to reviewing investments, Investment Committee meetings serve as a forum to discuss credit views and outlooks. Potential transactions and deal flow are reviewed on a regular basis. Members of the investment team are encouraged to share information and views on credits with the Investment Committee early in their analysis. We believe this process improves the quality of the analysis and assists the investment team members to work more efficiently.

Each transaction is presented to the Investment Committee in a formal written report. All of our new investments and the exit or sale of an existing investment must be approved unanimously by the Investment Committee.

Investment Structure

Once we have determined that a prospective portfolio company is suitable for investment, we work with the management of that company and its other capital providers to structure an investment. We negotiate among these parties to agree on how our investment is expected to perform relative to the other capital in the portfolio company's capital structure.

We structure our investments, which typically have maturities of three to seven years, as follows:

Senior Secured First Lien Term Loans We structure these investments as senior secured loans. We obtain security interests in the assets of the portfolio companies that serve as collateral in support of the repayment of such loans. This collateral generally takes the form of first-priority liens on the assets of the portfolio company borrower. Our senior secured loans may provide for amortization of principal with the majority of the amortization due at maturity.

Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loans We structure these investments as junior, secured loans. We obtain security interests in the assets of these portfolio companies that serves as collateral in support of the repayment of such loans. This collateral generally takes the form of second-priority liens on the assets of a portfolio company. These loans typically provide for amortization of principal in the initial years of the loans, with the majority of the amortization due at maturity.

Senior Secured Notes We structure these investments as senior secured loans. We obtain security interests in the assets of these portfolio companies that serve as collateral in support of the repayment of such loans. This collateral generally takes the form of priority liens on the assets of a portfolio company. These loans typically have interest-only payments (often representing a combination of cash pay and payment-in-kind, or PIK interest), with amortization of principal due at maturity. PIK interest represents contractually deferred interest added to the loan balance that is generally due at the end of the loan term and recorded as interest income on an accrual basis to the extent such amounts are expected to be collected.

Warrants and Minority Equity Securities In some cases, we may also receive nominally priced warrants or options to buy a minority equity interest in the portfolio company in connection with a debt investment. As a result, as a portfolio company appreciates in value, we may achieve additional investment return from this equity interest. We may structure such warrants to include provisions protecting our rights as a minority-interest holder, as well as a "put," or right to sell such securities back to the issuer, upon the occurrence of specified events. In many cases, we may also seek to obtain registration rights in connection with these equity interests, which may include demand and "piggyback" registration rights.

Unitranche Loans We structure our unitranche loans, which combine the characteristics of traditional senior secured first lien term loans and subordinated notes as senior secured loans. We obtain security interests in the assets of these portfolio companies that serve as collateral in support of the repayment of these loans. This collateral generally takes the form of first-priority liens on the assets of a portfolio company. Unitranche loans typically provide for amortization of principal in the initial years of the loans, with the majority of the amortization due at maturity.

Subordinated Notes We structure these investments as unsecured, subordinated loans that provide for relatively high, fixed interest rates that provide us with significant current interest income. These loans typically have interest-only payments (often representing a combination of cash pay and payment-in-kind, or PIK interest), with amortization of principal due at maturity. Subordinated notes generally allow the borrower to make a large lump sum payment of principal at the end of the loan term, and there is a risk of loss if the borrower is unable to pay the lump sum or refinance the amount owed at maturity. Subordinated notes are generally more volatile than secured loans and may involve a greater risk of loss of principal. Subordinated notes often include a PIK feature, which effectively operates as negative amortization of loan principal.

We tailor the terms of each investment to the facts and circumstances of the transaction and the prospective portfolio company, negotiating a structure that protects our rights and manages our risk while creating incentives for the portfolio company to achieve its business plan and improve its operating results. We seek to limit the downside potential of our investments by:

- · selecting investments that we believe have a low probability of loss of principal;
- · requiring a total return on our investments (including both interest and potential equity appreciation) that we believe will compensate us appropriately for credit risk; and
- negotiating covenants in connection with our investments that afford our portfolio companies as much flexibility in managing their businesses as
 possible, consistent with the preservation of our capital. Such restrictions may include affirmative and negative covenants, default penalties, lien
 protection, change of control provisions and board rights, including either observation or rights to a seat on the board of directors under some
 circumstances.

We expect to hold most of our investments to maturity or repayment, but we may realize or sell some of our investments earlier if a liquidity event occurs, such as a sale or recapitalization transaction, or the worsening of the credit quality of the portfolio company.

Managerial Assistance

As a BDC, we offer, and must provide upon request, managerial assistance to certain of our portfolio companies. This assistance could involve, among other things, monitoring the operations of our portfolio companies, participating in board and management meetings, consulting with and advising officers of portfolio companies and providing other organizational and financial guidance. MCC Advisors provides such managerial assistance on our behalf to portfolio companies that request this assistance. We may receive fees for these services and will reimburse MCC Advisors, as our administrator, for its allocated costs in providing such assistance, subject to the review and approval by our board of directors, including our independent directors.

Leverage

Through our Senior Secured Term Loan Credit Agreement, as amended (the "Term Loan Facility") and Senior Secured Revolving Credit Agreement, as amended (the "Revolving Credit Facility" and, collectively with the Term Loan Facility, as amended, the "Facilities"), we borrow funds to make additional investments, a practice known as "leverage," to attempt to increase return to our common stockholders. The amount of leverage that we employ at any particular time will depend on our investment advisers and our board of directors' assessments of market and other factors at the time of any proposed borrowing. As December 6, 2013, total commitments under the Facilities are \$365.0 million, comprised of \$245.0 million committed to the Revolving Credit Facility and \$120.0 million committed to the Term Loan Facility. With these additional commitments, the Company has exercised the aggregate accordion feature permitting subsequent increases to the Facilities up to an aggregate maximum amount of \$400.0 million. We are also subject to certain regulatory requirements relating to our borrowings. For a discussion of such requirements, see "Regulation — Senior Securities" and "Regulation — Small Business Investment Company Regulations."

We may from time to time seek to retire or repurchase our common stock through cash purchases, as well as retire, cancel or purchase our outstanding debt through cash purchases and/or exchanges, in open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions or otherwise. Such repurchases or exchanges, if any, will depend on prevailing market conditions, our liquidity requirements, contractual and regulatory restrictions and other factors. The amounts involved may be material.

Competition

Our primary competitors to provide financing to private middle-market companies are public and private funds, commercial and investment banks, commercial finance companies, other BDCs, SBICs and private equity and hedge funds. Some competitors may have access to funding sources that are not available to us. In addition, some of our competitors may have higher risk tolerances or different risk assessments, which could allow them to consider a wider variety of investments and establish more relationships than us. Furthermore, many of our competitors are not subject to the regulatory restrictions that the 1940 Act imposes on us as a BDC or to the distribution and other requirements we must satisfy to maintain our favorable RIC tax status.

Employees

We do not have any employees. Our day-to-day investment operations are managed by our investment adviser. Our investment adviser employs a total of 30 investment professionals, including its principals. In addition, we reimburse our administrator for the allocable portion of overhead and other expenses incurred by it in performing its obligations under an administration agreement, including the compensation of our chief financial officer and chief compliance officer, and their staff.

Administration

We have entered into an administration agreement, pursuant to which MCC Advisors furnishes us with office facilities, equipment and clerical, bookkeeping, recordkeeping and other administrative services at such facilities. Under our administration agreement, MCC Advisors performs, or oversees

the performance of, our required administrative services, which include, among other things, being responsible for the financial records which we are required to maintain and preparing reports to our stockholders and reports filed with the SEC.

Information Available

We maintain a website at http://www.medleycapitalcorp.com .. We make available, free of charge, on our website, our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC. Information contained on our website is not incorporated by reference into this annual report on Form 10-K and you should not consider information contained on our website to be part of this annual report on Form 10-K or any other report we file with the SEC.

INVESTMENTS

We have built a diverse portfolio that includes senior secured first lien term loans, senior secured second lien term loans, unitranche, senior secured notes, subordinated notes and warrants and minority equity securities by investing approximately \$10 million to \$50 million of capital, on average, in the securities of middle-market companies.

The following table shows the portfolio composition by industry grouping at fair value at September 30, 2013 (dollars in thousands):

| | Investments at | | | |
|--|----------------|------------------|----|--------|
| | Fa | Fair Value Perce | | ntage |
| Personal, Food and Miscellaneous Services | \$ | 72,586 | \$ | 9.7% |
| Healthcare, Education and Childcare | | 64,138 | | 8.6 |
| Business Services | | 59,932 | | 8.0 |
| Personal and Nondurable Consumer Products (Manufacturing Only) | | 48,017 | | 6.4 |
| Automobile | | 43,733 | | 5.8 |
| Mining, Steel, Iron and Nonprecious Metals | | 42,743 | | 5.7 |
| Finance | | 42,182 | | 5.6 |
| Home and Office Furnishings, Housewares, and Durable Consumer Products | | 40,139 | | 5.4 |
| Retail Stores | | 39,196 | | 5.2 |
| Buildings and Real Estate | | 36,570 | | 4.9 |
| Oil and Gas | | 35,987 | | 4.8 |
| Restaurant & Franchise | | 32,249 | | 4.3 |
| Aerospace & Defense | | 29,567 | | 3.9 |
| Hotels, Motels, Inns and Gaming | | 26,018 | | 3.5 |
| Diversified/Conglomerate Service | | 25,336 | | 3.4 |
| Diversified/Conglomerate Manufacturing | | 23,608 | | 3.2 |
| Beverage, Food and Tobacco | | 16,863 | | 2.2 |
| Telecommunications | | 12,329 | | 1.6 |
| Cargo Transport | | 12,305 | | 1.6 |
| Containers, Packaging and Glass | | 12,000 | | 1.6 |
| Leisure, Amusement, Motion Pictures, Entertainment | | 9,791 | | 1.3 |
| Machinery (Nonagriculture, Nonconstruction, Nonelectric) | | 8,002 | | 1.1 |
| Electronics | | 7,977 | | 1.1 |
| Grocery | | 7,969 | | 1.1 |
| Total | \$ | 749,237 | | 100.0% |

The following table shows the portfolio composition by industry grouping at fair value at September 30, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

| | estments at air Value | Percentage of Total Portfolio | |
|--|--------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| Healthcare, Education and Childcare | \$ 59,974 | 14.9% | |
| Oil and Gas | 35,345 | 8.8 | |
| Finance | 33,438 | 8.3 | |
| Leisure, Amusement, Motion Pictures, Entertainment | 31,780 | 7.9 | |
| Aerospace & Defense | 30,626 | 7.6 | |
| Personal and Nondurable Consumer Products (Manufacturing Only) | 29,786 | 7.4 | |
| Business Services | 25,095 | 6.2 | |
| Personal, Food and Miscellaneous Services | 24,997 | 6.2 | |
| Diversified/Conglomerate Service | 19,347 | 4.8 | |
| Mining, Steel, Iron and Nonprecious Metals | 16,755 | 4.2 | |
| Restaurant & Franchise | 14,003 | 3.5 | |
| Cargo Transport | 11,858 | 3.0 | |
| Containers, Packaging and Glass | 10,000 | 2.5 | |
| Electronics | 9,740 | 2.4 | |
| Hotels, Motels, Inns and Gaming | 9,510 | 2.4 | |
| Machinery (Nonagriculture, Nonconstruction, Nonelectric) | 8,662 | 2.2 | |
| Home and Office Furnishings, Housewares, and Durable Consumer Products | 8,208 | 2.0 | |
| Grocery | 7,960 | 2.0 | |
| Telecommunications | 7,114 | 1.8 | |
| Automobile | 6,217 | 1.5 | |
| Chemicals, Plastics and Rubber | 1,534 | 0.4 | |
| Total | \$ 401,949 | 100.0% | |

The following table sets forth certain information as of September 30, 2013, for each portfolio company in which we had an investment. Other than these Investments, our only formal relationship with our portfolio companies is the managerial assistance that we provide upon request and the board observer or participation rights we may receive in connection with our investment. However, a private fund managed by Medley owns a controlling equity interest in, and employees of Medley serve as a board member, managing member and senior corporate officers of Velum Global Credit Management LLC.

| Name of | | Security | | | Principal | | Percentage |
|--|--|--|--------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| Portfolio | | Owned | 35 | Interest Rate ⁽¹⁾ | Due at | n t w l | of Net |
| Accupac, Inc. | Sector Containers, Packaging and Glass | by Us Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan | Maturity 11/10/2018 | | Maturity \$ 12,000,000 | Fair Value \$ 12,000,000 | Assets 2.4% |
| Aderant North America, Inc. | Electronics | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan | 6/20/2019 | 10.00% | 4,550,000 | 4,550,000 | 0.9% |
| Alora Pharmaceuticals LLC | Healthcare, Education and Childcare | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan | 9/13/2018 | 10.00% | 14,000,000 | 14,000,000 | 2.7% |
| American Apparel, Inc. American Gaming Systems LLC | Retail Stores Hotels, Motels, Inns and Gaming | Senior Secured Note Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan | 4/15/2020 8/15/2016 | 13.00% 11.50% | 13,000,000 10,750,000 | 13,259,927 10,848,660 | 2.6% 2.1% |
| Amerit Fleet Services, Inc. | Business Services | Senior Secured First Elen Term Loan Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan | 12/21/2016 | 12.20% | 8,906,159 | 8,870,534 | 1.7% |
| ARBOC Specialty Vehicles LLC | Automobile | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan | 3/21/2018 | 13.50% | 24,687,500 | 24,647,996 | 4.8% |
| Aurora Flight Sciences Corporation | Aerospace & Defense | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan | 3/16/2014 | 13.25% | 15,807,836 | 15,863,600 | 3.1% |
| BayDelta Maritime LLC BayDelta Maritime LLC | Cargo Transport Cargo Transport | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan Fee Note | 6/30/2016 6/30/2016 | 13.75% 14.88% | 6,669,292 250,000 | 6,680,885 170,717 | 1.3% 0.0% |
| BayDelta Maritime LLC | Cargo Transport | Warrants | 6/30/2016 | - | - | 594,346 | 0.1% |
| Brantley Transportation LLC | Oil and Gas | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan | 8/2/2017 | 12.00% | 10,162,500 | 10,162,500 | 2.0% |
| Calloway Laboratories, Inc. Calloway Laboratories, Inc. | Healthcare, Education and Childcare Healthcare, Education and Childcare | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan Warrants | 9/30/2014 9/30/2014 | 12.00% | 24,869,263 | 19,666,360 | 3.9% 0.0% |
| Caregiver Services, Inc. | Healthcare, Education and Childcare | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan | 12/29/2017 | 14.45% | 15,361,486 | 15,361,486 | 3.0% |
| Cenegenics LLC | Personal, Food and Miscellaneous | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan | 12/20/2017 | 12.25% | 19,414,099 | 19,899,452 | 3.9% |
| Cymax Stores, Inc. | Services Home and Office Furnishings, Housewares, and Durable Consumer | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan | 8/1/2015 | 15.00% | 9,006,620 | 8,466,223 | 1.7% |
| Cymax Stores, Inc. | Products Home and Office Furnishings, Housewares, and Durable Consumer | Equity | 8/1/2015 | - | - | 673,154 | 0.1% |
| Dispensing Dynamics International | Products Personal and Nondurable Consumer | Senior Secured Note | 1/1/2018 | 12.50% | 4,800,000 | 4,825,840 | 0.9% |
| DLR Restaurants LLC | Products (Manufacturing Only) | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan | 4/18/2018 | 13.50% | 9.683.644 | 9,683,644 | 1.9% |
| DLR Restaurants LLC DLR Restaurants LLC | Restaurant & Franchise Restaurant & Franchise | Unsecured Debt | 4/18/2018 | 16.00% | 254,645 | 254,645 | 0.0% |
| DreamFinders Homes LLC | Buildings and Real Estate | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan A | 4/30/2014 | 10.25% | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 | 2.0% |
| DreamFinders Homes LLC | Buildings and Real Estate | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan B | 9/13/2018 | 14.75% | 7,277,199 | 7,098,472 | 1.4% |
| DreamFinders Homes LLC Exide Technologies | Buildings and Real Estate Machinery (Nonagriculture, | Warrants Senior Secured Note | 9/13/2018 2/1/2018 | 8.625% | 11,000,000 | 180,000 8,002,435 | 0.0% 1.6% |
| Exide reciniologies | Nonconstruction, Nonelectric) | Sellioi Secured Note | 2/1/2010 | 0.02370 | 11,000,000 | 0,002,433 | 1.070 |
| FC Operating LLC Geneva Wood Fuels LLC | Retail Stores Personal and Nondurable Consumer | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan | 11/14/2017 12/31/2014 | 12.00% 15.00% | 10,925,000 8,199,184 | 10,860,657 4,090,000 | 2.1% 0.8% |
| Harrison Gypsum LLC | Products (Manufacturing Only) Mining, Steel, Iron and Nonprecious Metals | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan | 12/21/2017 | 10.50% | 23,885,299 | 23,885,299 | 4.7% |
| HD Vest, Inc. | Finance | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan | 6/18/2019 | 9.25% | 8,750,000 | 8,750,000 | 1.7% |
| Help/Systems LLC | Business Services | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan | 6/28/2020 | 9.50% | 15,000,000 | 15,000,000 | 3.0% |
| HGDS Acquisition LLC | Business Services | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan | 3/28/2018 | 15.68% | 13,066,264 | 13,000,932 | 2.6% |
| Hoffmaster Group, Inc. | Personal and Nondurable Consumer Products (Manufacturing Only) | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan | 1/3/2019 | 11.00% | 6,000,000 | 5,951,856 | 1.2% |
| Hoffmaster Group, Inc. | Personal and Nondurable Consumer Products (Manufacturing Only) | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan | 1/3/2019 | 10.25% | 2,000,000 | 1,926,637 | 0.4% |
| Ingenio Acquisition LLC | Personal, Food and Miscellaneous Services | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan | 5/9/2018 | 12.75% | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 4.9% |
| Insight Pharmaceuticals LLC | Personal, Food and Miscellaneous Services | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan | 8/25/2017 | 13.25% | 7,724,138 | 7,748,867 | 1.5% |
| Integra Telecom Interface Security Systems | Telecommunications Electronics | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan Senior Secured Note | 2/22/2020 1/15/2018 | 9.75% 9.25% | 12,132,000 3,333,000 | 12,329,145 3,427,030 | 2.4% 0.7% |
| JD Norman Industries, Inc. | Diversified/Conglomerate | Senior Secured Note Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan | 1/28/2019 | 13.50% | 12,500,000 | 12,500,000 | 2.5% |
| Lexmark Carpet Mills, Inc. | Manufacturing Home and Office Furnishings, Housewares, and Durable Consumer Products | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan | 9/30/2018 | 11.00% | 31,000,000 | 31,000,000 | 6.1% |
| Linc Energy Finance (USA), Inc. | Oil and Gas | Senior Secured Note | 10/31/2017 | 12.50% | 3,500,000 | 3,823,750 | 0.7% |
| Lydell Jewelry Design Studio LLC | Personal and Nondurable Consumer Products (Manufacturing Only) | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan | 9/13/2018 | 12.00% | 13,072,000 | 13,072,000 | 2.6% |
| Lydell Jewelry Design Studio LLC | Personal and Nondurable Consumer Products (Manufacturing Only) | Revolver | 9/13/2018 | 12.00% | 2,250,000 | 2,250,000 | 0.4% |
| Lydell Jewelry Design Studio LLC | Personal and Nondurable Consumer Products (Manufacturing Only) | Warrants | 9/13/2018 | - | - | - | 0.0% |
| Meridian Behavioral Health LLC | Healthcare, Education and Childcare | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan A | 11/14/2016 | 14.00% | 10,289,141 | 10,289,141 | 2.0% |
| Meridian Behavioral Health LLC Meridian Behavioral Health LLC | Healthcare, Education and Childcare Healthcare, Education and Childcare | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan B Warrants | 11/14/2016 11/14/2016 | 14.00% | 3,750,000 | 3,750,000 1,071,347 | 0.7% 0.2% |
| Modern VideoFilm, Inc. | Leisure, Amusement, Motion Pictures, | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan | 9/25/2017 | 13.50% | 11,868,109 | 9,791,187 | 1.9% |
| 26 1 771 771 7 | Entertainment | | | | | | |
| Modern VideoFilm, Inc. | Leisure, Amusement, Motion Pictures, Entertainment | Warrants | 9/25/2017 | - | - | - | 0.0% |
| NCM Demolition and Remediation LP | Buildings and Real Estate | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan | 8/29/2018 | 12.50% | 19,291,000 | 19,291,000 | 3.8% |
| Physicians Care Alliance LLC | Personal and Nondurable Consumer Products (Manufacturing Only) | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan | 12/28/2017 | 11.00% | 15,854,027 | 15,900,559 | 3.1% |
| Physicians Care Alliance LLC | Personal and Nondurable Consumer Products (Manufacturing Only) | Senior Secured First Lien Revolver | 12/28/2017 | 10.50% | - - - - - | 5,506,459 | 0.0% |
| Prestige Industries LLC Prestige Industries LLC | Business Services Business Services | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan Warrants | 1/31/2017 1/31/2017 | 13.00% | 6,029,795 | 5,506,459 | 1.1% 0.0% |
| Prince Mineral Holdings Corp. | Mining, Steel, Iron and Nonprecious | Senior Secured Note | 12/15/2019 | 11.50% | 6,800,000 | 7,242,000 | 1.4% |
| RCS Management Corporation & | Metals Diversified/Conglomerate Service | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan | 9/23/2015 | 13.00% | 25,474,725 | 25,336,272 | 5.0% |
| Specialized Medical Services, Inc. Red Skye Wireless LLC | Retail Stores | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan | 6/27/2017 | 12.00% | 15,080,145 | 15,075,802 | 3.0% |
| Reddy Ice Corporation | Beverage, Food and Tobacco | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan | 10/1/2019 | 10.75% | 17,000,000 | 16,863,027 | 3.3% |
| Revstone Aero LLC | Aerospace & Defense | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan | 11/1/2013 | 15.26% | 13,203,903 | 13,203,780 | 2.6% |
| Revstone Aero LLC | Aerospace & Defense | Fee Note | 11/1/2013 7/12/2019 | 10.000/ | 500,000 | 500,000 | 0.1% |
| SESAC HOLDCO II Sizzling Platter LLC | Business Services Restaurant & Franchise | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan Senior Secured Note | 4/15/2019 4/15/2016 | 10.00% 12.25% | 3,500,000 10,867,000 | 3,561,527 11,500,444 | 0.7% 2.3% |
| Taylored Freight Services LLC | Business Services | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan | 11/1/2017 | 13.00% | 14,239,039 | 13,992,136 | 2.8% |
| Tempel Steel Company | Mining, Steel, Iron and Nonprecious Metals | Senior Secured Note | 8/15/2016 | 12.00% | 12,000,000 | 11,616,000 | 2.3% |
| Tenere Acquisition Corp. | Diversified/Conglomerate Manufacturing | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan | 12/15/2017 | 13.00% | 10,909,333 | 11,107,612 | 2.2% |
| The Great Atlantic & Pacific Tea Company, Inc. | Grocery | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan | 3/13/2017 | 11.00% | 7,874,921 | 7,968,817 | 1.6% |
| Travelclick, Inc. U.S. Well Services LLC | Hotels, Motels, Inns and Gaming Oil and Gas | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan Senior Secured Note | 3/26/2018 2/15/2017 | 9.75% 14.50% | 15,000,000 21,558,808 | 15,169,312 21,564,270 | 3.0% 4.2% |
| U.S. Well Services LLC | Oil and Gas | Warrants | 2/15/2017 | 14.5070 | - | 436,137 | 0.1% |
| United Restaurant Group L.P. | Restaurant & Franchise | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan | 12/31/2016 | 15.18% | 10,832,789 | 10,809,818 | 2.1% |
| United Road Towing Inc. | Personal, Food and Miscellaneous Services | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan | 6/30/2014 | 15.00% | 21,016,117 | 19,937,991 | 3.9% |
| Velum Global Credit Management LLC | Finance | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan | 3/31/2014 | 15.00% | 8,300,000 | 8,290,332 | 1.6% |
| Water Capital USA, Inc. Westport Axle Corp. | Finance Automobile | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan | 1/3/2015 11/17/2018 | 14.00% 13.00% | 25,141,230 19,084,847 | 25,141,230 19,084,847 | 4.9% 3.7% |
| YRCW Receivables LLC | Cargo Transport | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan | 9/30/2014 | 11.25% | 4,848,049 | 4,858,530 | 1.0% |
| Total Portfolio Investments | | | | | \$ 761,100,106 | \$ 749,236,626 | 147.0% |

All interest is payable in cash and all LIBOR represents 30-day LIBOR unless otherwise indicated. For each debt investment, we have provided the current interest rate as of September 30, 2013.

As of September 30, 2013, the weighted average loan to value ratio ("LTV") of our portfolio investments was approximately 57.5%. We believe that the LTV ratio for a portfolio investment is a useful indicator of the riskiness of the portfolio investment, or its likelihood of default. As part of our investment

strategy, we seek to structure transactions with downside protection and seek LTVs of lower than 65%. We regularly evaluate the LTV of our portfolio investments and believe that LTV is a useful indicator for management and investors.

As of September 30, 2013, the weighted average yield based upon original cost on our portfolio investments was approximately 13.8%, and 53.1% of our income-bearing investment portfolio bore interest based on floating rates, such as LIBOR, and 46.9% bore interest at fixed rates. The weighted average yield on income producing investments is computed based upon a combination of the cash flows to date and the contractual interest payments, principal amortization and fee notes due at maturity without giving effect to closing fees received, base management fees, incentive fees or general fund related expenses. Each floating rate loan uses LIBOR as its floating rate index. For each floating rate loan, the projected fixed-rate equivalent coupon rate used to forecast the interest cash flows was calculated by adding the interest rate spread specified in the relevant loan document to the fixed-rate equivalent LIBOR rate, duration-matched to the specific loan, adjusted by the LIBOR floor and/or cap in place on that loan.

distribution.

Brief Description of Portfolio Company

Accupac, Inc., headquartered in Mainland, PA, is a leading contract manufacturer and packager of liquids, lotions, gels, and creams selling to the over-the counter and prescription markets. Founded in 1974, Accupac focuses on and has differentiated capabilities in three attractive verticals of the contract

manufacturing space including Topical, Oral Care and Specialty

Application. Accupac's capabilities are suited for a wide variety of products and the Accupac's solutions span the breadth of the supply chain including sourcing and procurement, manufacturing and packaging, and finished product

Aderant North America, Inc., founded in 1978 and headquartered in Atlanta,

Overview of Portfolio Companies

Portfolio Company

Aderant North America, Inc.

Accupac, Inc.

Set forth below is a brief description of the business of our portfolio companies as of September 30, 2013.

| | GA, is a leading provider of enterprise software solutions to over 3,200 law firms and other professional services organizations globally. The Company's software is tailored to address the industry-specific requirements of law firms and professional services organizations, with solutions spanning financial management, time and billing, practice management, rules based calendar, matter management systems, customer relationship management, business intelligence and performance management functions. The Company targets law and professional services firms of all sizes through its four core products which include Aderant Expert, CompuLaw, Total Office and CRM4Legal. |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Alora Pharmaceuticals LLC | Alora Pharmaceuticals LLC holds the financial interests of its subsidiaries that develop and manufacture pharmaceuticals, including prenatal vitamins, neutraceuticals, dermatologics and gastroenterologics. Alora's primary subsidiaries from which it operates its business and generates revenue consist of Acella Pharmaceuticals, LLC and Avion Pharmaceuticals, LLC. Acella develops and markets a broad portfolio of non-branded generic pharmaceutical and other products in the areas of dermatology, women's health, pediatrics and other applications. Avion serves as a specialty pharmaceutical company that develops and markets a growing portfolio of innovative branded pharmaceutical and dietary supplement products in the women's health and dermatology areas. |
| American Apparel, Inc. | American Apparel is a vertically integrated manufacturer, distributor, and retailer of branded fashion and basic apparel and accessories for women, men, children, and babies. American Apparel was founded in 1998 and went public in 2007. Based in Los Angeles, the Company has approximately 10,000 employees and operates 251 retail stores in 20 countries. The Company distributes its products through three primary channels: brick and mortar retail, wholesale to distributors, and online to consumers. |
| American Gaming Systems LLC | American Gaming Systems, owned by Alpine Investors, is a leading manufacturer and operator of electronic gaming machines. AGS designs and develops proprietary and licensed server-based video games (primarily slot machines) with an installed base of over 7,400 gaming machines throughout the United States. The Company has significant market share in the Native American class II casino market with over 7,400 installed slot machines in operation in 14 states and is the largest participant in the Oklahoma video machine market. |
| | |

Amerit Fleet Services, Inc. ARBOC Specialty Vehicles LLC Aurora Flight Sciences Corporation Bay Delta Maritime LLC **Brantley Transportation LLC** Calloway Laboratories, Inc. Caregiver Services, Inc.

Amerit Fleet Services, Inc. is the largest exclusive provider of fleet service maintenance services in the U.S. to Fortune 20 clients. Based in Walnut Creek, CA, the Company has 1,300 employees and operates 470 garages throughout the U.S. The Company is the exclusive provider of fleet services to three of the top seven vehicle fleets in the U.S. and has secured long-term contracts with AT&T, Verizon and Pepsi that involve high customer switching

ARBOC Specialty Vehicles LLC, based in Middlebury, IN with 110 non-union employees, is a leading provider of low-floor technology solutions for the small and mid-size passenger vehicle market in North America. ARBOC produces buses that are designed with proprietary, patented technology that provide customers with an Americans with Disabilities Act compliant solution that offers a continuous low-floor entry and exit with no steps or need for a wheelchair lift. The Company sells through a national network of over 20 dealers that cover all 50 states and Canada.

Aurora Flight Sciences Corporation designs and manufactures unmanned aircraft systems and components for use in research, defense and homeland security.

Bay Delta Maritime LLC is a leading provider of required and regulated tugboat services in the San Francisco Bay. The Company provides ship escorts, assists and towing services to petroleum tankers and other vessels. Container ships and oil tankers are required by maritime law to utilize the Company's services in the San Francisco Bay, thus creating a strong base of demand.

Brantley Transportation LLC, based in Monahans, Texas, was founded more than 50 years ago and is a leading provider of mission-critical transportation services to energy producers and drilling companies in the upstream and midstream energy markets. Brantley leverages an available fleet of thirty-six trucks, fifty-two trailers, cranes and related specialized heavy equipment to provide its customers with customized services involving drilling rig transportation and field services, which includes the disassembly, transportation, and reassembly of drilling rigs and related equipment as well as production services.

Calloway Laboratories, Inc. is a leading clinical toxicology laboratory specializing in urine drug testing for pain care patients and substance abuse centers in the U.S. Founded in 2003 and based in Woburn, MA, the Company employs over 500 people nationally and annually processes over 500,000 urine samples from over 1,000 clients. The Company has distinguished itself as a specialty provider in the lab toxicology sector given its "high-touch" service model which features the efforts of 200 trained field service representatives that assist in sample collection and processing.

Caregiver Services, Inc. ("CSI"), headquartered in Miami, FL, is the largest nurse registry in the United States. CSI manages a network of 6,700 non-skilled and skilled caregivers under the CSI brand. With sixteen branch office locations throughout Florida and two in Middle Tennessee, CSI maintains a strong regional presence. CSI is licensed in 47 counties throughout Florida and five counties in Middle Tennessee, representing 82% and 30% of those states' caregiver populations, respectively. In addition to its registry business, CSI also provides services through its home health agency and PPEC program.

Cenegenics LLC Cenegenics LLC is a leading provider of health and wellness services to patients in the U.S. Founded in 1997 and based in Las Vegas, NV, the Company manages 19 locations in major metropolitan areas which are operated by licensed physicians. Cenegenics offers a comprehensive, uniquely tailored approach to improving patients' diet, lifestyle, energy and overall health levels that is unmatched by competitors due to the Company's breadth and quality of service offering. Typical patients are affluent males age 40-60 seeking to improve their diet, energy levels, lifestyle and overall health. The Company offers an initial health screen at its centers from which a highly tailored monthly diet, exercise, nutritional supplement and health plan is established. Cymax Stores, Inc. Cymax Stores, Inc., headquartered in Vancouver, Canada, operates as an online retailer of furniture, home appliances, accessories and small electronic items. Cymax operates ~10 domains and 150 active micro -sites across these categories with 100+ blue-chip vendor relationships, 100+ brands and 26,000+ SKUs. Dispensing Dynamics International Dispensing Dynamics International is a leading designer and manufacturer of paper towel, bath tissue, soap and odor dispensing systems utilized in commercial "Away-From-Home" washroom settings. Dispensing Dynamics boasts a broad product portfolio which consists of over 4,500 SKUs across the paper OEM, foodservice equipment, and janitorial/sanitation supplies and accessories markets. The Company's products are primarily located in hightraffic AFH washrooms found in airports, stadiums, office buildings, restaurants, schools and universities, and general retail environments. DLR Restaurants LLC (d/b/a "Dick's Last Resort"), headquartered in DLR Restaurants LLC Nashville, TN, operates 10 company owned restaurants and earns a licensing fee on three licensed restaurants located throughout the United States. Dick's Last Resort has developed an identifiable brand for its unique casual dining restaurant concept that targets tourists and business travelers in high foot traffic locations. DLR was established in 1985 and opened its first restaurant in Dallas, TX. The Company competes in the "concept" niche within casual dining with key competitors such as Margaritaville, Hooters, Senor Frogs, and Joe's Crab Shack. DreamFinders Homes LLC

Exide Technologies

FC Operating LLC

Founded in 2009, DreamFinders Homes LLC, is a residential homebuilder currently operating in the Greater Jacksonville, Florida market. The Company controls approximately 2,039 lots across 30 different communities. Approximately 15 of these communities are active with the 15 remaining communities expected to come online within the next 12-15 months.

Exide Technologies is one of the world's largest lead-acid battery companies in the world. The Company has 2 business segments: (i) Transportation batteries (62%) that include starting, lighting, and ignition batteries for cars, trucks, off-road vehicles, agricultural and construction vehicles and (ii) Industrial Energy (38%) that supplies both motive power (57.4%) and network power (42.6%) applications. Exide operates 33 manufacturing plants, including 24 battery plants, in 11 countries located across the U.S., Europe, Australia and India.

FC Operating LLC, founded in 1932 and headquartered in Grand Rapids, Michigan, is the leading specialty Christian retailer comprised of ~282 stores across 38 states with a significant presence in the Southeast, Midwest, California and Texas. The average store is ~5,800 square feet and is open seven days per week.

Geneva Wood Fuels LLC

Harrison Gypsum LLC

HD Vest, Inc.

Help/Systems, LLC

HGDS Acquisition LLC

Hoffmaster Group, Inc.

Geneva Wood Fuels LLC is one of the largest wood pellet manufacturers in New England. It owns and operates a 119,000 ton per year facility that produces high quality wood pellets distributed to residential customers in Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont and Massachusetts.

Harrison Gypsum LLC, founded in 1955, mines and processes gypsum and plaster in Oklahoma and Texas. Gypsum is a soft sulfate mineral most commonly found in layered sedimentary deposits and primarily used to create drywall as a finish in walls and ceilings. However, Harrison has successfully been able to develop and market gypsum to a diverse set of end markets, including building products, oil and gas, infrastructure, food/pharmaceuticals, in addition to other industries and associated freight, with products such as fines, filler, plaster, retarder rock, food/pharmaceutical grade gypsum and road rock.

Headquartered in Irving, TX, HD Vest, Inc. is a pioneer and market leader in providing training, technology, access to financial products, compliance and support services that allow tax professionals to provide independent financial solutions to retail investors. The Company was founded in 1983 by Herb D. Vest, CPA, who saw an opportunity to provide these financial planning services through tax and accounting professional networks as a result of his own tax clients' inability to find quality resources that adequately met their financial needs.

Help/Systems, LLC is a leading provider of system & network management, business intelligence and security & compliance solutions. Help/Systems' software solutions allow customers to manage their information technology infrastructure more efficiently by increasing automation, reducing costs, providing security and permitting the analysis of an ever-growing base of data collected and managed by its customers. The Company's "click and play" software can be demonstrated and purchased online and quickly installed and integrated by the customer. Once installed, Help/Systems' software becomes a critical, embedded component of a customer's IT infrastructure.

HGDS Acquisition LLC (d/b/a "Footprint"), headquartered in Lisle, IL, is a provider of in-store merchandising and logistics solutions to major retailers and consumer packaged goods manufacturers. Services include Fixture Installation (56% of sales), Light Merchandising (41% of sales) and Store Remodel (3% of sales). Footprint provides these services both for retailers including, Wal-Mart, Supervalu, Dollar General and Starbucks and brand marketers, such as Philip Morris, Coca-Cola, Merck and Sony. Complementary services include product re-sets and in-store intelligence services for its clients, including the validation of display and product placement.

Hoffmaster Group, Inc. is a leading North American designer, manufacturer and supplier of decorated, premium disposable tableware (including napkins, plates, table covers and placemats). The Company maintains a niche in custom and seasonal products and its decorated and colorful tableware provide attractive and affordable alternatives to non-descript and low quality disposable tableware. The Company holds the #1 or #2 market position in nearly all of its core product segments through its line of leading brand names.

Ingenio Acquisition LLC Insight Pharmaceuticals LLC Integra Telecom Interface Security Systems JD Norman Industries, Inc. Lexmark Carpet Mills, Inc. Linc Energy Finance (USA), Inc. Lydell Jewelry Design Studio LLC

Ingenio Acquisition LLC (d/b/a "Earn Per Call"), founded in 1999 and based in San Francisco, CA, operates an online personal and professional advice marketplace that connects customers with a large network of independent advisors primarily through its website Keen.com. In addition, the Company also owns a suite of three related sites to host its services: ingenio.com, ether.com, and liveadvice.com.

Insight Pharmaceuticals LLC is a leading marketer and distributor of branded over-the-counter pharmaceutical products with a broad platform of over 30 unique brands.

Integra Telecom is a regional fiber-based local exchange carrier that provides integrated communication services across 35 metropolitan areas in 11 states of the Western U.S. Integra owns (directly or under IRU) a fiber optic network with over 8,000 route miles of fiber, consisting of 3,000 route miles of metro fiber and 5,000 route miles of long haul fiber. Within its metro network, Integra has direct fiber connection to approximately 1,847 locations.

Interface Security Systems, founded in 1995 and based in Missouri, is a national provider of physical security and secured managed network services to primarily large, commercial multi-site customers and provides the most comprehensive IP technology-enabled managed security solution in the market. It is the only provider of bundled physical security and secured network services, giving it a complete suite of product offerings for customers.

JD Norman Industries, Inc., founded in 2004 and headquartered in Addison, IL, is a manufacturer of engineered value-added metal components and systems including stampings, wire forms, machined components, coiled springs, and assemblies. Across its four North American facilities, JD Norman is deeply entrenched with its base of blue-chip OEMs, which are diversified across the automotive, heavy truck, agricultural, construction, building technology, and oil and gas end markets.

Lexmark Carpet Mills, Inc., founded in 1993 and based in Dalton, GA, is a leading carpet manufacturer specializing in patterned broadloom carpet used in hotel rooms, hotel public space, residential uses and exposition halls in the U.S. The Company has supplied carpet to budget, mid-tier and high-end hotels for 20 years through strong relationships in the furniture, fixtures and equipment ("FF&E") distribution channel and has the third largest market share (11%) of the \$350M hospitality market.

Linc Energy Finance (USA), Inc. was founded in 2011 by its parent corporation Linc Energy Ltd. Linc USA was formed for the purpose of acquiring crude oil and gas producing properties in the United States. The Company is currently engaged in the production, development and exploitation of crude oil and gas in Texas, Louisiana, Wyoming and Alaska. The Company intends to expand to the Umiat region by May 2013.

Founded in 1992, Lydell Jewelry Design Studio LLC, headquartered in New York, provides private label costume/fashion jewelry programs for retail chains in the United States. As opposed to high end fashion products, Lydell focuses specifically on products that wholesale for less than \$10 and mostly retail for \$15 to \$99. Since its founding, Lydell has built an infrastructure capable of managing the entire value chain for its retail customers on a scale of almost 3,000 SKUs.

Meridian Behavioral Health LLC Meridian Behavioral Health LLC is a leading provider of high acuity chemical dependency treatments in the state of Minnesota. The Company was founded in 1988, is based in Minneapolis, MN and operates 14 behavioral treatment centers, offering both inpatient and outpatient programs. The Company provides daily treatments to approximately 140 patients in its residential programs (represents a utilization rate of over 90%), 700 patients are enrolled in its outpatient programs and ~650 patients receive treatments at its methadone clinic per day. Modern VideoFilm, Inc. Modern VideoFilm, Inc. is a Burbank, California based provider of content creation and content management services for the film, television and digital content industries. MVF's primary services are content management (61% of revenue) and content creation (39% of revenue). Through these services, the Company provides various critical post-production services to large film and TV studios, including editing, formatting, color correction, mastering, restoration, encoding, digitization in connection with the delivery and distribution of feature films and television shows. NCM Demolition and Remediation LP NCM Demolition and Remediation LP, founded in 1980 and headquartered in Brea, CA, NCM is the United States' largest one-stop provider of demolition and environmental remediation services including demolition, asset & scrap recovery, abatement of asbestos, lead, and mold, and disaster response. The Company operates 18 branches nationwide, with 1,270 skilled employees, strategically located to allow NCM to serve any project within the United States. Physicians Care Alliance LLC Physicians Care Alliance LLC, founded in 1990 and based in Scottsdale, AZ, is a leading clinical skin care business which develops professional chemical peels and topicals. The Company sells products domestically and internationally to skin-care professionals that have become accredited through its educational seminars. PCA's unique sales model and high efficacy products facilitated strong growth through the recession with a consumer set comprised mainly of customers with high attachment rates to skin care products that are recommended by physicians. PCA uses only FDA approved ingredients and OTC approved manufacturers to ensure the quality of their products. Prestige Industries LLC Presitge Industries LLC is the largest provider of premium commercial laundry services to the hospitality industry in the New York Tri-State area, operating a network of 3 strategically located laundry facilities. The Company offers its customers a full suite of laundry services including: (i) terry & linen, (ii) food & beverage, (iii) valet services, (iv) garment cleaning and (v) laundry management. Prince Mineral Holdings Corp. Prince Mineral Holding Corporation is a leading global value-added distributor of specialty mineral products and niche industrial additives. Prince Minerals sources, processes and distributes its products for use in brick, glass, agriculture, foundry, refractory and steel, oil and gas and coal end markets. The Company functions as a value-added processing intermediary. RCS Management Corporation & Specialized Medical Services, Inc. RCS Management Corporation and SMS Holdings, Inc., collectively (the "Company") service over 1,750 facilities in 40 states on a combined basis. The Company's core products and service offerings include (i) respiratory

equipment rental; (ii) oxygen delivery; (iii) sale of disposable respiratory supplies; (iv) clinical; and (v) financial and billing consulting services.

Reddy Ice Corporation

Red Skye Wireless LLC

Revstone Aero LLC

SESAC HOLDCO II

Sizzling Platter LLC

Taylored Freight Services LLC

Tempel Steel Company

Reddy Ice Corporation is the largest producer and distributor of packaged ice in the U.S., with #1 or #2 market share in the majority of its footprint, which spans 34 states, including Washington DC. The Company is based in Dallas, Texas with approximately 1,500 year-round employees, they add up to 600-700 employees during peak seasonal months. Reddy Ice owns or operates 58 ice manufacturing facilities, 74 distribution centers, and approximately 3,500 Ice Factories (ISBs), with daily ice manufacturing capacity of approximately 17,000 tons.

Red Skye Wireless LLC, founded in 2001 and headquartered in St. Louis, Missouri, is a leading retailer of AT&T wireless and home product services, mobile handsets and accessories with 165 locations in 12 states across the U.S. While the Company is independently owned, RSW stores have the look and feel of an AT&T corporate store, prominently displaying the logos and signage, participating in marketing campaigns, and seamlessly working with AT&T customer service and billing IT.

Revstone Aero LLC, founded in 1951, is a premier manufacturer of custom forgings for the aerospace industry. The Company, comprised of two separate businesses, W. Pat Crow and General Aluminum Forgings, forges and machines aluminum and steel into components for virtually every aircraft.

SESAC HOLDCO II, founded in 1930 and headquartered in Nashville, TN, is one of only three Performance Rights Organizations ("PROs") in the United States. PROs protect the interests of individual songwriters and publishers ("Affiliates") of music by acting as intermediary licensing organizations to ensure Affiliates are compensated for the public performance and retransmission of their copyrighted works. SESAC currently represents the rights of more than 24,900 songwriters and publishers. SESAC maintains over 110,000 licenses with a diverse set of music users including all of the major radio and television broadcasters in the U.S., as well as, a wide range of general licensees such as hotels, restaurants, bars and country clubs, amongst others.

Sizzling Platter LLC is a restaurant management company that operates 146 Little Caesars locations, 23 Sizzler locations and 13 other limited service restaurants in the Western United States as a franchisee. The Company is the largest franchisee of LC locations in the United States.

Taylored Freight Services LLC, based in Los Angeles and founded in 1992, is a port-based, third-party logistics provider that specializes in warehousing, fulfillment, transportation and related value-added services to support the global supply chains of manufacturers and importers of apparel, accessories, toys and sporting goods. The Company manages six leased facilities near the Long Beach, CA, and New York, NY ports, totaling over 1.3M square feet. Taylored operates with ~70 non-union employees and provides services relating to inventorying merchandise including receiving, quality control and inspection, inventory management and control.

Tempel Steel Company is one of the world's largest independent manufacturers of magnetic steel laminations used in the production of motors and transformers. The Company has manufacturing operations in the U.S., Mexico, China and India, a distribution and steel services center in Canada and distribution centers in Pennsylvania and California.

| Tenere Acquisition Corp. |
|--|
| |
| The Great Atlantic & Pacific Tea Company, Inc. |
| Travelclick, Inc. |
| U.S. Well Services LLC |
| C.S. Well Stivited Ede |
| United Restaurant Group L.P. |
| United Road Towing Inc. |
| Velum Global Credit Management LLC |
| |
| Water Capital USA, Inc. |
| Westport Axle Corp. |
| YRCW Receivables LLC |
| |

Tenere Acquisition Corp., located in Dresser, WI, is a sophisticated, full-service, designer and fabricator of complex engineered metal and plastic parts and assemblies. Founded in 1994, Tenere provides customers with highly desired, full-service solutions that start with the product concept and progress through rapid prototyping, process design, cost reduction design, commercial production with both soft tooling and hard tooling and assembly. Tenere manufactures enclosures and electromechanical assemblies for a variety of large Fortune 500 OEMs and contract manufacturers in the enterprise computing, network routers/communications, aerospace/military, medical and industrial end markets.

The Great Atlantic & Pacific Tea Company, Inc ("A&P"), founded in 1859 and based in Montvale, NJ, was the first national food chain in the U.S. and is a now leading food retailer in the Northeastern U.S. A&P holds a leading market share not only in the New York metro region, but also in other regions in NY, NJ and PA.

Travelclick, Inc., headquartered in New York, NY, is a leading provider of cloud-based reservation systems, SaaS-based business intelligence and digital media solutions to the estimated \$580bn global hospitality industry. The Company's innovative solutions help its hotel customers increase revenue, reduce costs and improve performance. Travelclick maintains a global footprint, serving 30,000+ hotel customers across more than 150 countries and is a clear industry leader in a large and growing addressable market.

U.S. Wells Services LLC is a Houston, Texas based oilfield service provider currently contracted to engage in pressure pumping and related services, including high-pressure hydraulic fracturing in unconventional oil and natural gas basins.

United Restaurant Group L.P. is the second largest franchisee of T.G.I. Friday's restaurants in the United States. Founded in 1993 and headquartered in Richmond, VA, the Company operates 31 locations in five states in the Mid-Atlantic and Southeast regions.

United Road Towing, Inc. is the largest integrated towing company in the United States. The Company provides a complete range of towing, vehicle storage and vehicle auction services through a network of 53 operating locations across 9 states.

Velum Global Credit Management LLC is a global purchaser and servicer of non-performing consumer debt with operations in the Brazil, Uruguay and the United States. Velum currently owns and master services 20 portfolios of consumer debt, via 4 investment vehicles, purchased from various sources such as banks, insurance companies and retailers including BMB, HSBC, Santander, Sul America and Losango.

Water Capital USA, Inc. operates a capital equipment leasing and a receivables financing business. Water Capital originates and monetizes portfolios of equipment leases and servicing receivables from creditworthy businesses.

Westport Axle Corp., founded in 1986 and headquartered in Louisville, KY, is a manufacturing, warehousing and logistics service provider, creating customized solutions for the largest commercial vehicle OEMs in the U.S. Across its four leased facilities (in Kentucky, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and Virginia), the Company operates as a non-unionized entity with 650 employees. The Company is organized across three business units, Logistics, Manufacturing, and Axle Components.

YRCW Receivables LLC is a special purpose bankruptcy remote subsidiary of YRC Worldwide Inc., a leading provider of transportation and global logistics services. YRCW Receivables LLC purchases receivables from YRC Worldwide Inc.

THE ADVISER

MCC Advisors serves as our investment adviser and is registered as an investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as amended ("Advisers Act"). Subject to the overall supervision of our board of directors, MCC Advisors manages the day-to-day operations of, and provides investment advisory and management services to us pursuant to an investment management agreement by and between the Company and MCC Advisors.

Investment Management Agreement

Under the terms of our investment management agreement, MCC Advisors:

- · determines the composition of our portfolio, the nature and timing of the changes to our portfolio and the manner of implementing such changes;
- · identifies, evaluates and negotiates the structure of the investments we make (including performing due diligence on our prospective portfolio companies); and
- · executes, closes, monitors and administers the investments we make, including the exercise of any voting or consent rights.

MCC Advisors' services under the investment management agreement are not exclusive, and it is free to furnish similar services to other entities so long as its services to us are not impaired.

Pursuant to our investment management agreement, we pay MCC Advisors a fee for investment advisory and management services consisting of a base management fee and a two-part incentive fee.

Management Fee. For providing investment advisory and management services to us, MCC Advisors receives a base management fee. The base management fee is calculated at an annual rate of 1.75% of our gross assets, and is payable quarterly in arrears. For the first quarter of our operations, the base management fee was calculated based on the initial value of our gross assets. Subsequently, the base management fee has been calculated based on the average value of our gross assets at the end of the two most recently completed calendar quarters. Base management fees for any partial quarter will be appropriately pro-rated. MCC Advisors agreed to waive the base management fee payable to MCC Advisors with respect to cash and cash equivalents held by us through December 31, 2011, but cash and cash equivalents have been included in the average gross assets calculation for purposes of determining the base management fee since that date.

Incentive Fee. The incentive fee has two components, as follows:

The first, payable quarterly in arrears based on our pre-incentive fee net investment income for the immediately preceding calendar quarter, and is 20.0% of the amount, if any, by which our pre-incentive fee net investment income for the immediately preceding calendar quarter exceeds a 2.0% (which is 8.0% annualized) hurdle rate and a "catch-up" provision measured as of the end of each calendar quarter. Under this provision, in any calendar quarter, our investment adviser receives no incentive fee until our net investment income equals the hurdle rate of 2.0%, but then receives, as a "catch-up", 100% of our pre-incentive fee net investment income with respect to that portion of such pre-incentive fee net investment income, if any, that exceeds the hurdle rate but is less than 2.5%. The effect of this provision is that, if pre-incentive fee net investment income exceeds 2.5% in any calendar quarter, our investment adviser will receive 20% of our pre-incentive fee net investment income as if the hurdle rate did not apply. For this purpose, pre-incentive fee net investment income means interest income, dividend income and any other income including any other fees (other than fees for providing managerial assistance), such as commitment, origination, structuring, diligence and consulting fees or other fees that we receive from portfolio companies accrued during the calendar quarter, minus our operating expenses for the quarter including the base management fee, expenses payable under the administration agreement, and any interest expense and any dividends paid on any issued and outstanding preferred stock, but excluding the incentive fee. Pre-incentive fee net investment income includes, in the case of investments with a deferred interest feature (such as original issue discount, debt instruments with payment-in-kind interest and zero coupon securities), accrued income that we have not yet received in cash. Since the hurdle rate is fixed, as interest rates rise, it will be easier for the MCC Advisors to surpass the

The second component of the incentive fee is determined and payable in arrears as of the end of each calendar year (or upon termination of the investment management agreement as of the termination date), commencing on December 31, 2011, and equals 20.0% of our cumulative aggregate realized capital gains less cumulative realized capital losses, unrealized capital depreciation (unrealized depreciation on a gross investment-by-investment basis at the end of each calendar year) and all capital gains upon which prior performance-based capital gains incentive fee payments were previously made to the investment adviser.


Under GAAP, the Company calculates the second component of the incentive fee as if the Company had realized all assets at their fair values as of the reporting date. Accordingly, the Company accrues a provisional capital gains incentive fee taking into account any unrealized gains or losses. As the provisional capital gains incentive fee is subject to the performance of investments until there is a realization event, the amount of the provisional capital gains incentive fee accrued at a reporting date may vary from the capital gains incentive that is ultimately realized and the differences could be material.

For the year ended September 30, 2013, the Company incurred net base management fees payable to MCC Advisors of \$10.9 million and \$11.6 million of incentive fees related to pre-incentive fee net investment income.

The following is a graphical representation of the calculation of the income-related portion of the incentive fee:

Quarterly Incentive Fee Based on Net Investment Income

Pre-incentive Fee Net Investment Income (Expressed as a Percentage of the Value of Net Assets)



Examples of Quarterly Incentive Fee Calculation

Example 1: Income Related Portion of Incentive Fee:

Assumptions

Hurdle $rate^{(1)} = 2.0\%$

Management $fee^{(2)} = 0.44\%$

Other expenses (legal, accounting, custodian, transfer agent, etc.) $^{(3)} = 0.20\%$

Alternative 1

Additional Assumptions

Investment income (including interest, dividends, fees, etc.) = 1.25%

Pre-incentive fee net investment income (investment income – (management fee + other expenses)) = 0.61%

Pre-incentive net investment income does not exceed hurdle rate, therefore there is no incentive fee.

Alternative 2

Additional Assumptions

Investment income (including interest, dividends, fees, etc.) = 3.0%

Pre-incentive fee net investment income (investment income – (management fee + other expenses)) = 2.36%

Pre-incentive fee net investment income exceeds hurdle rate, therefore there is an incentive fee.

Incentive fee = (100% x "Catch-Up") + (the greater of 0% **AND** (20% x (pre-incentive fee net

investment income -2.5%)))

= (100.0% x (pre-incentive fee net investment income - 2.0%)) + 0%

= (100.0% x (2.36% - 2.0%))

= 100.0% x 0.36%

= 0.36%

Alternative 3

Additional Assumptions

Investment income (including interest, dividends, fees, etc.) = 3.50%

Pre-incentive fee net investment income (investment income – (management fee + other expenses)) = 2.86%

Pre-incentive fee net investment income exceeds hurdle rate, therefore there is an incentive fee.

Incentive Fee = (100% x "Catch-Up") + (the greater of 0% AND (20% x (pre-incentive fee net investment income – 2.5%)))

= (100% x (2.5% - 2.0%)) + (20% x (2.86% - 2.5%))

 $= 0.50\% + (20\% \times 0.36\%)$

= 0.50% + 0.07%

= 0.57%

(1) Represents 8.0% annualized hurdle rate.

(2) Represents 1.75% annualized management fee.

(3) Excludes organizational and offering expenses.

Example 2: Capital Gains Portion of Incentive Fee:

Alternative 1:

Assumptions

Year 1: \$20 million investment made in Company A ("Investment A"), and \$30 million investment made in Company B ("Investment B")

Year 2: Investment A sold for \$50 million and fair market value, or FMV, of Investment B determined to be \$32 million

Year 3: FMV of Investment B determined to be \$25 million

Year 4: Investment B sold for \$31 million

The capital gains portion of the incentive fee would be:

Year 1: None

Year 2: Capital gains incentive fee of \$6.0 million (\$30 million realized capital gains on sale of Investment A multiplied by 20.0%)

- **Year 3:** None; \$5.0 million (20.0% multiplied by (\$30 million cumulative capital gains less \$5 million cumulative capital depreciation)) less \$6.0 million (previous capital gains fee paid in Year 2) (the \$1.0 million difference would not be deducted from future capital gains incentive fees)
- **Year 4:** Capital gains incentive fee of \$200,000; \$6.2 million (\$31 million cumulative realized capital gains multiplied by 20.0%) less \$6.0 million (capital gains fee paid in Year 2)

Alternative 2

Assumptions

- **Year 1:** \$20 million investment made in Company A ("Investment A"), \$30 million investment made in Company B ("Investment B") and \$25 million investment made in Company C ("Investment C")
- Year 2: Investment A sold for \$50 million, FMV of Investment B determined to be \$25 million and FMV of Investment C determined to be \$25 million
- Year 3: FMV of Investment B determined to be \$27 million and Investment C sold for \$30 million
- **Year 4:** FMV of Investment B determined to be \$35 million
- Year 5: Investment B sold for \$20 million

The capital gains portion of the incentive fee would be:

- Year 1: None
- **Year 2:** Capital gains incentive fee of \$5.0 million; 20.0% multiplied by \$25 million (\$30 million realized capital gains on Investment A less \$5 million unrealized capital depreciation on Investment B)
- **Year 3:** Capital gains incentive fee of \$1.4 million; \$6.4 million (20.0% multiplied by \$32 million (\$35 million cumulative realized capital gains less \$3 million unrealized capital depreciation on Investment B)) less \$5.0 million capital gains fee received in Year 2
- Year 4: None
- **Year 5:** None; \$5.0 million of capital gains incentive fee (20.0% multiplied by \$25 million (cumulative realized capital gains of \$35 million less realized capital losses of \$10 million)) less \$6.4 million cumulative capital gains fee paid in Year 2 and Year 3 (the \$1.4 million difference would not be deducted from future capital gains incentive fees)

Payment of Our Expenses

All investment professionals and staff of MCC Advisors, when, and to the extent, engaged in providing investment advisory and management services to us, and the compensation and routine overhead expenses of such personnel allocable to such services, is provided and paid for by MCC Advisors. We bear all other costs and expenses of our operations and transactions, including those relating to:

- · our organization and continued corporate existence;
- · calculating our net asset value ("NAV") (including the cost and expenses of any independent valuation firms);
- expenses, including travel expense, incurred by MCC Advisors or payable to third parties performing due diligence on prospective portfolio companies, monitoring our investments and, if necessary, enforcing our rights;
- · interest payable on debt incurred to finance our investments;
- the costs of all offerings of common shares and other securities;
- the base management fee and any incentive management fee;
- · distributions on our shares;
- · administration fees payable under our administration agreement;
- the allocated costs incurred by MCC Advisors as our administrator in providing managerial assistance to those portfolio companies that request it;
- · amounts payable to third parties relating to, or associated with, making investments;

- · transfer agent and custodial fees;
- · all registration and listing fees;
- · U.S. federal, state and local taxes;
- · independent directors' fees and expenses;
- · costs of preparing and filing reports or other documents with the SEC or other regulators;
- · the costs of any reports, proxy statements or other notices to our stockholders, including printing costs;
- · our fidelity bond;
- · directors and officers/errors and omissions liability insurance, and any other insurance premiums;
- · indemnification payments;
- · direct costs and expenses of administration, including audit and legal costs; and
- all other expenses reasonably incurred by us or MCC Advisors in connection with administering our business, such as the allocable portion of
 overhead under our administration agreement, including rent and other allocable portions of the cost of our officers and their respective staffs
 (including travel expenses).

We reimburse MCC Advisors for costs and expenses incurred for office space rental, office equipment and utilities allocable to the performance by MCC Advisors of its duties under the administration agreement, as well as any costs and expenses incurred relating to any non-investment advisory, administrative or operating services provided to us or in the form of managerial assistance to portfolio companies that request it.

From time to time, MCC Advisors pays amounts owed by us to third party providers of goods or services. We subsequently reimburse MCC Advisors for such amounts paid on our behalf.

Limitation of Liability and Indemnification

The investment management agreement provides that MCC Advisors and its officers, directors, employees and affiliates are not liable to us or any of our stockholders for any act or omission by it or its employees in the supervision or management of our investment activities or for any loss sustained by us or our stockholders, except that the foregoing exculpation does not extend to any act or omission constituting willful misfeasance, bad faith, gross negligence or reckless disregard of its obligations under the investment management agreement. The investment management agreement also provides for indemnification by us of MCC Advisors' members, directors, officers, employees, agents and control persons for liabilities incurred by it in connection with their services to us, subject to the same limitations and to certain conditions.

Duration and Termination

The investment management agreement was initially approved by our board of directors on November 3, 2010 and executed on January 11, 2011. Pursuant to its terms and under the 1940 Act, the investment management agreement had an initial two year term, and then subject to an annual approval by our board of directors. Unless terminated earlier as described below, it will continue in effect from year to year if approved annually by our board of directors or by the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of our outstanding voting securities, including, in either case, approval by a majority of our directors who are not interested persons. The investment management agreement will automatically terminate in the event of its assignment. The investment management agreement may be terminated by either party without penalty upon not more than 60 days' written notice to the other. See "Risks — Risks Related to Our Business — We are dependent upon senior management personnel of MCC Advisors for our future success, and if MCC Advisors are unable to retain qualified personnel or if MCC Advisors loses any member of its senior management team, our ability to achieve our investment objective could be significantly harmed."

Annual Board Approval of the Investment Management Agreement

Our board of directors held an in-person meeting on December 4, 2013, in order to consider the annual approval and continuation of our investment management agreement. In its consideration of the investment management agreement, the board of directors focused on information it had received relating to, among other things: (a) the nature, quality and extent of the advisory and other services to be provided to us by our investment adviser, MCC Advisors; (b) comparative data with respect to advisory fees or similar expenses paid by other business development companies with similar investment objectives; (c) our projected operating expenses and expense ratio compared to business development companies with similar investment objectives; (d) any existing and potential sources of indirect income to MCC Advisors from its relationships with us and the profitability of those relationships; (e) information about the services to be performed and the personnel performing such services under the investment management agreement; (f) the organizational capability and financial condition of MCC Advisors and its affiliates; and (g) various other factors.

Based on the information reviewed and the discussions, the board of directors, including a majority of the non-interested directors, concluded that the investment management fee rates and terms are reasonable in relation to the services to be provided and approved the investment management agreement as being in the best interests of our stockholders. Specifically the board of directors approved the extension of the investment management agreement for a period of one year beginning on January 11, 2014.

License Agreement

We have entered into a license agreement with Medley Capital LLC under which Medley Capital LLC has agreed to grant us a non-exclusive, royalty-free license to use the name "Medley". Under this agreement, we will have a right to use the "Medley" name for so long as MCC Advisors or one of its affiliates remains our investment adviser. Other than with respect to this limited license, we have no legal right to the "Medley" name. This license agreement will remain in effect for so long as the investment management agreement with MCC Advisors is in effect.

REGULATION

General

We have elected to be regulated as a BDC under the 1940 Act. The 1940 Act contains prohibitions and restrictions relating to transactions between BDCs and their affiliates (including any investment advisers or sub-advisers), principal underwriters and affiliates of those affiliates or underwriters and requires that a majority of the directors be persons other than "interested persons", as that term is defined in the 1940 Act. In addition, the 1940 Act provides that we may not change the nature of our business so as to cease to be, or to withdraw our election as, a BDC unless approved by "a majority of our outstanding voting securities."

We may invest up to 100% of our assets in securities acquired directly from issuers in privately negotiated transactions. We do not intend to acquire securities issued by any investment company that exceed the limits imposed by the 1940 Act. Under these limits, except for registered money market funds we generally cannot acquire more than 3% of the voting stock of any investment company, invest more than 5% of the value of our total assets in the securities of one investment company or invest more than 10% of the value of our total assets in the securities of more than one investment company. With regard to that portion of our portfolio invested in securities issued by investment companies, it should be noted that such investments might subject our stockholders to additional expenses. None of our investment policies are fundamental and any may be changed without stockholder approval.

Qualifying Assets

Under the 1940 Act, a BDC may not acquire any asset other than assets of the type listed in section 55(a) of the 1940 Act, which are referred to as qualifying assets, unless, at the time the acquisition is made, qualifying assets represent at least 70% of the company's total assets. The principal categories of qualifying assets relevant to our business are the following:

- (1) Securities purchased in transactions not involving any public offering from the issuer of such securities, which issuer (subject to certain limited exceptions) is an eligible portfolio company, or from any person who is, or has been during the preceding 13 months, an affiliated person of an eligible portfolio company, or from any other person, subject to such rules as may be prescribed by the SEC. An eligible portfolio company is defined in the 1940 Act as any issuer which:
 - · is organized under the laws of, and has its principal place of business in, the United States;
 - · is not an investment company (other than a small business investment company wholly owned by the Company) or a company that would be an investment company but for certain exclusions under the 1940 Act; and
 - · satisfies either of the following:
 - o has a market capitalization of less than \$250 million or does not have any class of securities listed on a national securities exchange; or
 - o is controlled by a BDC or a group of companies including a BDC, the BDC actually exercises a controlling influence over the management or policies of the eligible portfolio company, and, as a result thereof, the BDC has an affiliated person who is a director of the eligible portfolio company.
- (2) Securities of an eligible portfolio company purchased from any person in a private transaction if there is no ready market for such securities and we already own 60% of the outstanding equity of the eligible portfolio company.
- (3) Securities received in exchange for or distributed on or with respect to securities described above, or pursuant to the exercise of warrants or rights relating to such securities.
- (4) Securities of any eligible portfolio company which we control.

- (5) Securities purchased in a private transaction from a U.S. issuer that is not an investment company or from an affiliated person of the issuer, or in transactions incident thereto, if the issuer is in bankruptcy and subject to reorganization or if the issuer, immediately prior to the purchase of its securities was unable to meet its obligations as they came due without material assistance other than conventional lending or financing arrangements.
- (6) Cash, cash equivalents, U.S. Government securities or high-quality debt securities maturing in one year or less from the time of investment.

The regulations defining and interpreting qualifying assets may change over time. We may adjust our investment focus needed to comply with and/or take advantage of any regulatory, legislative, administrative or judicial actions in this area.

Managerial Assistance to Portfolio Companies

A BDC must have been organized and have its principal place of business in the United States and must be operated for the purpose of making investments in the types of securities described in "Regulation — Qualifying Assets" above. However, in order to count portfolio securities as qualifying assets for the purpose of the 70% test, the BDC must either control the issuer of the securities or must offer to make available to the issuer of the securities (other than small and solvent companies described above) significant managerial assistance. Where the BDC purchases such securities in conjunction with one or more other persons acting together, the BDC will satisfy this test if one of the other persons in the group makes available such managerial assistance. Making available managerial assistance means, among other things, any arrangement whereby the BDC, through its directors, officers or employees, offers to provide, and, if accepted, does so provide, significant guidance and counsel concerning the management, operations or business objectives and policies of a portfolio company.

Temporary Investments

Pending investment in other types of "qualifying assets", as described above, our investments may consist of cash, cash equivalents, U.S. Government securities or high-quality debt securities maturing in one year or less from the time of investment, which we refer to, collectively, as temporary investments, so that 70% of our assets are qualifying assets. Typically, we will invest in highly rated commercial paper, U.S. Government agency notes, U.S. Treasury bills or in repurchase agreements relating to such securities that are fully collateralized by cash or securities issued by the U.S. Government or its agencies. A repurchase agreement involves the purchase by an investor, such as us, of a specified security and the simultaneous agreement by the seller to repurchase it at an agreed-upon future date and at a price which is greater than the purchase price by an amount that reflects an agreed-upon interest rate. There is no percentage restriction on the proportion of our assets that may be invested in such repurchase agreements. However, certain diversification tests in order to qualify as a RIC for federal income tax purposes will typically require us to limit the amount we invest with any one counterparty. Our investment adviser will monitor the creditworthiness of the counterparties with which we enter into repurchase agreement transactions.

Senior Securities

We are permitted, under specified conditions, to issue multiple classes of indebtedness and one class of stock senior to our common stock if our asset coverage, as defined in the 1940 Act, is at least equal to 200% immediately after each such issuance. In addition, while any preferred stock or publicly traded debt securities are outstanding, we may be prohibited from making distributions to our stockholders or the repurchasing of such securities or shares unless we meet the applicable asset coverage ratios at the time of the distribution or repurchase. We may also borrow amounts up to 5% of the value of our total assets for temporary or emergency purposes without regard to asset coverage. For a discussion of the risks associated with leverage, see "Item 1A.Risk Factors—Risks Related to our Business—If we use borrowed funds to make investments or fund our business operations, we will be exposed to risks typically associated with leverage which will increase the risk of investing in us."

On March 26, 2013, our wholly-owned subsidiary, Medley SBIC LP ("SBIC LP"), received a Small Business Investment Company ("SBIC") license from the Small Business Administration ("SBA"). In anticipation of receiving an SBIC license, on November 16, 2012, we obtained exemptive relief from the SEC to permit us to exclude the debt of SBIC LP guaranteed by the SBA from from the 200% asset coverage ratio we are required to maintain under the 1940 Act. Pursuant to the 200% asset coverage ratio limitation, we are permitted to borrow one dollar for every dollar we have in assets less all liabilities and indebtedness not represented by debt securities issued by us or loans obtained by us.

The exemptive relief provides us with increased flexibility under the 200% asset coverage test by permitting SBIC LP to borrow up to \$150 million (the maximum amount of SBA-guaranteed debentures an SBIC may currently have outstanding once certain conditions have been met) more than we would otherwise be able to absent the receipt of this exemptive relief. As a result, we would, in effect, be permitted to have a lower asset coverage ratio than the 200% asset coverage ratio limitation under the 1940 Act. For example, we would be able to borrow up to \$150 million more than the approximately \$367.9 million permitted under the asset coverage ratio limit as of September 30, 2013. For additional information on SBA regulations that will affect our access to SBA-guaranteed debentures, see "Risk Factors —Risks Relating to Our Business. Our SBIC subsidiary is subject to SBA regulations, and any failure to comply with SBA regulations could have an adverse effect on our operations." SBA regulations currently limit the amount that the SBIC LP may borrow to a maximum of \$150 million when it has at least \$75 million in regulatory capital, receives a capital commitment from the SBA and has been through an examination by the SBA subsequent to licensing.

Code of Ethics

We and MCC Advisors have each adopted a code of ethics pursuant to Rule 17j-1 under the 1940 Act that establishes procedures for personal investments and restricts certain personal securities transactions. Personnel subject to each code may invest in securities for their personal investment accounts, including securities that may be purchased or held by us, so long as such investments are made in accordance with the code's requirements. You may read and copy the code of ethics at the SEC's Public Reference Room in Washington, D.C. You may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at (202) 551-8090. In addition, the code of ethics is attached as an exhibit to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part, and is available on the EDGAR Database on the SEC's Internet site at http://www.sec.gov. You may also obtain copies of the code of ethics, after paying a duplicating fee, by electronic request at the following e-mail address: publicinfo@sec.gov, or by writing the SEC's Public Reference Section, 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549.

Privacy Policy

We are committed to maintaining the privacy of stockholders and to safeguarding our non-public personal information. The following information is provided to help you understand what personal information we collect, how we protect that information and why, in certain cases, we may share information with select other parties.

Generally, we do not receive any nonpublic personal information relating to our stockholders, although certain nonpublic personal information of our stockholders may become available to us. We do not disclose any nonpublic personal information about our stockholders or former stockholders to anyone, except as permitted by law or as is necessary in order to service stockholder accounts (for example, to a transfer agent or third party administrator).

We restrict access to nonpublic personal information about our stockholders to our investment adviser's employees with a legitimate business need for the information. We maintain physical, electronic and procedural safeguards designed to protect the nonpublic personal information of our stockholders.

Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures

We have delegated our proxy voting responsibility to MCC Advisors. The Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures of MCC Advisors are set forth below. The guidelines are reviewed periodically by MCC Advisors and our independent directors, and, accordingly, are subject to change.

MCC Advisors is registered with the SEC as an investment adviser under the Advisers Act. As an investment adviser registered under the Advisers Act, MCC Advisors will have fiduciary duties to us. As part of this duty, MCC Advisors recognizes that it must vote client securities in a timely manner free of conflicts of interest and in our best interests and the best interests of our stockholders. MCC Advisors' Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures have been formulated to ensure decision-making consistent with these fiduciary duties.

These policies and procedures for voting proxies for our investment advisory clients are intended to comply with Section 206 of, and Rule 206(4)-6 under, the Advisers Act.

Proxy Policies

MCC Advisors evaluates routine proxy matters, such as proxy proposals, amendments or resolutions on a case-by-case basis. Routine matters are typically proposed by management and MCC Advisors will normally support such matters so long as they do not measurably change the structure, management control, or operation of the corporation and are consistent with industry standards as well as the corporate laws of the state of incorporation.

MCC Advisors also evaluates non-routine matters on a case-by-case basis. Non-routine proposals concerning social issues are typically proposed by stockholders who believe that the corporation's internally adopted policies are ill-advised or misguided. If MCC Advisors has determined that management is generally socially responsible, MCC Advisors will generally vote against these types of non-routine proposals. Non-routine proposals concerning financial or corporate issues are usually offered by management and seek to change a corporation's legal, business or financial structure. MCC Advisors will generally vote in favor of such proposals provided the position of current stockholders is preserved or enhanced. Non-routine proposals concerning stockholder rights are made regularly by both management and stockholders. They can be generalized as involving issues that transfer or realign board or stockholder voting power. MCC Advisors typically would oppose any proposal aimed solely at thwarting potential takeovers by requiring, for example, super-majority approval. At the same time, MCC Advisors believes stability and continuity promote profitability. MCC Advisors' guidelines in this area seek a balanced view and individual proposals will be carefully assessed in the context of their particular circumstances.

If a vote may involve a material conflict of interest, prior to approving such vote, MCC Advisors must consult with its chief compliance officer to determine whether the potential conflict is material and if so, the appropriate method to resolve such conflict. If the conflict is determined not to be material, MCC Advisors' employees shall vote the proxy in accordance with MCC Advisors' proxy voting policy.

Proxy Voting Records

You may obtain information about how we voted proxies by making a written request for proxy voting information to:

Chief Compliance Officer Medley Capital Corporation 375 Park Avenue, 33rd Floor New York, NY 10152

Other

Under the 1940 Act, we are not generally able to issue and sell our common stock at a price below NAV per share. We may, however, issue and sell our common stock, at a price below the current NAV of the common stock, or issue and sell warrants, options or rights to acquire such common stock, at a price below the current NAV of the common stock if our board of directors determines that such sale is in our best interest and in the best interests of our stockholders, and our stockholders have approved our policy and practice of making such sales within the preceding 12 months. In any such case, the price at which our securities are to be issued and sold may not be less than a price which, in the determination of our board of directors, closely approximates the market value of such securities. At our 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, we received approval from our stockholders to authorize us, with the approval of our board of directors, to sell shares of our common stock at a price or prices below our then current net asset value per share in one or more offerings, subject to certain conditions as set forth in the proxy statement (including, without limitation, that the number of shares issued does not exceed 25% of our then outstanding common stock, at a price below, but no more than 20% below, its then current net asset value). This authorization is effective for securities sold during a period beginning on the date of such stockholder approval, which was obtained on April 4, 2013, and expiring on the date of our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which is expected to be held in February 2014. We intend to seek stockholder approval to sell our common stock below NAV at our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, on the same terms that were approved at our 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

In addition, we received approval from our stockholders to authorize us, with the approval of our board of directors, to issue securities to, subscribe to, convert to, or purchase shares of the Company's common stock in one or more offerings, subject to certain conditions as set forth in the proxy statement. Such authorization has no expiration.

We expect to be periodically examined by the SEC for compliance with the 1940 Act.

We are required to provide and maintain a bond issued by a reputable fidelity insurance company to protect us against larceny and embezzlement. Furthermore, as a BDC, we are prohibited from protecting any director or officer against any liability to us or our stockholders arising from willful misfeasance, bad faith, gross negligence or reckless disregard of the duties involved in the conduct of such person's office.

We and MCC Advisors adopted written policies and procedures reasonably designed to prevent violation of the federal securities laws, and will review these policies and procedures annually for their adequacy and the effectiveness of their implementation. We and MCC Advisors have designated a chief compliance officer to be responsible for administering the policies and procedures.

Small Business Investment Company Regulations

On March 26, 2013, our wholly-owned subsidiary, Medley SBIC LP ("SBIC LP"), a Delaware limited partnership, received a license from Small Business Administration ("SBA") to operate as a Small Business Investment Company ("SBIC") under Section 301(c) of the Small Business Investment Company Act of 1958.

The SBIC license allows the SBIC LP to obtain leverage by issuing SBA-guaranteed debentures, subject to the issuance of a capital commitment by the SBA and other customary procedures. SBA-guaranteed debentures are non-recourse, interest only debentures with interest payable semi-annually and have a ten year maturity. The principal amount of SBA-guaranteed debentures is not required to be paid prior to maturity but may be prepaid at any time without penalty. The interest rate of SBA-guaranteed debentures is fixed on a semi-annual basis at a market-driven spread over U.S. Treasury Notes with 10-year maturities. The SBA, as a creditor, will have a superior claim to the SBIC LP's assets over our stockholders in the event we liquidate the SBIC LP or the SBA exercises its remedies under the SBA-guaranteed debentures issued by the SBIC LP upon an event of default.

SBICs are designed to stimulate the flow of private equity capital to eligible small businesses. Under SBA regulations, SBICs may make loans to eligible small businesses, invest in the equity securities of such businesses and provide them with consulting and advisory services. SBA regulations currently limit the amount that an SBIC may borrow up to a maximum of \$150 million when it has at least \$75 million in regulatory capital, receives a capital commitment from the SBA and has been through an examination by the SBA subsequent to licensing.

Under present SBA regulations, eligible small businesses generally include businesses that (together with their affiliates) have a tangible net worth not exceeding \$18 million and have average annual fully taxed net income after U.S. federal income taxes not exceeding \$6 million (average net income to be computed without benefit of any carryover loss) for the two most recent fiscal years. In addition, an SBIC must devote 25% of its investment activity to "smaller" concerns as defined by the SBA. A smaller concern generally includes businesses that have a tangible net worth not exceeding \$6 million and have average annual net income after U.S. federal income taxes not exceeding \$2 million (average net income to be computed without benefit of any net carryover loss) for the two most recent fiscal years. SBA regulations also provide alternative size standard criteria to determine eligibility for designation as an eligible small business or smaller concern, which criteria depend on the primary industry in which the business is engaged and are based on such factors as the number of employees and gross revenue. However, once an SBIC has invested in a company, it may continue to make follow-on investments in the company, regardless of the size of the company at the time of the follow-on investment, up to the time of the company's initial public offering, if any.

The SBA prohibits an SBIC from providing funds to small businesses for certain purposes, such as relending or investing outside the United States, to businesses engaged in a few prohibited industries and to certain "passive" (*i.e.*, non-operating) companies. In addition, without prior SBA approval, an SBIC may not invest an amount equal to more than approximately 30% of the SBIC's regulatory capital in any one company and its affiliates.

The SBA places certain limitations on the financing terms of investments by SBICs in portfolio companies (such as limiting the permissible interest rate on debt securities held by an SBIC in a portfolio company). Although prior regulations prohibited an SBIC from controlling a small business concern except in limited circumstances, regulations adopted by the SBA in 2002 now allow an SBIC to exercise control over a small business for a period of up to seven years from the date on which the SBIC initially acquires its control position. This control period may be extended for an additional period of time with the SBA's prior written approval.

The SBA restricts the ability of an SBIC to lend money to any of its officers, directors and employees or to invest in affiliates thereof. The SBA also prohibits, without prior SBA approval, a "change of control" of an SBIC or transfers that would result in any person (or a group of persons acting in concert) owning 10% or more of a class of capital stock of a licensed SBIC. A "change of control" is any event which would result in the transfer of the power, direct or indirect, to direct the management and policies of an SBIC, whether through ownership, contractual arrangements or otherwise.

An SBIC (or group of SBICs under common control) may generally have outstanding debentures guaranteed by the SBA in amounts up to twice the amount of the privately raised funds of the SBIC(s). Debentures guaranteed by the SBA have a maturity of ten years, require semi-annual payments of interest and do not require any principal payments prior to maturity. The SBA, as a creditor, will have a superior claim to our SBIC subsidiary's assets over our stockholders in the event we liquidate our SBIC subsidiary or the SBA exercises its remedies under the SBA-guaranteed debentures issued by our SBIC subsidiary upon an event of default.

SBICs must invest idle funds that are not being used to make loans in investments permitted under SBIC regulations in the following limited types of securities: (1) direct obligations of, or obligations guaranteed as to principal and interest by, the U.S. government, which mature within 15 months from the date of the investment; (2) repurchase agreements with federally insured institutions with a maturity of seven days or less (and the securities underlying the repurchase obligations must be direct obligations of or guaranteed by the federal government); (3) certificates of deposit with a maturity of one year or less, issued by a federally insured institution; (4) a deposit account in a federally insured institution that is subject to a withdrawal restriction of one year or less; (5) a checking account in a federally insured institution; or (6) a reasonable petty cash fund.

SBICs are periodically examined and audited by the SBA's staff to determine their compliance with SBA regulations and are periodically required to file certain forms with the SBA. If an SBIC fails to comply with applicable SBA regulations, the SBA could, depending on the severity of the violation, limit or prohibit the SBIC's use of debentures, declare outstanding debentures immediately due and payable, and/or limit the SBIC from making new investments. In addition, the SBIC may also be limited in its ability to make distributions to us if it does not have sufficient capital in accordance with SBA regulations. Such actions by the SBA would, in turn, negatively affect us because Medley SBIC LP is our wholly owned subsidiary.

Neither the SBA nor the U.S. government or any of its agencies or officers has approved any ownership interest to be issued by us or any obligation that we or any of our subsidiaries may incur.

Election to Be Taxed as a RIC

As a BDC, we have elected and qualified to be treated as a RIC under Subchapter M of the Code. As a RIC, we generally will not have to pay corporate-level U.S. federal income taxes on any net ordinary income or capital gains that we timely distribute to our stockholders as dividends. To qualify as a RIC, we must, among other things, meet certain source-of-income and asset diversification requirements (as described below). In addition, we must distribute to our stockholders, for each taxable year, at least 90% of our "investment company taxable income," which is generally our net ordinary income plus the excess of realized net short-term capital gains over realized net long-term capital losses (the "Distribution Requirement"). Our SBIC subsidiary may be limited by the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, and SBA regulations governing SBICs, from making certain distributions to us that may be necessary to enable us to maintain our status as a RIC. We may have to request a waiver of the SBA's restrictions for our SBIC subsidiary to make certain distributions to maintain our RIC status. We cannot assure you that the SBA will grant such a waiver.

Taxation as a RIC

As a RIC, if we satisfy the Distribution Requirement, we will not be subject to U.S. federal income tax on the portion of our investment company taxable income and net capital gain, defined as net long-term capital gains in excess of net short-term capital losses, we distribute to stockholders. We will be subject to U.S. federal income tax at regular corporate rates on any net income or net capital gain not distributed to our stockholders.

Medley Capital will be subject to a nondeductible U.S. federal excise tax of 4% on undistributed income if it does not distribute at least 98% of its ordinary income in any calendar year and 98.2% of its capital gain net income for each one-year period ending on October 31. Depending on the level of investment company taxable income ("ICTI") earned in a tax year, the Company may choose to carry forward ICTI in excess of current year dividend distributions into the next tax year. Any such carryover ICTI must be distributed before the end of that next tax year through a dividend declared prior to filing the final tax return related to the year which generated such ICTI. To the extent that the Company determines that its estimated current year annual taxable income will be in excess of estimated current year dividend distributions for excise tax purposes, the Company accrues excise tax, if any, on estimated excess taxable income as taxable income is earned.

In order to qualify as a RIC for U.S. federal income tax purposes, we must, among other things:

- · qualify to be treated as a BDC under the 1940 Act at all times during each taxable year;
- derive in each taxable year at least 90% of our gross income from dividends, interest, payments with respect to certain securities loans, gains from
 the sale of stock or other securities, or other income derived with respect to our business of investing in such stock or securities, and net income
 derived from interests in "qualified publicly traded partnerships" (partnerships that are traded on an established securities market or tradable on a
 secondary market, other than partnerships that derive 90% of their income from interest, dividends and other permitted RIC income) (the "90%
 Income Test"); and
- · diversify our holdings so that at the end of each quarter of the taxable year:
 - o at least 50% of the value of our assets consists of cash, cash equivalents, U.S. government securities, securities of other RICs, and other securities if such other securities of any one issuer do not represent more than 5% of the value of our assets or more than 10% of the outstanding voting securities of the issuer; and
 - o no more than 25% of the value of our assets is invested in the securities, other than U.S. government securities or securities of other RICs, of one issuer or of two or more issuers that are controlled, as determined under applicable tax rules, by us and that are engaged in the same or similar or related trades or businesses or in the securities of one or more qualified publicly traded partnerships (the "Diversification Tests").

We may invest in partnerships, including qualified publicly traded partnerships, which may result in our being subject to state, local or foreign income and franchise or withholding liabilities.

Any underwriting fees paid by us are not deductible. We may be required to recognize taxable income in circumstances in which we do not receive cash. For example, if we hold debt obligations that are treated under applicable tax rules as having original issue discount (such as debt instruments with PIK interest or, in certain cases, with increasing interest rates or issued with warrants), we must include in income each year a portion of the original issue discount that accrues over the life of the obligation, regardless of whether cash representing such income is received by us in the same taxable year. Because any original issue discount accrued will be included in our investment company taxable income for the year of accrual, we may be required to make a distribution to our stockholders in order to satisfy the Distribution Requirement, even though we will not have received any corresponding cash amount.

Certain of our investment practices may be subject to special and complex U.S. federal income tax provisions that may, among other things, (1) treat dividends that would otherwise constitute qualified dividend income as non-qualified dividend income, (2) treat dividends that would otherwise be eligible for the corporate dividends received deduction as ineligible for such treatment, (3) disallow, suspend or otherwise limit the allowance of certain losses or deductions, (4) convert lower-taxed long term capital gain into higher-taxed short-term capital gain or ordinary income, (5) convert an ordinary loss or a deduction into a capital loss (the deductibility of which is more limited), (6) cause us to recognize income or gain without a corresponding receipt of cash, (7) adversely affect the time as to when a purchase or sale of stock or securities is deemed to occur, (8) adversely alter the characterization of certain complex financial transactions and (9) produce income that will not be qualifying income for purposes of the 90% Income Test. We intend to monitor our transactions and may make certain tax elections to mitigate the effect of these provisions and prevent our disqualification as a RIC.

Gain or loss realized by us from warrants acquired by us as well as any loss attributable to the lapse of such warrants generally will be treated as capital gain or loss. Such gain or loss generally will be long term or short term, depending on how long we held a particular warrant.

Although we do not presently expect to do so, we are authorized to borrow funds and to sell assets in order to satisfy distribution requirements. However, under the 1940 Act, we are not permitted to make distributions to our stockholders while our debt obligations and other senior securities are outstanding unless certain "asset coverage" tests are met. See "Business — Regulation — Senior Securities." Moreover, our ability to dispose of assets to meet our distribution requirements may be limited by (1) the illiquid nature of our portfolio and/or (2) other requirements relating to our qualification as a RIC, including the Diversification Tests. If we dispose of assets in order to meet the Distribution Requirement or the excise tax requirement, we may make such dispositions at times that, from an investment standpoint, are not advantageous.

Some of the income and fees that we may recognize will not satisfy the 90% Income Test. In order to ensure that such income and fees do not disqualify us as a RIC for a failure to satisfy the 90% Income Test, we may be required to recognize such income and fees indirectly through one or more entities treated as corporations for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Such corporations will be required to pay U.S. corporate income tax on their earnings, which ultimately will reduce our return on such income and fees.

Failure to Qualify as a RIC

If we were unable to continue to qualify for treatment as a RIC, we would be subject to tax on all of our taxable income at regular corporate rates. We would not be able to deduct distributions to stockholders, nor would they be required to be made. Distributions, including distributions of net long-term capital gain, would generally be taxable to our stockholders as ordinary dividend income to the extent of our current and accumulated earnings and profits. Subject to certain limitations under the Code, corporate distributees would be eligible for the dividends received deduction. Distributions in excess of our current and accumulated earnings and profits would be treated first as a return of capital to the extent of the stockholder's tax basis, and any remaining distributions would be treated as a capital gain. If we fail to qualify as a RIC for a period greater than two taxable years, to qualify as a RIC in a subsequent year we may be subject to regular corporate tax on any net built-in gains with respect to certain of our assets (*i.e.* , the excess of the aggregate gains, including items of income, over aggregate losses that would have been realized with respect to such assets if we had been liquidated) that we elect to recognize on requalification or when recognized over the next ten years.

Foreign Account Tax Compliance Act

Legislation was enacted on March 18, 2010 that will impose a 30% U.S. withholding tax on dividends paid by U.S. issuers to a foreign financial institution after December 31, 2013 and on the gross proceeds from the disposition of stock paid to a foreign financial institution after December 31, 2016, unless such institution enters into an agreement with the U.S. Treasury Department ("Treasury") to collect and provide to Treasury substantial information regarding U.S. account holders, including certain account holders that are foreign entities with U.S. owners, with such institution. The legislation also generally imposes a withholding tax of 30% on dividends paid by U.S. issuers and on the gross proceeds from the disposition of stock paid to a non-financial foreign entity unless such entity provides the withholding agent with a certification that it does not have any substantial U.S. owners or a certification identifying the direct and indirect substantial U.S. owners of the entity. Under certain circumstances, a holder may be eligible for refunds or credits of such taxes. Investors are urged to consult with their own tax advisors regarding the possible implications of this recently enacted legislation on their investment in shares of our common stock.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Before you invest in our securities, you should be aware of various risks, including those described below. You should carefully consider these risk factors, together with all of the other information included in this Form 10-K, before you decide whether to make an investment in our securities. The risks set out below are not the only risks we face. The risks described below, as well as additional risks and uncertainties presently unknown by us or currently not deemed significant could negatively affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. In such case, our NAV and the trading price of our common stock or other securities could decline, and you may lose all or part of your investment.

RISK RELATING TO OUR BUSINESS AND STRUCTURE

Certain Risks in the Current Environment

Capital markets are currently in a period of disruption and instability. These market conditions have materially and adversely affected debt and equity capital markets in the United States and abroad, which could have a negative impact on our business and operations.

In 2007, the global capital markets entered into a period of disruption as evidenced by a lack of liquidity in the debt capital markets, significant write-offs in the financial services sector, the re-pricing of credit risk in the broadly syndicated credit market and the failure of certain major financial institutions. Despite actions of the U.S. federal government and foreign governments, these events have contributed to worsening general economic conditions that are materially and adversely impacting the broader financial and credit markets and reducing the availability of debt and equity capital for the market as a whole and financial services firms in particular. While recent indicators suggest modest improvement in the capital markets, these conditions could continue for a prolonged period of time or worsen in the future. While these conditions persist, we and other companies in the financial services sector may be required to, or may choose to, seek access to alternative markets for debt and equity capital. Equity capital may be difficult to raise because, subject to some limited exceptions, we will not generally be able to issue and sell our common stock at a price below NAV per share. In addition, the debt capital that will be available, if at all, may be at a higher cost, and on less favorable terms and conditions in the future. Conversely, the portfolio companies in which we will invest may not be able to service or refinance their debt, which could materially and adversely affect our financial condition as we would experience reduced income or even experience losses. The inability to raise capital and the risk of portfolio company defaults may have a negative effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The downgrade of the U.S. credit rating and the economic crisis in Europe could negatively impact our liquidity, financial condition and earnings.

Recent U.S. debt ceiling and budget deficit concerns together with signs of deteriorating sovereign debt conditions in Europe, have increased the possibility of additional credit-rating downgrades for the U.S. and economic slowdowns, or a recession in the U.S. Although U.S. lawmakers passed legislation to raise the federal debt ceiling, Standard & Poor's Ratings Services lowered its long-term sovereign credit rating on the United States from "AAA" to "AA+" in August 2011. The impact of this or any further downgrades to the U.S. government's sovereign credit rating or its perceived creditworthiness could adversely affect the U.S. and global financial markets and economic conditions. Further, Moody's has warned that it may downgrade the U.S. Federal Government's rating if the federal debt is not stabilized. Absent further quantitative easing by the Federal Reserve, these developments, along with the European sovereign debt crisis, could cause interest rates and borrowing costs to rise, which may negatively impact our ability to access the debt markets on favorable terms. Continued adverse economic conditions could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

A failure or the perceived risk of a failure to raise the statutory debt limit of the United States could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

As has been widely reported, the United States Treasury Secretary has stated that the federal government may not be able to meet its debt payments in the relatively near future (currently February 2014) unless the federal debt ceiling is raised. If legislation increasing the debt ceiling is not enacted and the debt ceiling is reached, the federal government may stop or delay making payments on its obligations. A failure by Congress to raise the debt limit would increase the risk of default by the United States on its obligations, as well as the risk of other economic dislocations. If the U.S. Government fails to complete its budget process or to provide for a continuing resolution before the expiration of the current continuing resolution (currently January 2014), another federal government shutdown may result. Such a failure or the perceived risk of such a failure, consequently, could have a material adverse effect on the financial markets and economic conditions in the United States and throughout the world. It could also limit our ability and the ability of our portfolio companies to obtain financing, and it could have a material adverse effect on the valuation of our portfolio companies. Consequently, the continued uncertainty in the general economic environment, including the recent government shutdown and potential debt ceiling implications, as well in specific economies of several individual geographic markets in which our portfolio companies operate, could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Risks Related to Our Business

We may suffer credit losses.

Private debt in the form of secured loans to corporate and asset-based borrowers is highly speculative and involves a high degree of risk of credit loss, and therefore an investment in our securities may not be suitable for someone with a low tolerance for risk. These risks are likely to increase during an economic recession, such as the economic recession or downturn that the United States and many other countries have recently experienced or are experiencing.

Because we use borrowed funds to make investments or fund our business operations, we are exposed to risks typically associated with leverage which increase the risk of investing in us.

We have borrowed funds, including through the issuance of \$40.0 million and \$63.5 million in aggregate principal amount of 7.125% unsecured notes due March 30, 2019 and 6.125% unsecured notes due March 30, 2023 (collectively the "Notes"), through draws from our Revolving Credit Facility, Term Loan Facility and SBA-guaranteed debentures to leverage our capital structure, which is generally considered a speculative investment technique. As of September 30, 2013, our Term Loan Facility and Revolving Credit Facility had outstanding balances of \$120.0 million and \$2.5 million, respectively, and we had \$30.0 million SBA-guaranteed debentures outstanding. As a result:

- our common shares may be exposed to an increased risk of loss because a decrease in the value of our investments may have a greater negative impact on the value of our common shares than if we did not use leverage;
- if we do not appropriately match the assets and liabilities of our business, adverse changes in interest rates could reduce or eliminate the incremental income we make with the proceeds of any leverage;
- our ability to pay dividends on our common stock may be restricted if our asset coverage ratio, as provided in the 1940 Act, is not at least 200% and any amounts used to service indebtedness or preferred stock would not be available for such dividends;
- the Revolving Facility is subject to periodic renewal by our lenders, whose continued participation cannot be guaranteed;
- the Facilities contain covenants restricting our operating flexibility;
- · we, and indirectly our stockholders, bear the cost of issuing and paying interest or dividends on such securities; and
- any convertible or exchangeable securities that we issue may have rights, preferences and privileges more favorable than those of our common shares.

Under the provisions of the 1940 Act, we are permitted, as a BDC, to issue debt securities or preferred stock and/or borrow money from banks and other financial institutions, which we collectively refer to as "senior securities", only in amounts such that our asset coverage ratio equals at least 200% after each issuance of senior securities.

For a discussion of the terms of the Facilities and the Notes, see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Financial Condition, Liquidity and Capital Resources."

Covenants in the Facilities may restrict our financial and operating flexibility.

We maintain the Facilities with certain lenders party thereto from time to time and ING Capital LLC, as administrative agent. The Facilities are secured by substantially all of our assets, subject to certain exclusions. Availability of loans under the Facilities is linked to the valuation of the collateral pursuant to a borrowing base mechanism. Borrowings under the Facilities are subject to, among other things, a minimum borrowing/collateral base. Substantially all of our assets are pledged as collateral under the Facilities. The Facilities require us to, among other things (i) make representations and warranties regarding the collateral as well as our business and operations, (ii) agree to certain indemnification obligations, and (iii) agree to comply with various affirmative and negative covenants. The documents for each of the Facilities also include default provisions such as the failure to make timely payments under the Facilities, as the case may be, the occurrence of a change in control, and our failure to materially perform under the operative agreements governing the Facilities, which, if not complied with, could accelerate repayment under the Facilities, thereby materially and adversely affecting our liquidity, financial condition and results of operations.

As a result of such covenants and restrictions in the Facilities, we will be limited in how we conduct our business and we may be unable to raise additional debt or equity financing to take advantage of new business opportunities. In addition, our ability to satisfy the financial requirements required by the Facilities can be affected by events beyond our control and we cannot assure you that we will meet these requirements. We cannot assure you that we will be able to maintain compliance with these covenants in the future and, if we fail to do so, we may be in default under the Facilities, and we may be prohibited from undertaking actions that are necessary or desirable to maintain and expand our business.

Default under the Facilities could allow the lender(s) to declare all amounts outstanding to be immediately due and payable. If the lender(s) declare amounts outstanding under the Facilities to be due, the lender(s) could proceed against the assets pledged to secure the debt under the Facilities. Any event of default, therefore, could have a material adverse effect on our business if the lender(s) determine to exercise their rights.

The lack of liquidity in our investments may adversely affect our business.

We anticipate that our investments generally will be made in private companies. Substantially all of these securities will be subject to legal and other restrictions on resale or will be otherwise less liquid than publicly traded securities. The illiquidity of our investments may make it difficult for us to sell such investments if the need arises. In addition, if we are required to liquidate all or a portion of our portfolio quickly, we may realize significantly less than the value at which we had previously recorded our investments. In addition, we may face other restrictions on our ability to liquidate an investment in a portfolio company to the extent that we or our investment adviser has material non-public information regarding such portfolio company.

A substantial portion of our portfolio investments may be recorded at fair value as determined in good faith by or under the direction of our board of directors and, as a result, there may be uncertainty regarding the value of our portfolio investments.

The debt and equity securities in which we invest for which market quotations are not readily available will be valued at fair value as determined in good faith by or under the direction of our board of directors. Most, if not all, of our investments (other than cash and cash equivalents) will be classified as Level 3 under Accounting Standards Codification Topic 820 — Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures. This means that our portfolio valuations will be based on unobservable inputs and our own assumptions about how market participants would price the asset or liability in question. We expect that inputs into the determination of fair value of our portfolio investments will require significant management judgment or estimation. Even if observable market data are available, such information may be the result of consensus pricing information or broker quotes, which include a disclaimer that the broker would not be held to such a price in an actual transaction. The non-binding nature of consensus pricing and/or quotes accompanied by disclaimers materially reduces the reliability of such information. We have retained the services of an independent service provider to review the valuation of these loans and securities. The types of factors that the board of directors may take into account in determining the fair value of our investments generally include, as appropriate, comparison to publicly traded securities including such factors as yield, maturity and measures of credit quality, the enterprise value of a portfolio company, the nature and realizable value of any collateral, the portfolio company's ability to make payments and its earnings and discounted cash flow, the markets in which the portfolio company does business and other relevant factors. Because such valuations, and particularly valuations of private securities and private companies, are inherently uncertain, may fluctuate over short periods of time and may be based on estimates, our determinations of fair value may differ materially from the values that would have been used if a ready market for these loans and securities existed. Our net asset value could be adversely affected if our determinations regarding the fair value of our investments were materially higher than the values that we ultimately realize upon the disposal of such loans and securities.

We are a non-diversified investment company within the meaning of the 1940 Act, and therefore we are not limited with respect to the proportion of our assets that may be invested in securities of a single issuer.

We are classified as a non-diversified investment company within the meaning of the 1940 Act, which means that we are not limited by the 1940 Act with respect to the proportion of our assets that we may invest in securities of a single issuer. We also are not adopting any policy restricting the percentage of our assets that may be invested in a single portfolio company. To the extent that we assume large positions in the securities of a small number of issuers, our NAV may fluctuate to a greater extent than that of a diversified investment company as a result of changes in the financial condition or the market's assessment of the issuer. We may also be more susceptible to any single economic or regulatory occurrence than a diversified investment company. Beyond our income tax diversification requirements under Subchapter M of the Code, we do not have fixed guidelines for diversification, and our investments could be concentrated in relatively few portfolio companies.

Our portfolio may be concentrated in a limited number of portfolio companies; this concentration will subject us to a risk of significant loss if any of these companies defaults on its obligations.

The number of portfolio companies in our portfolio may be higher or lower depending on the amount of our assets under management at any given time, market conditions and the extent to which we employ leverage, and will likely fluctuate over time. A consequence of this limited number of investments is that the aggregate returns we realize may be materially and adversely affected if a small number of investments perform poorly or if we need to write down the value of any one investment.

In addition, investments in our portfolio are not rated by any rating agency. We believe that if such investments were rated, the vast majority would be rated below investment grade due to speculative characteristics of the issuer's capacity to pay interest and repay principal. Our investments may result in an amount of risk, volatility or potential loss of principal that is greater than that of alternative investments. In addition, to the extent interest payments associated with such debt are deferred, such debt will be subject to greater fluctuations in value based on changes in interest rates, such debt could subject us to phantom income, and since we will generally not receive any cash prior to maturity of the debt, the investment will be of greater risk.

Our ability to enter into transactions with our affiliates will be restricted, which may limit the scope of investments available to us.

We are prohibited under the 1940 Act from participating in certain transactions with our affiliates without the prior approval of our independent directors and, in some cases, of the SEC. Any person that owns, directly or indirectly, five percent or more of our outstanding voting securities will be our affiliate for purposes of the 1940 Act, and we are generally prohibited from buying or selling any security from or to such affiliate, absent the prior approval of our independent directors. The 1940 Act also prohibits certain "joint" transactions with certain of our affiliates, which could include investments in the same portfolio company, without prior approval of our independent directors and, in some cases, of the SEC. We are prohibited from buying or selling any security from or to any person who owns more than 25% of our voting securities or certain of that person's affiliates, or entering into prohibited joint transactions with such persons, absent the prior approval of the SEC. As a result of these restrictions, we may be prohibited from buying or selling any security (other than any security of which we are the issuer) from or to any portfolio company of a private equity fund managed by our investment adviser or its affiliates without the prior approval of the SEC, which may limit the scope of investment opportunities that would otherwise be available to us.

We may, however, co-invest with our investment adviser and its affiliates' other clients in certain circumstances where doing so is consistent with applicable law and SEC staff interpretations. For example, we may co-invest with such accounts consistent with guidance promulgated by the SEC staff permitting us and such other accounts to purchase interests in a single class of privately placed securities so long as certain conditions are met, including that our investment adviser, acting on our behalf and on behalf of other clients, negotiates no term other than price. We may also co-invest with our investment adviser's other clients as otherwise permissible under regulatory guidance, applicable regulations and MCC Advisors' allocation policy. Under this allocation policy, a fixed percentage of each opportunity, which may vary based on asset class and from time to time, will be offered to us and similar eligible accounts, as periodically determined by MCC Advisors and approved by our board of directors, including our independent directors. The allocation policy further provides that allocations among us and these other accounts will generally be made pro rata based on each account's capital available for investment, as determined, in our case, by our board of directors. It is our policy to base our determinations as to the amount of capital available for investment based on such factors as the amount of cash on-hand, existing commitments and reserves, if any, the targeted leverage level, the targeted asset mix and diversification requirements and other investment policies and restrictions set by our board of directors or imposed by applicable laws, rules, regulations or interpretations. We expect that these determinations will be made similarly for other accounts. However, we can offer no assurance that investment opportunities will be allocated to us fairly or equitably in the short-term or over time.

In addition, we have received an order from the SEC that permits us to negotiate the terms of co-investments with other funds managed by MCC Advisors or its affiliates subject to the conditions included therein. In situations where co-investment with other funds managed by MCC Advisors or its affiliates is not permitted or appropriate, such as when there is an opportunity to invest in different securities of the same issuer or where the different investments could be expected to result in a conflict between our interests and those of other MCC Advisors clients, MCC Advisors will need to decide which client will proceed with the investment. MCC Advisors will make these determinations based on its policies and procedures, which generally require that such opportunities be offered to eligible accounts on an alternating basis that will be fair and equitable over time. Moreover, except in certain circumstances, we will be unable to invest in any issuer in which a fund managed by MCC Advisors or its affiliates has previously invested. Similar restrictions limit our ability to transact business with our officers or directors or their affiliates.

We will be exposed to risks associated with changes in interest rates.

Interest rate fluctuations may have a substantial negative impact on our investments, the value of our common stock and our rate of return on invested capital. A reduction in the interest rates on new investments relative to interest rates on current investments could also have an adverse impact on our net interest income. An increase in interest rates could decrease the value of any investments we hold which earn fixed interest rates and also could increase our interest expense, thereby decreasing our net income. Also, an increase in interest rates available to investors could make investment in our common stock less attractive if we are not able to increase our dividend rate, which could reduce the value of our common stock.

It is unclear how increased regulatory oversight and changes in the method for determining LIBOR may affect the value of the financial obligations to be held or issued by us that are linked to LIBOR, or how such changes could affect our results of operations or financial condition.

As a result of concerns about the accuracy of the calculation of LIBOR, a number of British Bankers' Association, or BBA, member banks entered into settlements with certain regulators and law enforcement agencies with respect to the alleged manipulation of LIBOR, and there are ongoing investigations by regulators and governmental authorities in various jurisdictions. Following a review of LIBOR conducted at the request of the U.K. government, on September 28, 2012, recommendations for reforming the setting and governing of LIBOR were released, which are referred to as the Wheatley Review. The Wheatley Review made a number of recommendations for changes with respect to LIBOR, including the introduction of S-5 statutory regulation of LIBOR, the transfer of responsibility for LIBOR from the BBA to an independent administrator, changes to the method of the compilation of lending rates and new regulatory oversight and enforcement mechanisms for rate-setting and a reduction in the number of currencies and tenors for which LIBOR is published. Based on the Wheatley Review and on a subsequent public and governmental consultation process, on March 25, 2013, the U.K. Financial Services Authority published final rules for the U.K. Financial Conduct Authority's regulation and supervision of LIBOR, which are referred to as the FCA Rules. In particular, the FCA Rules include requirements that (1) an independent LIBOR administrator monitor and survey LIBOR submissions to identify breaches of practice standards and/or potentially manipulative behavior, and (2) firms submitting data to LIBOR establish and maintain a clear conflicts of interest policy and appropriate systems and controls. The FCA Rules took effect on April 2, 2013. It is uncertain what additional regulatory changes or what changes, if any, in the method of determining LIBOR may be required or made by the U.K. government or other governmental or regulatory authorities. Accordingly, uncertainty as to the nature of such changes may adversely affect the market for or value of any LIBOR-linked securities, loans, derivatives and other financial obligations or extensions of credit held by or due to us or on our overall financial condition or results of operations. In addition, any further changes or reforms to the determination or supervision of LIBOR may result in a sudden or prolonged increase or decrease in reported LIBOR, which could have an adverse impact on the market for or value of any LIBOR-linked securities, loans, derivatives and other financial obligations or extensions of credit held by or due to us or on our overall financial condition or results of operations.

Because we use debt to finance our investments, changes in interest rates will affect our cost of capital and net investment income.

Because we borrow money to make investments, our net investment income will depend, in part, upon the difference between the rate at which we borrow funds and the rate at which we invest those funds. As a result, we can offer no assurance that a significant change in market interest rates will not have a material adverse effect on our net investment income in the event we use our existing debt to finance our investments. In periods of rising interest rates, our cost of funds will increase to the extent we access the Facilities, since the interest rate on the Facilities is floating, which could reduce our net investment income to the extent any debt investments have fixed interest rates. We expect that our long-term fixed-rate investments will be financed primarily with issuances of equity and long-term debt securities. We may use interest rate risk management techniques in an effort to limit our exposure to interest rate fluctuations. Such techniques may include various interest rate hedging activities to the extent permitted by the 1940 Act.

You should also be aware that a rise in the general level of interest rates typically leads to higher interest rates applicable to our debt investments. Accordingly, an increase in interest rates may result in an increase of the amount of incentive fees payable to MCC Advisors.

If MCC Advisors is unable to manage our investments effectively, we may be unable to achieve our investment objective.

Our ability to achieve our investment objective will depend on our ability to manage our business, which will depend, in turn, on the ability of MCC Advisors to identify, invest in and monitor companies that meet our investment criteria. Accomplishing this result largely will be a function of MCC Advisors' investment process and, in conjunction with its role as our administrator, its ability to provide competent, attentive and efficient services to us.

MCC Advisors' senior management team is also the senior management team for Medley Capital, and may in the future manage other private investment funds. They may also be required to provide managerial assistance to our portfolio companies. These demands on their time may distract them or slow our rate of investment. Any failure to manage our business effectively could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We may experience fluctuations in our periodic operating results.

We could experience fluctuations in our periodic operating results due to a number of factors, including the interest rates payable on the debt securities we acquire, the default rate on such securities, the level of our expenses (including the interest rates payable on our borrowings), the dividend rates payable on preferred stock we issue, variations in and the timing of the recognition of realized and unrealized gains or losses, the degree to which we encounter competition in our markets and general economic conditions. As a result of these factors, results for any period should not be relied upon as being indicative of performance in future periods.

Any failure on our part to maintain our status as a BDC would reduce our operating flexibility.

If we fail to qualify as a BDC, we might be regulated as a closed-end investment company under the 1940 Act, which would subject us to substantially more onerous regulatory restrictions under the 1940 Act and correspondingly decrease our operating flexibility.

We may have difficulty paying our required distributions if we recognize income before or without receiving cash representing such income.

For federal income tax purposes, we may include in income certain amounts that we have not yet received in cash, such as original issue discount, which may arise if we receive warrants in connection with the making of a loan or possibly in other circumstances, such as payment-in-kind interest, which represents contractual interest added to the loan balance and due at the end of the loan term. Such original issue discount, which could be significant relative to our overall investment activities, or increases in loan balances as a result of payment-in-kind arrangements are included in income before we receive any corresponding cash payments. We also may be required to include in income certain other amounts that we do not receive in cash.

Since in certain cases we may recognize income before or without receiving cash representing such income, we may have difficulty meeting the tax requirement to distribute at least 90% of our net ordinary income and realized net short-term capital gains in excess of realized net long-term capital losses, if any, to maintain our status as a RIC. Accordingly, we may have to sell some of our investments at times we would not consider advantageous, raise additional debt or equity capital or reduce new investment originations to meet these distribution requirements. If we are not able to raise cash from other sources, we may fail to qualify as a RIC and thus become subject to corporate-level income tax. See "Tax Matters — Taxation of the Company".

We may be required to pay incentive fees on income accrued, but not yet received in cash.

That part of the incentive fee payable by us that relates to our net investment income is computed and paid on income that may include interest that has been accrued but not yet received in cash, such as market discount, debt instruments with payment-in-kind, or PIK, interest, preferred stock with PIK dividends and zero coupon securities. If a portfolio company defaults on a loan, it is possible that accrued interest previously used in the calculation of the incentive fee will become uncollectible. Consequently, we may make incentive fee payments on income accruals that we may not collect in the future and with respect to which we do not have a clawback right against MCC Advisors.

We may not be able to pay you dividends and our dividends may not grow over time.

We intend to pay quarterly dividends to our stockholders out of assets legally available for distribution. We cannot assure you that we will achieve investment results that will allow us to pay a specified level of cash dividends or year-to-year increases in cash dividends. Our ability to pay dividends might be adversely affected by, among other things, the impact of one or more of the risk factors described herein. In addition, the inability to satisfy the asset coverage test applicable to us as a BDC could limit our ability to pay dividends. All dividends will be paid at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend on our earnings, our financial condition, maintenance of our RIC status, compliance with applicable BDC regulations, restrictions on the payment of dividends under the Facilities, our SBIC subsidiary's compliance with SBIC regulations and such other factors as our board of directors may deem relevant from time to time. We cannot assure you that we will pay dividends to our stockholders in the future.

Our SBIC subsidiary may be unable to make distributions to us that will enable us to meet or maintain RIC status, which could result in the imposition of an entity-level tax.

In order for us to continue to qualify for RIC tax treatment and to minimize corporate-level taxes, we will be required to distribute substantially all of our net ordinary income and net capital gain income, including income from certain of our subsidiaries, which includes the income from our SBIC subsidiary. We are partially dependent on our SBIC subsidiary for cash distributions to enable us to meet the RIC distribution requirements. Our SBIC subsidiary may be limited by the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, and SBIC regulations governing SBICs, from making certain distributions to us that may be necessary to enable us to maintain our status as a RIC. We may have to request a waiver of the SBA's restrictions for our SBIC subsidiary to make certain distributions to maintain our eligibility for RIC status. We cannot assure you that the SBA will grant such a waiver and if our SBIC subsidiary is unable to obtain a waiver, compliance with the SBIC regulations may result in loss of RIC tax treatment and a consequent imposition of an entity-level tax on us.

Our SBIC subsidiary is subject to SBA regulations, and any failure to comply with SBA regulations could have an adverse effect on our operations.

On March 26, 2013, our wholly-owned subsidiary, Medley SBIC LP ("SBIC LP"), received a Small Business Investment Company ("SBIC") license from the Small Business Administration ("SBA").

The SBIC license allows SBIC LP to obtain leverage by issuing SBA-guaranteed debentures, subject to the issuance of a capital commitment by the SBA and other customary procedures. SBA-guaranteed debentures are non-recourse, interest only debentures with interest payable semi-annually and have a ten year maturity. The principal amount of SBA-guaranteed debentures is not required to be paid prior to maturity but may be prepaid at any time without penalty. The interest rate of SBA-guaranteed debentures is fixed on a semi-annual basis at a market-driven spread over U.S. Treasury Notes with 10-year maturities. The SBA, as a creditor, will have a superior claim to SBIC LP's assets over our stockholders in the event we liquidate SBIC LP or the SBA exercises its remedies under the SBA-guaranteed debentures issued by SBIC LP upon an event of default.

Further, the SBA regulations require that a licensed SBIC be periodically examined and audited by the SBA to determine its compliance with the relevant SBA regulations. The SBA prohibits, without prior SBA approval, a "change of control" of an SBIC or any transfers of the capital stock of a licensed SBIC. If our SBIC subsidiary fails to comply with applicable SBIC regulations, the SBA could, depending on the severity of the violation, limit or prohibit its use of debentures, declare outstanding debentures immediately due and payable, and/or limit it from making new investments. In addition, the SBA can revoke or suspend a license for willful or repeated violation of, or willful or repeated failure to observe, any provision of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 or any rule or regulation promulgated thereunder. Our SBIC subsidiary's investment adviser does not have any prior experience managing an SBIC. Its lack of experience in complying with SBA regulations may hinder its ability to take advantage of our SBIC subsidiary's access to SBA-guaranteed debentures. Any failure to comply with SBA regulations could have an adverse effect on our operations.

SBA regulations limit the outstanding dollar amount of SBA guaranteed debentures that may be issued by an SBIC or group of SBICs under common control.

The SBA regulations currently limit the dollar amount of SBA-guaranteed debentures that can be issued by any one SBIC to \$150.0 million or to a group of SBICs under common control to \$225.0 million. Moreover, an SBIC may not borrow an amount in excess of two times (and in certain cases, up to three times) its regulatory capital. As of September 30, 2013, our SBIC subsidiary had \$30.0 million in SBA-guaranteed debentures outstanding. If we reach the maximum dollar amount of SBA-guaranteed debentures permitted, and if we require additional capital, our cost of capital is likely to increase, and there is no assurance that we will be able to obtain additional financing on acceptable terms.

Moreover, the current status of our SBIC subsidiary as an SBIC does not automatically assure that our SBIC subsidiary will continue to receive SBA-guaranteed debenture funding. Receipt of SBA leverage funding is dependent upon our SBIC subsidiary continuing to be in compliance with SBA regulations and policies and available SBA funding. The amount of SBA leverage funding available to SBICs is dependent upon annual Congressional authorizations and in the future may be subject to annual Congressional appropriations. There can be no assurance that there will be sufficient debenture funding available at the times desired by our SBIC subsidiary.

The debentures guaranteed by the SBA have a maturity of ten years and require semi-annual payments of interest. Our SBIC subsidiary will need to generate sufficient cash flow to make required interest payments on the debentures. If our SBIC subsidiary is unable to meet their financial obligations under the debentures, the SBA, as a creditor, will have a superior claim to our SBIC subsidiary's assets over our stockholders in the event we liquidate our SBIC subsidiary or the SBA exercises its remedies under such debentures as the result of a default by us.

The highly competitive market in which we operate may limit our investment opportunities.

A number of entities compete with us to make the types of investments that we make. We compete with public and private funds, commercial and investment banks, commercial financing companies, other SBICs and, to the extent they provide an alternative form of financing, private equity funds. Additionally, because competition for investment opportunities generally has increased among alternative investment vehicles, such as hedge funds, those entities have begun to invest in areas in which they have not traditionally invested. As a result of these new entrants, competition for investment opportunities intensified in recent years and may intensify further in the future. Some of our existing and potential competitors are substantially larger and have considerably greater financial, technical and marketing resources than we do. For example, some competitors may have a lower cost of funds and access to funding sources that are not available to us. In addition, some of our competitors may have higher risk tolerances or different risk assessments, which could allow them to consider a wider variety of investments and establish more relationships than us. Furthermore, many of our competitors are not subject to the regulatory restrictions and valuation requirements that the 1940 Act imposes on us as a BDC and the tax consequences of qualifying as a RIC. We cannot assure you that the competitive pressures we face will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Also, as a result of this existing and potentially increasing competition, we may not be able to take advantage of attractive investment opportunities from time to time, and we can offer no assurance that we will be able to identify and make investments that are consistent with our investment objective.

We do not seek to compete primarily based on the interest rates we offer, and we believe that some of our competitors make loans with interest rates that are comparable to or lower than the rates we offer. We may lose investment opportunities if we do not match our competitors' pricing, terms and structure. If we match our competitors' pricing, terms and structure, we may experience decreased net interest income and increased risk of credit loss. A significant part of our competitive advantage stems from the fact that the market for investments in mid-sized companies is underserved by traditional commercial banks and other financial institutions. A significant increase in the number and/or size of our competitors in this target market could force us to accept less attractive investment terms. Furthermore, many of our competitors have greater experience operating under the regulatory restrictions of the 1940 Act.

We depend upon senior management personnel of MCC Advisors for our future success, and if MCC Advisors is unable to retain qualified personnel or if MCC Advisors loses any member of its senior management team, our ability to achieve our investment objective could be significantly harmed.

We depend on the members of senior management of MCC Advisors, particularly the managing partners Brook Taube, Seth Taube and Andrew Fentress, as well as other key investment personnel for the identification, final selection, structuring, closing and monitoring of our investments. These members of MCC Advisors' senior management and investment teams are integral to its asset management activities and have critical industry experience and relationships that we will rely on to implement our business plan. Our future success depends on their continued service to MCC Advisors. The departure of any of the members of MCC Advisors' senior management or a significant number of the members of its investment team could have a material adverse effect on our ability to achieve our investment objective. As a result, we may not be able to operate our business as we expect, and our ability to compete could be harmed, which could cause our operating results to suffer. In addition, we can offer no assurance that MCC Advisors will remain our investment adviser or our administrator.

Our investment adviser may not be able to achieve the same or similar returns as those achieved by our senior management and investment teams while they were employed at prior positions.

The track record and achievements of the senior management and investment teams of MCC Advisors are not necessarily indicative of future results that will be achieved by our investment adviser. As a result, our investment adviser may not be able to achieve the same or similar returns as those achieved by our senior management and investment teams while they were employed at prior positions.

Because we expect to distribute substantially all of our net investment income and net realized capital gains to our stockholders, we will need additional capital to finance our growth and such capital may not be available on favorable terms or at all.

We have elected and qualified to be taxed for federal income tax purposes as a RIC under Subchapter M of the Code. As a RIC, we must meet certain requirements, including source-of-income, asset diversification and distribution requirements in order to not have to pay corporate-level taxes on income we distribute to our stockholders as dividends, which allows us to substantially reduce or eliminate our corporate-level tax liability. As a BDC, we are generally required to meet a coverage ratio of total assets to total senior securities, which includes all of our borrowings and any preferred stock we may issue in the future, of at least 200% at the time we issue any debt or preferred stock. This requirement limits the amount of our leverage. Because we will continue to need capital to grow our investment portfolio, this limitation may prevent us from incurring debt or issuing preferred stock and require us to raise additional equity at a time when it may be disadvantageous to do so. We cannot assure you that debt and equity financing will be available to us on favorable terms, or at all, and debt financings may be restricted by the terms of any of our outstanding borrowings. In addition, as a BDC, we are generally not permitted to issue common stock priced below NAV without stockholder approval. If additional funds are not available to us, we could be forced to curtail or cease new lending and investment activities, and our NAV could decline.

Our board of directors may change our investment objective, operating policies and strategies without prior notice or stockholder approval.

Our board of directors has the authority to modify or waive certain of our operating policies and strategies without prior notice and without stockholder approval. However, absent stockholder approval, we may not change the nature of our business so as to cease to be, or withdraw our election as, a BDC. We cannot predict the effect any changes to our current operating policies and strategies would have on our business, operating results or value of our stock. Nevertheless, the effects could adversely affect our business and impact our ability to make distributions and cause you to lose all or part of your investment.

There are significant potential conflicts of interest that could affect our investment returns.

There may be times when MCC Advisors, its senior management and investment teams, and members of its Investment Committee have interests that differ from those of our stockholders, giving rise to a conflict of interest. In particular, certain private investment funds managed by the Principals of MCC Advisors hold controlling or minority equity interests, or have the right to acquire such equity interests, in some of our portfolio companies. As a result, the Principals of MCC Advisors may face conflicts of interests in connection with making business decisions for these portfolio companies to the extent that such decisions affect the debt and equity holders in these portfolio companies differently. In addition, the Principals of MCC Advisors may face conflicts of interests in connection with making investment or other decisions, including granting loan waivers or concessions, on our behalf with respect to these portfolio companies given that they also manage private investment funds that hold the equity interests in these portfolio companies.

There may be conflicts related to obligations MCC Advisors' senior management and investment teams and members of its Investment Committee have to other clients.

The members of the senior management and investment teams and the Investment Committee of MCC Advisors serve or may serve as officers, directors or principals of entities that operate in the same or a related line of business as we do, or of investment funds managed by MCC Advisors or its affiliates. In serving in these multiple capacities, they may have obligations to other clients or investors in those entities, the fulfillment of which may not be in our best interests or in the best interest of our stockholders. For example, Brook Taube, Seth Taube and Andrew Fentress, have management responsibilities for other investment funds, accounts or other investment vehicles managed by affiliates of MCC Advisors.

Our investment objective may overlap with the investment objectives of such investment funds, accounts or other investment vehicles. For example, affiliates of MCC Advisors currently manage private funds and managed accounts that are seeking new capital commitments and will pursue an investment strategy similar to our strategy, and we may compete with these and other entities managed by affiliates of MCC Advisors for capital and investment opportunities. As a result, those individuals may face conflicts in the allocation of investment opportunities among us and other investment funds or accounts advised by principals of, or affiliated with, MCC Advisors.

We have received an order from the SEC which permits us to co-invest with certain other investment funds managed by MCC Advisors or its affiliates, subject to the conditions included therein. In situations where we cannot co-invest with other investment funds managed by MCC Advisors or its affiliates, the investment policies and procedures of MCC Advisors generally require that such opportunities be offered to us and such other investment funds on an alternating basis. However, there can be no assurance that we will be able to participate in all investment opportunities that are suitable to us.

MCC Advisors may, from time to time, possess material non-public information, limiting our investment discretion.

MCC Advisors and members of its senior management and investment teams and Investment Committee may serve as directors of, or in a similar capacity with, companies in which we invest, the securities of which are purchased or sold on our behalf. In the event that material nonpublic information is obtained with respect to such companies, we could be prohibited for a period of time from purchasing or selling the securities of such companies by law or otherwise, and this prohibition may have an adverse effect on us.

Our incentive fee structure may create incentives for MCC Advisors that are not fully aligned with the interests of our stockholders.

In the course of our investing activities, we will pay management and incentive fees to MCC Advisors. These fees are based on our gross assets. As a result, investors in our common stock will invest on a "gross" basis and receive distributions on a "net" basis after expenses, resulting in a lower rate of return than one might achieve through direct investments. Because these fees are based on our gross assets, MCC Advisors will benefit when we incur debt or use leverage. Additionally, under the incentive fee structure, MCC Advisors may benefit when capital gains are recognized and, because MCC Advisors determines when a holding is sold, MCC Advisors controls the timing of the recognition of such capital gains. Our board of directors is charged with protecting our interests by monitoring how MCC Advisors addresses these and other conflicts of interests associated with its management services and compensation. While they are not expected to review or approve each borrowing or incurrence of leverage, our independent directors will periodically review MCC Advisors' services and fees as well as its portfolio management decisions and portfolio performance. In connection with these reviews, our independent directors will consider whether our fees and expenses (including those related to leverage) remain appropriate. As a result of this arrangement, MCC Advisors or its affiliates may from time to time have interests that differ from those of our stockholders, giving rise to a conflict.

The part of the incentive fee payable to MCC Advisors that relates to our net investment income will be computed and paid on income that may include interest income that has been accrued but not yet received in cash. This fee structure may be considered to involve a conflict of interest for MCC Advisors to the extent that it may encourage MCC Advisors to favor debt financings that provide for deferred interest, rather than current cash payments of interest. MCC Advisors may have an incentive to invest in deferred interest securities in circumstances where it would not have done so but for the opportunity to continue to earn the incentive fee even when the issuers of the deferred interest securities would not be able to make actual cash payments to us on such securities. This risk could be increased because MCC Advisors is not obligated to reimburse us for any incentive fees received even if we subsequently incur losses or never receive in cash the deferred income that was previously accrued.

Because we borrow money, the potential for loss on amounts invested in us will be magnified and may increase the risk of investing in us.

Borrowings, also known as leverage, magnify the potential for loss on invested equity capital. If we continue to use leverage to partially finance our investments, which we have increasingly done over the years, you will experience increased risks of investing in our securities. We borrow under the Facilities, issued the Notes, issued the SBA-guaranteed debentures and may issue other debt securities or enter into other types of borrowing arrangements in the future. If the value of our assets decreases, leveraging would cause net asset value to decline more sharply than it otherwise would have had we not leveraged. Similarly, any decrease in our income would cause net income to decline more sharply than it would have had we not borrowed. Such a decline could negatively affect our ability to make common stock distributions or scheduled debt payments. Leverage is generally considered a speculative investment technique and we only intend to use leverage if expected returns will exceed the cost of borrowing.

As of September 30, 2013, our Term Loan Facility and Revolving Credit Facility had outstanding balances of \$120.0 million and \$2.5 million, respectively, \$30.0 million SBA-guaranteed debentures outstanding and we had \$103.5 million of outstanding Notes. The Facilities and the Notes require periodic payments of interest. The weighted average interest rate charged on our borrowings as of September 30, 2013 was 5.1% (exclusive of deferred financing costs). We will need to generate sufficient cash flow to make these required interest payments. In order for us to cover our annual interest payments on indebtedness, we must achieve annual returns on our September 30, 2013 total assets of at least 1.3%. If we are unable to meet the financial obligations under the Facilities, the lenders under the Facilities will have a superior to claim to our assets over our stockholders. If we are unable to meet the financial obligations under the Notes, the holders thereof will have the right to declare the principal amount and accrued and unpaid interest on the outstanding Notes to be due and payable immediately.

We have received exemptive relief from the SEC to permit us to exclude the debt of our SBIC subsidiary guaranteed by the SBA from the definition of senior securities in the 200% asset coverage ratio we are required to maintain under the 1940 Act. As a result of our receipt of this relief, if we receive a license from the SBA we will have the ability to incur leverage in excess of the amounts set forth in the 1940 Act. If we incur additional leverage in excess of the amounts set forth in the 1940 Act, our net asset value will decline more sharply if the value of our assets declines than if we had not incurred such additional leverage and the effects of leverage described above will be magnified.

Illustration. The following table illustrates the effect of leverage on returns from an investment in our common stock assuming various annual returns, net of expenses. The calculations in the table below are hypothetical and actual returns may be higher or lower than those appearing below.

Assumed Return on Our Portfolio⁽¹⁾ (net of expenses)

| | (10.0)% | (5.0)% | 0.0% | 5.0% | 10.0% |
|--|----------|----------|---------|-------|--------|
| Corresponding net return to common stockholder | (17.76)% | (10.15)% | (2.53)% | 5.08% | 12.69% |

(1) Assumes \$776.4 million in total assets, \$256.0 million in debt outstanding, \$509.8 million in net assets, and a weighted average interest rate of 5.1%. Actual interest payments may be different.

Our incentive fee may induce our investment adviser to make certain investments, including speculative investments.

The incentive fee payable by us to MCC Advisors may create an incentive for MCC Advisors to make investments on our behalf that are risky or more speculative than would be the case in the absence of such compensation arrangement. The way in which the incentive fee payable to MCC Advisors is determined, which is calculated separately in two components as a percentage of the interest and other ordinary income in excess of a quarterly minimum hurdle rate and as a percentage of the realized gain on invested capital, may encourage MCC Advisors to use leverage or take additional risk to increase the return on our investments. The use of leverage may magnify the potential for gain or loss on amounts invested. The use of leverage is considered a speculative technique. If we borrow from banks or other lenders, we would expect that such lenders will seek recovery against our assets in the event of a default and these lenders likely will have claims on our assets that are superior to those of our equity holders. In addition, MCC Advisors receives the incentive fee based, in part, upon net capital gains realized on our investments. Unlike the portion of the incentive fee based on income, there is no minimum level of gain applicable to the portion of the incentive fee based on net capital gains. As a result, MCC Advisors may have an incentive to invest more in investments that are likely to result in capital gains as compared to income producing securities. This practice could result in our investing in more speculative securities than would otherwise be the case, which could result in higher investment losses, particularly during economic downturns.

We may invest, to the extent permitted by law, in the securities and instruments of other investment companies, including private funds, and, to the extent we so invest, we will bear our ratable share of any such investment company's expenses, including management and performance fees. We will also remain obligated to pay management and incentive fees to MCC Advisors with respect to the assets invested in the securities and instruments of other investment companies. With respect to each of these investments, each of our common stockholders will bear his or her share of the management and incentive fee of MCC Advisors as well as indirectly bear the management and performance fees and other expenses of any investment companies in which we invest.

We may be obligated to pay our investment adviser incentive compensation even if we incur a loss and may pay more than 20% of our net capital gains because we cannot recover payments made in previous years.

Our investment adviser will be entitled to incentive compensation for each fiscal quarter in an amount equal to a percentage of the excess of our net investment income for that quarter above a threshold return for that quarter. Our pre-incentive fee net investment income for incentive compensation purposes excludes realized and unrealized capital losses that we may incur in the fiscal quarter, even if such capital losses result in a net loss on our statement of operations for that quarter. Thus, we may be required to pay our investment adviser incentive compensation for a fiscal quarter even if there is a decline in the value of our portfolio or we incur a net loss for that quarter. If we pay an incentive fee of 20% of our realized capital gains (net of all realized capital losses and unrealized capital depreciation on a cumulative basis) and thereafter experience additional realized capital losses or unrealized capital depreciation, we will not be able to recover any portion of the incentive fee previously paid.

The valuation process for certain of our portfolio holdings creates a conflict of interest.

A substantial portion of our portfolio investments are expected to be made in the form of securities that are not publicly traded. As a result, our board of directors will determine the fair value of these securities in good faith pursuant to our valuation policy. In connection with that determination, investment professionals from MCC Advisors prepare portfolio company valuations based upon the most recent portfolio company financial statements available and projected financial results of each portfolio company. In addition, certain members of our board of directors, including Brook Taube, Seth Taube and Andrew Fentress, have a pecuniary interest in MCC Advisors. The participation of MCC Advisors' investment professionals in our valuation process, and the pecuniary interest in MCC Advisors by certain members of our board of directors, could result in a conflict of interest as the management fee that we will pay MCC Advisors is based on our gross assets.

Conflicts related to other arrangements with MCC Advisors.

We utilize MCC Advisors' office space and pay to MCC Advisors our allocable portion of overhead and other expenses incurred by MCC Advisors in performing its obligations under the administration agreement, such as our allocable portion of the cost of our chief financial officer and chief compliance officer and their respective staffs. This results in conflicts of interest that our board of directors must monitor.

The investment management agreement and administration agreement with MCC Advisors were not negotiated on an arm's length basis and may not be as favorable to us as if they had been negotiated with an unaffiliated third party.

The investment management agreement and the administration agreement were negotiated between related parties. Consequently, their terms, including fees payable to MCC Advisors, may not be as favorable to us as if they had been negotiated with an unaffiliated third party.

Our ability to sell or otherwise exit investments in which affiliates of MCC Advisors also have an investment may be restricted.

We may be considered affiliates with respect to certain of our portfolio companies, as discussed under "Investments and Portfolio Companies". Certain private funds advised by the Principals of MCC Advisors also hold interests in these portfolio companies and as such these interests may be considered a joint enterprise under applicable regulations. To the extent that our interests in these portfolio companies may need to be restructured in the future or to the extent that we choose to exit certain of these transactions, our ability to do so will be limited. We have sought separate exemptive relief in relation to these types of joint transactions, however, there is no assurance that we will obtain relief that would permit us to negotiate future restructurings or other transactions that may be considered a joint enterprise.

Risks Related to Our Investments

We may not realize gains from our equity investments.

When we make a debt investment, we may acquire warrants or other equity securities as well. In addition, we may invest directly in the equity securities of portfolio companies. Our goal is ultimately to dispose of such equity interests and realize gains upon our disposition of such interests. However, the equity interests we receive may not appreciate in value and, in fact, may decline in value. Accordingly, we may not be able to realize gains from our equity interests, and any gains that we do realize on the disposition of any equity interests may not be sufficient to offset any other losses we experience.

Our investments are very risky and highly speculative.

We invest primarily in senior secured term loans issued by private middle-market companies.

Senior Secured Loans. There is a risk that the collateral securing our loans may decrease in value over time, may be difficult to sell in a timely manner, may be difficult to appraise and may fluctuate in value based upon the success of the business and market conditions, including as a result of the inability of the portfolio company to raise additional capital, and, in some circumstances, our lien could be subordinated to claims of other creditors. In addition, deterioration in a portfolio company's financial condition and prospects, including its inability to raise additional capital, may be accompanied by deterioration in the value of the collateral for the loan. Consequently, the fact that a loan is secured does not guarantee that we will receive principal and interest payments according to the loan's terms, or at all, or that we will be able to collect on the loan should we be forced to enforce our remedies.

Equity Investments. When we invest in senior secured loans, we may receive warrants or other equity securities as well. In addition, we may invest directly in the equity securities of portfolio companies. The warrants or equity interests we receive may not appreciate in value and, in fact, may decline in value. Accordingly, we may not be able to realize gains from our warrants or equity interests, and any gains that we do realize on the disposition of any warrants or equity interests may not be sufficient to offset any other losses we experience.

In addition, investing in private middle-market companies involves a number of significant risks. See "Our investments in private middle-market portfolio companies may be risky, and you could lose all or part of your investment" below.

Our investments in private middle-market portfolio companies may be risky, and you could lose all or part of your investment.

Investments in private middle-market companies involve a number of significant risks. Generally, little public information exists about these companies, and we are required to rely on the ability of MCC Advisors' investment professionals to obtain adequate information to evaluate the potential returns from investing in these companies. If we are unable to uncover all material information about these companies, we may not make a fully informed investment decision, and we may lose money on our investments. Private middle-market companies may have limited financial resources and may be unable to meet their obligations under their debt securities that we hold, which may be accompanied by a deterioration in the value of any collateral and a reduction in the likelihood of our realizing any guarantees we may have obtained in connection with our investment. In addition, they typically have shorter operating histories, narrower product lines and smaller market shares than larger businesses, which tend to render them more vulnerable to competitors' actions and market conditions, as well as general economic downturns. Additionally, private middle-market companies are more likely to depend on the management talents and efforts of a small group of persons; therefore, the death, disability, resignation or termination of one or more of these persons could have a material adverse impact on our portfolio company and, in turn, on us. Private middle-market companies also generally have less predictable operating results, may from time to time be parties to litigation, may be engaged in rapidly changing businesses with products subject to a substantial risk of obsolescence and may require substantial additional capital to support their operations, finance expansion or maintain their competitive position. In addition, our executive officers, directors and MCC Advisors may, in the ordinary course of business, be named as defendants in litigation arising from our investments in these types of companies.

We intend to invest primarily in secured debt issued by our portfolio companies. The portfolio companies usually have, or may be permitted to incur, other debt that ranks above or equally with the debt securities in which we invest. In the case of debt ranking above debt securities in which we invest, we would be subordinate to such debt in the event of an insolvency, liquidation, dissolution, reorganization or bankruptcy of the relevant portfolio company and therefore the holders of debt instruments ranking senior to our investment in that portfolio company would typically be entitled to receive payment in full before we receive any distribution. In the case of debt ranking equally with debt securities in which we invest, we would have to share any distributions on an equal and ratable basis with other creditors holding such debt in the event of an insolvency, liquidation, dissolution, reorganization or bankruptcy of the relevant portfolio company.

Additionally, certain loans that we make to portfolio companies may be secured on a second priority basis by the same collateral securing senior secured debt of such companies. The first priority liens on the collateral will secure the portfolio company's obligations under any outstanding senior debt and may secure certain other future debt that may be permitted to be incurred by the portfolio company under the agreements governing the loans. The holders of obligations secured by the first priority liens on the collateral will generally control the liquidation of, and be entitled to receive proceeds from, any realization of the collateral to repay their obligations in full before us. In addition, the value of the collateral in the event of liquidation will depend on market and economic conditions, the availability of buyers and other factors. There can be no assurance that the proceeds, if any, from the sale or sales of all of the collateral would be sufficient to satisfy the loan obligations secured by the second priority liens after payment in full of all obligations secured by the first priority liens on the collateral. If such proceeds are not sufficient to repay amounts outstanding under the loan obligations secured by the second priority liens, then we, to the extent not repaid from the proceeds of the sale of the collateral, will only have an unsecured claim against the portfolio company's remaining assets, if any.

The rights we may have with respect to the collateral securing the loans we make to our portfolio companies with senior debt outstanding may also be limited pursuant to the terms of one or more intercreditor agreements that we enter into with the holders of senior debt. Under such an intercreditor agreement, at any time that obligations that have the benefit of the first priority liens are outstanding, any of the following actions that may be taken in respect of the collateral will be at the direction of the holders of the obligations secured by the first priority liens: (1) the ability to cause the commencement of enforcement proceedings against the collateral; (2) the ability to control the conduct of such proceedings; (3) the approval of amendments to collateral documents; (4) releases of liens on the collateral; and (5) waivers of past defaults under collateral documents. We may not have the ability to control or direct such actions, even if our rights are adversely affected.

Our portfolio companies may prepay loans, which prepayment may reduce stated yields if capital returned cannot be invested in transactions with equal or greater expected yields.

Our loans to portfolio companies are callable at any time, most of them at no premium to par. It is uncertain as to when each loan may be called. Whether a loan is called will depend both on the continued positive performance of the portfolio company and the existence of favorable financing market conditions that allow such company the ability to replace existing financing with less expensive capital. As market conditions change frequently, it is unknown when, and if, this may be possible for each portfolio company. In the case of some of these loans, having the loan called early may reduce the achievable yield for us below the stated yield to maturity contained herein if the capital returned cannot be invested in transactions with equal or greater expected yields.

We may acquire indirect interests in loans rather than direct interests, which would subject us to additional risk.

We may make or acquire loans or investments through participation agreements. A participation agreement typically results in a contractual relationship only with the counterparty to the participation agreement and not with the borrower. MCC Advisors has adopted best execution procedures and guidelines to mitigate credit and counterparty risk when we acquire a loan through a participation agreement. In investing through participations, we will generally not have a right to enforce compliance by the borrower with the terms of the loan agreement against the borrower, and we may not directly benefit from the collateral supporting the debt obligation in which it has purchased the participation. As a result, we will be exposed to the credit risk of both the borrower and the counterparty selling the participation. In the event of insolvency of the counterparty, we, by virtue of holding participation interests in the loan, may be treated as its general unsecured creditor. In addition, although we may have certain contractual rights under the loan participation that require the counterparty to obtain our consent prior to taking various actions relating to the loan, we cannot guarantee that the counterparty will seek such consent prior to taking various actions. Further, in investing through participation agreements, we may not be able to conduct the due diligence on the borrower or the quality of the loan with respect to which it is buying a participation that we would otherwise conduct if we were investing directly in the loan, which may result in us being exposed to greater credit or fraud risk with respect to the borrower or the loan than we expected when initially purchasing the participation. See "Risks Related to Our Business — There are significant potential conflicts of interest that could affect our investment returns" above.

Our failure to make follow-on investments in our portfolio companies could impair the value of our portfolio; our ability to make follow-on investments in certain portfolio companies may be restricted.

Following an initial investment in a portfolio company, provided that there are no restrictions imposed by the 1940 Act, we may make additional investments in that portfolio company as "follow-on" investments in order to: (1) increase or maintain in whole or in part our equity ownership percentage; (2) exercise warrants, options or convertible securities that were acquired in the original or subsequent financing; or (3) attempt to preserve or enhance the value of our initial investment.

We have the discretion to make any follow-on investments, subject to the availability of capital resources. We may elect not to make follow-on investments or otherwise lack sufficient funds to make those investments. Our failure to make follow-on investments may, in some circumstances, jeopardize the continued viability of a portfolio company and our initial investment, or may result in a missed opportunity for us to increase our participation in a successful operation. Even if we have sufficient capital to make a desired follow-on investment, we may elect not to make such follow-on investment because we may not want to increase our concentration of risk, because we prefer other opportunities, because we are inhibited by compliance with BDC requirements or because we desire to maintain our RIC tax status. We also may be restricted from making follow-on investments in certain portfolio companies to the extent that affiliates of ours hold interests in such companies.

Our ability to invest in public companies may be limited in certain circumstances.

To maintain our status as a BDC, we are not permitted to acquire any assets other than "qualifying assets" specified in the 1940 Act unless, at the time the acquisition is made, at least 70% of our total assets are qualifying assets (with certain limited exceptions). Subject to certain exceptions for follow-on investments and distressed companies, an investment in an issuer that has outstanding securities listed on a national securities exchange may be treated as qualifying assets only if such issuer has a market capitalization that is less than \$250 million at the time of such investment. In addition, we may invest up to 30% of our portfolio in opportunistic investments which will be intended to diversify or complement the remainder of our portfolio and to enhance our returns to stockholders. These investments may include private equity investments, securities of public companies that are broadly traded and securities of non-U.S. companies. We expect that these public companies generally will have debt securities that are non-investment grade.

Our investments in foreign securities may involve significant risks in addition to the risks inherent in U.S. investments.

Our investment strategy contemplates that a portion of our investments may be in securities of foreign companies. Investing in foreign companies may expose us to additional risks not typically associated with investing in U.S. companies. These risks include changes in exchange control regulations, political and social instability, expropriation, imposition of foreign taxes, less liquid markets and less available information than is generally the case in the United States, higher transaction costs, less government supervision of exchanges, brokers and issuers, less developed bankruptcy laws, difficulty in enforcing contractual obligations, lack of uniform accounting and auditing standards and greater price volatility.

Although it is anticipated that most of our investments will be denominated in U.S. dollars, our investments that are denominated in a foreign currency will be subject to the risk that the value of a particular currency may change in relation to the U.S. dollar. Among the factors that may affect currency values are trade balances, the level of short-term interest rates, differences in relative values of similar assets in different currencies, long-term opportunities for investment and capital appreciation and political developments. We may employ hedging techniques to minimize these risks, but we can offer no assurance that we will, in fact, hedge currency risk or, that if we do, such strategies will be effective. As a result, a change in currency exchange rates may adversely affect our profitability.

Hedging transactions may expose us to additional risks.

We may engage in currency or interest rate hedging transactions. If we engage in hedging transactions, we may expose ourselves to risks associated with such transactions. We may utilize instruments such as forward contracts, currency options and interest rate swaps, caps, collars and floors to seek to hedge against fluctuations in the relative values of our portfolio positions from changes in currency exchange rates and market interest rates. Hedging against a decline in the values of our portfolio positions does not eliminate the possibility of fluctuations in the values of such positions or prevent losses if the values of such positions decline. However, such hedging can establish other positions designed to gain from those same developments, thereby offsetting the decline in the value of such portfolio positions. Such hedging transaction may also limit the opportunity for gain if the values of the underlying portfolio positions should increase. Moreover, it may not be possible to hedge against an exchange rate or interest rate fluctuation that is so generally anticipated that we are not able to enter into a hedging transaction at an acceptable price.

While we may enter into transactions to seek to reduce currency exchange rate and interest rate risks, unanticipated changes in currency exchange rates or interest rates may result in poorer overall investment performance than if we had not engaged in any such hedging transactions. In addition, the degree of correlation between price movements of the instruments used in a hedging strategy and price movements in the portfolio positions being hedged may vary. Moreover, for a variety of reasons, we may not seek or be able to establish a perfect correlation between such hedging instruments and the portfolio holdings being hedged. Any such imperfect correlation may prevent us from achieving the intended hedge and expose us to risk of loss. In addition, it may not be possible to hedge fully or perfectly against currency fluctuations affecting the value of securities denominated in non-U.S. currencies because the value of those securities is likely to fluctuate as a result of factors not related to currency fluctuations.

The disposition of our investments may result in contingent liabilities.

We currently expect that a significant portion of our investments will involve lending directly to private companies. In connection with the disposition of an investment in private securities, we may be required to make representations about the business and financial affairs of the portfolio company typical of those made in connection with the sale of a business. We may also be required to indemnify the purchasers of such investment to the extent that any such representations turn out to be inaccurate or with respect to certain potential liabilities. These arrangements may result in contingent liabilities that ultimately yield funding obligations that must be satisfied through our return of certain distributions previously made to us.

If we invest in the securities and obligations of distressed and bankrupt issuers, we might not receive interest or other payments.

We may invest in the securities and obligations of distressed and bankrupt issuers, including debt obligations that are in covenant or payment default. Such investments generally are considered speculative. The repayment of defaulted obligations is subject to significant uncertainties. Defaulted obligations might be repaid only after lengthy workout or bankruptcy proceedings, during which the issuer of those obligations might not make any interest or other payments. We may not realize gains from our equity investments.

Risks Related to Our Operations as a BDC and a RIC

Regulations governing our operation as a BDC may limit our ability to, and the way in which we raise additional capital, which could have a material adverse impact on our liquidity, financial condition and results of operations.

Our business requires a substantial amount of capital. We may acquire additional capital from the issuance of senior securities (including debt and preferred stock), the issuance of additional shares of our common stock or from securitization transactions. We also may issue, through our SBIC subsidiary, additional SBA-guaranteed debentures, subject to certain restrictions. For a discussion of the requirements for issuing SBA-guaranteed debentures, see "Regulation — Small Business Investment Company Regulations." However, we may not be able to raise additional capital in the future on favorable terms or at all. Additionally, we may only issue senior securities up to the maximum amount permitted by the 1940 Act. The 1940 Act permits us to issue senior securities only in amounts such that our asset coverage, as defined in the 1940 Act, equals at least 200% after such issuance or incurrence. If our assets decline in value and we fail to satisfy this test, we may be required to liquidate a portion of our investments and repay a portion of our indebtedness at a time when such sales or repayment may be disadvantageous, which could have a material adverse impact on our liquidity, financial condition and results of operations.

- Senior Securities. As a result of issuing senior securities, we would also be exposed to typical risks associated with leverage, including an increased risk of loss. If we issue preferred securities, such securities would rank "senior" to common stock in our capital structure, resulting in preferred stockholders having separate voting rights and possibly rights, preferences or privileges more favorable than those granted to holders of our common stock. Furthermore, the issuance of preferred securities could have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a transaction or a change of control that might involve a premium price for our common stockholders or otherwise be in your best interest.
- Additional Common Stock. Our board of directors may decide to issue common stock to finance our operations rather than issuing debt or other senior securities. As a BDC, we are generally not able to issue our common stock at a price below NAV without first obtaining required approvals from our stockholders and our independent directors. In any such case, the price at which our securities are to be issued and sold may not be less than a price that, in the determination of our board of directors, closely approximates the market value of such securities at the relevant time. We may also make rights offerings to our stockholders at prices per share less than the NAV per share, subject to the requirements of the 1940 Act. If we raise additional funds by issuing more common stock or senior securities convertible into, or exchangeable for, our common stock, the percentage ownership of our stockholders at that time would decrease, and such stockholders may experience dilution.

Changes in the laws or regulations governing our business, or changes in the interpretations thereof, and any failure by us to comply with these laws or regulations, could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

Changes in the laws or regulations or the interpretations of the laws and regulations that govern BDCs, RICs or non-depository commercial lenders could significantly affect our operations and our cost of doing business. We are subject to federal, state and local laws and regulations and are subject to judicial and administrative decisions that affect our operations, including our loan originations, maximum interest rates, fees and other charges, disclosures to portfolio companies, the terms of secured transactions, collection and foreclosure procedures and other trade practices. If these laws, regulations or decisions change, or if we expand our business into jurisdictions that have adopted more stringent requirements than those in which we currently conduct business, we may have to incur significant expenses in order to comply, or we might have to restrict our operations. In addition, if we do not comply with applicable laws, regulations and decisions, we may lose licenses needed for the conduct of our business and may be subject to civil fines and criminal penalties. In addition, any change to the SBA's current debenture SBIC program could have a significant impact on our ability to obtain lower-cost leverage, through our SBIC subsidiary, and therefore, our ability to compete with other finance companies.

If we do not invest a sufficient portion of our assets in qualifying assets, we could fail to qualify as a BDC, which would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

As a BDC, we may not acquire any assets other than "qualifying assets" unless, at the time of and after giving effect to such acquisition, at least 70% of our total assets are qualifying assets. See "Regulation". Our intent is that a substantial portion of the investments that we acquire will constitute qualifying assets. However, we may be precluded from investing in what we believe are attractive investments if such investments are not qualifying assets for purposes of the 1940 Act. If we do not invest a sufficient portion of our assets in qualifying assets, we could be found to be in violation of the 1940 Act provisions applicable to BDCs and possibly lose our status as a BDC, which would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We will become subject to corporate-level income tax if we are unable to maintain our qualification as a regulated investment company under Subchapter M of the Code.

We have elected and qualified to be treated as a RIC under Subchapter M of the Code and intend to maintain such qualification for succeeding tax years. No assurance can be given that we will be able to qualify for and maintain our RIC status. To obtain and maintain RIC tax treatment under the Code, we must meet the following annual distribution, income source and asset diversification requirements.

- The distribution requirement for a RIC is satisfied if we distribute to our stockholders at least 90% of our net ordinary income and realized net short-term capital gains in excess of realized net long-term capital losses, if any. Because we may use debt financing, we are subject to certain asset coverage ratio requirements under the 1940 Act and financial covenants under loan and credit agreements that could, under certain circumstances, restrict us from making distributions necessary to satisfy the distribution requirement. If we are unable to obtain cash from other sources, we could fail to qualify for RIC tax treatment and thus become subject to corporate-level income tax.
- The income source requirement is satisfied if we obtain at least 90% of our income for each fiscal year from dividends, interest, gains from the sale of stock or securities or similar sources.
- The asset diversification requirement is satisfied if we meet certain asset diversification requirements at the end of each quarter of our taxable year. Failure to meet those requirements may result in our having to dispose of certain investments quickly in order to prevent the loss of RIC status. Because most of our investments will be in private companies, and therefore will be relatively illiquid, any such dispositions could be made at disadvantageous prices and could result in substantial losses.

If we fail to qualify for RIC tax treatment for any reason and remain or become subject to corporate income tax, the resulting corporate taxes could substantially reduce our net assets, the amount of income available for distribution and the amount of our distributions. Such a failure would have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial conditions, and thus, our stockholders.

Risks Relating to an Investment in Our Securities

Investing in our securities may involve an above average degree of risk.

The investments we make in accordance with our investment objective may result in a higher amount of risk than alternative investment options and a higher risk of volatility or loss of principal. Our investments in portfolio companies involve higher levels of risk and, therefore, an investment in our securities may not be suitable for someone with lower risk tolerance.

Shares of closed-end investment companies, including business development companies, may, at times, trade at a discount to their net asset value.

Shares of closed-end investment companies, including business development companies, may, at times, trade at a discount from net asset value. This characteristic of closed-end investment companies and business development companies is separate and distinct from the risk that our net asset value per share may decline. We cannot predict whether our common stock will trade at, above or below net asset value.

The market price of our common stock may fluctuate significantly.

The market price and liquidity of the market for shares of our common stock may be significantly affected by numerous factors, some of which are beyond our control and may not be directly related to our operating performance. These factors include:

- significant volatility in the market price and trading volume of securities of business development companies or other companies in our sector, which are not necessarily related to the operating performance of the companies;
- changes in regulatory policies, accounting pronouncements or tax guidelines, particularly with respect to BDCs, SBICs or RICs;
- · loss of our qualification as a RIC or BDC or our SBIC subsidiary's status as an SBIC;
- changes in earnings or variations in operating results;
- changes in the value of our portfolio of investments;
- · changes in accounting guidelines governing valuation of our investments;
- any shortfall in revenue or net income or any increase in losses from levels expected by investors or securities analysts;

- departure of MCC Advisors' or any of its affiliates' key personnel;
- · operating performance of companies comparable to us;
- · general economic trends and other external factors; and
- loss of a major funding source.

Sales of substantial amounts of our common stock in the public market may have an adverse effect on the market price of our common stock.

Sales of substantial amounts of our common stock, or the availability of such common stock for sale, could adversely affect the prevailing market prices for our common stock. If this occurs and continues, it could impair our ability to raise additional capital through the sale of securities should we desire to do so.

Certain provisions of the Delaware General Corporation Law and our certificate of incorporation and bylaws could deter takeover attempts and have an adverse impact on the price of our common stock.

The Delaware General Corporation Law, our certificate of incorporation and our bylaws contain provisions that may have the effect of discouraging a third party from making an acquisition proposal for us. These anti-takeover provisions may inhibit a change in control in circumstances that could give the holders of our common stock the opportunity to realize a premium over the market price of our common stock.

The net asset value per share of our common stock may be diluted if we sell shares of our common stock in one or more offerings at prices below the then current net asset value per share of our common stock or securities to subscribe for or convertible into shares of our common stock.

At our 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, we received approval from our stockholders to authorize us, with the approval of our board of directors, to sell shares of our common stock at a price or prices below our then current net asset value per share in one or more offerings, subject to certain conditions as set forth in the proxy statement (including, without limitation, that the number of shares issued does not exceed 25% of our then outstanding common stock, at a price below, but no more than 20% below, its then current net asset value). This authorization is effective for securities sold during a period beginning on the date of such stockholder approval, which was obtained on April 4, 2013, and expiring on the date of our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which is expected to be held in February 2014.

In addition, we asked our stockholders to approve a proposal to authorize the Company, with the approval of our board of directors, to issue securities to, subscribe to, convert to, or purchase shares of the Company's common stock in one or more offerings, subject to certain conditions as set forth in the proxy statement. Such authorization has no expiration.

Any decision to sell shares of our common stock below its then current net asset value per share or issue securities to subscribe for or convertible into shares of our common stock would be subject to the determination by our board of directors that such issuance is in our and our stockholders' best interests.

If we were to sell shares of our common stock below its then current net asset value per share, such sales would result in an immediate dilution to the net asset value per share of our common stock. This dilution would occur as a result of the sale of shares at a price below the then current net asset value per share of our common stock and a proportionately greater decrease in the stockholders' interest in our earnings and assets and their voting interest in us than the increase in our assets resulting from such issuance. Because the number of shares of common stock that could be so issued and the timing of any issuance is not currently known, the actual dilutive effect cannot be predicted.

If we issue warrants or securities to subscribe for or convertible into shares of our common stock, subject to certain limitations, the exercise or conversion price per share could be less than net asset value per share at the time of exercise or conversion (including through the operation of anti-dilution protections). Because we would incur expenses in connection with any issuance of such securities, such issuance could result in a dilution of the net asset value per share at the time of exercise or conversion. This dilution would include reduction in net asset value per share as a result of the proportionately greater decrease in the stockholders' interest in our earnings and assets and their voting interest than the increase in our assets resulting from such issuance.

Further, if our current stockholders do not purchase any shares to maintain their percentage interest, regardless of whether such offering is above or below the then current net asset value per share, their voting power will be diluted. For example, if we sell an additional 10% of our shares of common stock at a 5% discount from net asset value, a stockholder who does not participate in that offering for its proportionate interest will suffer net asset value dilution of up to 0.5% or \$5 per \$1000 of net asset value.

The Notes are unsecured and therefore are effectively subordinated to any secured indebtedness we have currently incurred or may incur in the future.

The Notes are not secured by any of our assets or any of the assets of our subsidiaries. As a result, the Notes are effectively subordinated to any secured indebtedness we or our subsidiaries have currently incurred and may incur in the future (or any indebtedness that is initially unsecured to which we subsequently grant security) to the extent of the value of the assets securing such indebtedness. In any liquidation, dissolution, bankruptcy or other similar proceeding, the holders of any of our existing or future secured indebtedness and the secured indebtedness of our subsidiaries may assert rights against the assets pledged to secure that indebtedness in order to receive full payment of their indebtedness before the assets may be used to pay other creditors, including the holders of the Notes. As of September 30, 2013, there was \$2.5 million outstanding under our Revolving Facility and \$30.0 million SBA-guaranteed debentures outstanding. The indebtedness under the Facilities and the SBA-guaranteed debentures are effectively senior to the Notes to the extent of the value of the assets securing such indebtedness.

The Notes are structurally subordinated to the indebtedness and other liabilities of our subsidiaries.

The Notes are obligations exclusively of Medley Capital Corporation and not of any of our subsidiaries. None of our subsidiaries is a guarantor of the Notes and the Notes are not required to be guaranteed by any subsidiary we may acquire or create in the future. Any assets of our subsidiaries will not be directly available to satisfy the claims of our creditors, including holders of the Notes. Except to the extent we are a creditor with recognized claims against our subsidiaries, all claims of creditors of our subsidiaries will have priority over our equity interests in such subsidiaries (and therefore the claims of our creditors, including holders of the Notes) with respect to the assets of such subsidiaries. Even if we are recognized as a creditor of one or more of our subsidiaries, our claims would still be effectively subordinated to any security interests in the assets of any such subsidiary and to any indebtedness or other liabilities of any such subsidiary senior to our claims. Consequently, the Notes will be structurally subordinated to all indebtedness and other liabilities of any of our subsidiaries and any subsidiaries that we may in the future acquire or establish. Although our subsidiaries currently do not have any indebtedness outstanding, they may incur substantial indebtedness in the future, all of which would be structurally senior to the Notes.

The indenture under which the Notes were issued contains limited protection for holders of the Notes.

The indenture under which the Notes were issued offers limited protection to holders of the Notes. The terms of the indenture and the Notes do not restrict our or any of our subsidiaries' ability to engage in, or otherwise be a party to, a variety of corporate transactions, circumstances or events that could have an adverse impact on your investment in the Notes. In particular, the terms of the indenture and the Notes place no restrictions on our or our subsidiaries' ability to:

- issue securities or otherwise incur additional indebtedness or other obligations, including (1) any indebtedness or other obligations that would be equal in right of payment to the Notes, (2) any indebtedness or other obligations that would be secured and therefore rank effectively senior in right of payment to the Notes to the extent of the values of the assets securing such debt, (3) indebtedness of ours that is guaranteed by one or more of our subsidiaries and which therefore is structurally senior to the Notes and (4) securities, indebtedness or obligations issued or incurred by our subsidiaries that would be senior to our equity interests in our subsidiaries and therefore rank structurally senior to the Notes with respect to the assets of our subsidiaries, in each case other than an incurrence of indebtedness or other obligation that would cause a violation of Section 18(a)(1) (A) as modified by Section 61(a)(1) of the 1940 Act or any successor provisions. These provisions generally prohibit us from making additional borrowings, including through the issuance of additional debt or the sale of additional debt securities, unless our asset coverage, as defined in the 1940 Act, equals at least 200% after such borrowings;
- pay dividends on, or purchase or redeem or make any payments in respect of, capital stock or other securities ranking junior in right of payment to the Notes, in each case other than dividends, purchases, redemptions or payments that would cause a violation of Section 18(a)(1)(B) as modified by Section 61(a)(1) of the 1940 Act or any successor provisions. These provisions generally prohibit us from declaring any cash dividend or distribution upon any class of our capital stock, or purchasing any such capital stock if our asset coverage, as defined in the 1940 Act, is below 200% at the time of the declaration of the dividend or distribution or the purchase and after deducting the amount of such dividend, distribution or purchase;

- · sell assets (other than certain limited restrictions on our ability to consolidate, merge or sell all or substantially all of our assets);
- enter into transactions with affiliates;
- · create liens (including liens on the shares of our subsidiaries) or enter into sale and leaseback transactions;
- · make investments; or
- create restrictions on the payment of dividends or other amounts to us from our subsidiaries.

In addition, the indenture does not require us to offer to purchase the Notes in connection with a change of control or any other event.

Furthermore, the terms of the indenture and the Notes generally do not protect holders of the Notes in the event that we experience changes (including significant adverse changes) in our financial condition, results of operations or credit ratings, as they do not require that we or our subsidiaries adhere to any financial tests or ratios or specified levels of net worth, revenues, income, cash flow, or liquidity other than as described under the indenture. Any changes, while unlikely, to the financial tests in the 1940 Act could affect the terms of the Notes.

Our ability to recapitalize, incur additional debt and take a number of other actions that are not limited by the terms of the Notes may have important consequences for you as a holder of the Notes, including making it more difficult for us to satisfy our obligations with respect to the Notes or negatively affecting the trading value of the Notes. Other debt we issue or incur in the future could contain more protections for its holders than the indenture and the Notes, including additional covenants and events of default. For example, the indenture under which the Notes will be issued does not contain cross-default provisions that are contained in the Facilities. The issuance or incurrence of any such debt with incremental protections could affect the market for and trading levels and prices of the Notes.

An active trading market for the Notes may not develop or be sustained, which could limit the market price of the Notes or your ability to sell them.

Although the Notes are listed on the NYSE under the symbols "MCQ," in the case of the 2019 Notes, and "MCV," in the case of the 2023 Notes, we cannot provide any assurances that an active trading market will develop or be sustained for the Notes or that you will be able to sell your Notes. At various times, the Notes may trade at a discount from their initial offering price depending on prevailing interest rates, the market for similar securities, our credit ratings, general economic conditions, our financial condition, performance and prospects and other factors. To the extent an active trading market is not sustained, the liquidity and trading price for the Notes may be harmed.

If we default on our obligations to pay our other indebtedness, we may not be able to make payments on the Notes.

Any default under the agreements governing our indebtedness, including a default under the Facilities or other indebtedness to which we may be a party that is not waived by the required lenders, and the remedies sought by the holders of such indebtedness could make us unable to pay principal, premium, if any, and interest on the Notes and substantially decrease the market value of the Notes. If we are unable to generate sufficient cash flow and are otherwise unable to obtain funds necessary to meet required payments of principal, premium, if any, and interest on our indebtedness, or if we otherwise fail to comply with the various covenants, including financial and operating covenants, in the instruments governing our indebtedness (including the Facilities), we could be in default under the terms of the agreements governing such indebtedness. In the event of such default, the holders of such indebtedness could elect to declare all the funds borrowed thereunder to be due and payable, together with accrued and unpaid interest, the lenders under the Facilities or other debt we may incur in the future could elect to terminate their commitments, cease making further loans and institute foreclosure proceedings against our assets, and we could be forced into bankruptcy or liquidation. If our operating performance declines, we may in the future need to seek to obtain waivers from the required lenders under the Facilities or other debt that we may incur in the future to avoid being in default. If we breach our covenants under the Facilities or other debt and seek a waiver, we may not be able to obtain a waiver from the required lenders. If this occurs, we would be in default under the Facilities or other debt, the lenders could exercise their rights as described above, and we could be forced into bankruptcy or liquidation. If we are unable to repay debt, lenders having secured obligations could proceed against the collateral securing the debt. Because the Facilities have, and any future credit facilities will likely have

If we issue preferred stock, the net asset value and market value of our common stock may become more volatile.

If we issue preferred stock, we cannot assure you that such issuance would result in a higher yield or return to the holders of our common stock. The issuance of preferred stock would likely cause the net asset value and market value of our common stock to become more volatile. If the dividend rate on the preferred stock were to approach the net rate of return on our investment portfolio, the benefit of leverage to the holders of our common stock would be reduced. If the dividend rate on the preferred stock were to exceed the net rate of return on our portfolio, the leverage would result in a lower rate of return to the holders of our common stock than if we had not issued preferred stock. Any decline in the net asset value of our investments would be borne entirely by the holders of our common stock. Therefore, if the market value of our portfolio were to decline, the leverage would result in a greater decrease in net asset value to the holders of our common stock than if we were not leveraged through the issuance of preferred stock. This greater net asset value decrease would also tend to cause a greater decline in the market price for our common stock. We might be in danger of failing to maintain the required asset coverage of the preferred stock or of losing our ratings on the preferred stock or, in an extreme case, our current investment income might not be sufficient to meet the dividend requirements on the preferred stock. In order to counteract such an event, we might need to liquidate investments in order to fund a redemption of some or all of the preferred stock. In addition, we would pay (and the holders of our common stock would bear) all costs and expenses relating to the issuance and ongoing maintenance of the preferred stock, including higher advisory fees if our total return exceeds the dividend rate on the preferred stock. Holders of preferred stock may have different interests than holders of our common stock and may at times have disproportionate influence over our affairs.

Holders of any preferred stock we might issue would have the right to elect members of the board of directors and class voting rights on certain matters.

Holders of any preferred stock we might issue, voting separately as a single class, would have the right to elect two members of the board of directors at all times and in the event dividends become two full years in arrears, would have the right to elect a majority of our directors until such arrearage is completely eliminated. In addition, preferred stockholders would have class voting rights on certain matters, including changes in fundamental investment restrictions and conversion to open-end status, and accordingly would be able to veto any such changes. Restrictions imposed on the declarations and payment of dividends or other distributions to the holders of our common stock and preferred stock, both by the 1940 Act and by requirements imposed by rating agencies or the terms of our credit facilities, might impair our ability to maintain our qualification as a RIC for federal income tax purposes. While we would intend to redeem our preferred stock to the extent necessary to enable us to distribute our income as required to maintain our qualification as a RIC, there can be no assurance that such actions could be effected in time to meet the tax requirements.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

Properties

We do not own any real estate or other physical properties materially important to our operation. Our headquarters are currently located at 375 Park Avenue, 33rd Floor, New York, NY 10152. Our administrator furnishes us office space and we reimburse it for such costs on an allocated basis.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

Neither we nor MCC Advisors are currently subject to any material legal proceedings.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

None.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Price Range of Common Stock

Our common stock is currently traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "MCC". The following table lists the high and low closing sale prices for our common stock, the closing sale prices as a percentage of net asset value, or NAV, and the dividends per share declared by us for each fiscal quarter for the years ended September 30, 2012 and 2013.

| | | | Clos | _ | | Premium/ Discount of High Sales | Premium/ Discount of Low Sales | Dedend | |
|--------------------------------------|----|--------------------|-------------------|------|-------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| Period | , | NAV ⁽¹⁾ | Sales High | Pric | Low | Price to NAV ⁽²⁾ | Price to NAV ⁽²⁾ | Declared Dividends ⁽³⁾ | |
| Fiscal year ended September 30, 2012 | 1 | NAV \ / | підіі | | LUW | to NAV V | - to NAV · / | Dividends | |
| 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| First quarter | \$ | 12.57 | \$ 10.56 | \$ | 8.96 | 84.01% | 71.28% | \$ 0.28 | 3 |
| Second quarter | \$ | 12.63 | \$ 11.86 | \$ | 10.17 | 93.90% | 80.52% | \$ 0.31 | 1 |
| Third quarter | \$ | 12.60 | \$ 12.04 | \$ | 10.72 | 95.56% | 85.08% | \$ 0.36 | 5 |
| Fourth quarter | \$ | 12.52 | \$ 14.36 | \$ | 11.91 | 114.70% | 95.13% | \$ 0.36 | õ |
| Fiscal year ended September 30, 2013 | | | | | | | | | |
| risear year ended september 50, 2015 | | | | | | | | | |
| First quarter | \$ | 12.69 | \$ 14.62 | \$ | 12.99 | 115.21% | 102.36% | \$ 0.36 | ô |
| Second quarter | \$ | 12.73 | \$ 16.15 | \$ | 14.46 | 126.87% | 113.59% | \$ 0.36 | õ |
| Third quarter | \$ | 12.65 | \$ 15.65 | \$ | 13.06 | 123.72% | 103.24% | \$ 0.36 | õ |
| Fourth quarter | \$ | 12.70 | \$ 14.65 | \$ | 13.04 | 115.35% | 102.68% | \$ 0.37 | 7 |

⁽¹⁾ NAV per share is determined as of the last day in the relevant quarter and therefore may not reflect the NAV per share on the date of the high and low sales prices. The NAVs shown are based on outstanding shares at the end of each period.

- (2) Calculated as of the respective high or low closing sales price divided by the quarter end NAV.
- (3) Represents the cash dividend declared for the specified quarter.

The last reported price for our common stock on December 6, 2013 was \$13.95 per share. As of September 30, 2013, we had 18 stockholders of record.

Sales of Unregistered Securities

During the year ended September 30, 2013, we issued 155,128 shares of common stock under our dividend reinvestment plan. These issuances were not subject to the registration requirements under the Securities Act. The aggregate value of the shares of common stock issued under our dividend reinvestment plan during the fiscal year 2013 was approximately \$2.1 million.

Other than shares issued under our dividend reinvestment plan during the year ended September 30, 2013, we did not sell any unregistered equity securities and we did not repurchase any of our equity securities.

Distributions

Our dividends, if any, are determined by the board of directors. We have elected and qualified to be treated as a RIC under Subchapter M of the Code. To maintain RIC qualification, we must distribute at least 90% of our net ordinary income and net short-term capital gains in excess of our net long-term capital losses, if any. We will be subject to a 4% nondeductible federal excise tax on our undistributed income unless we distribute in a timely manner an amount at least equal to the sum of (1) 98% of our ordinary income for each calendar year, (2) 98.2% of our capital gain net income (both long-term and short-term) for the one-year period ending October 31 in that calendar year and (3) any income realized, but not distributed in the preceding year.

The following table reflects the cash distributions, including dividends and returns of capital per share that we have declared on our common stock since completion of our initial public offering.

| Dividends Declared | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|-----|-------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Record Dates | Payment Dates | Per | Share | | | | | |
| Fiscal year ended September 30, 2011 | | | | | | | | |
| June 1, 2011 | June 15, 2011 | \$ | 0.16 | | | | | |
| September 1, 2011 | September 15, 2011 | \$ | 0.21 | | | | | |
| Total | | \$ | 0.37 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Fiscal year ended September 30, 2012 | | | | | | | | |
| December 15, 2011 | December 30, 2011 | \$ | 0.25 | | | | | |
| February 24, 2012 | March 15, 2012 | \$ | 0.28 | | | | | |
| May 25, 2012 | June 15, 2012 | \$ | 0.31 | | | | | |
| August 24, 2012 | September 14, 2012 | \$ | 0.36 | | | | | |
| Total | | \$ | 1.20 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Fiscal year ended September 30, 2013 | | | | | | | | |
| November 23, 2012 | December 14, 2012 | \$ | 0.36 | | | | | |
| February 27, 2013 | March 15, 2013 | \$ | 0.36 | | | | | |
| May 24, 2013 | June 14, 2013 | \$ | 0.36 | | | | | |
| August 23, 2013 | September 13, 2013 | \$ | 0.37 | | | | | |
| Total | | \$ | 1.45 | | | | | |

Subsequent to September 30, 2013, our board of directors declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.37 per share payable on December 13, 2013, to stockholders of record at the close of business on November 22, 2013.

We have adopted a dividend reinvestment plan that provides for reinvestment of our dividends and other distributions on behalf of our stockholders, unless a stockholder elects to receive cash as provided below. As a result, if our board of directors authorizes, and we declare, a cash dividend or other distribution, then our stockholders who have not "opted out" of our dividend reinvestment plan will have their cash distribution automatically reinvested in additional shares of our common stock, rather than receiving the cash distribution.

Stock Performance Graph

This graph compares the stockholder return on our common stock from January 20, 2011 (IPO) to September 30, 2013 with that of the Standard & Poor's 500 Stock Index and the Russell 2000 Financial Services Index. This graph assumes that on January 20, 2011, \$100 was invested in our common stock, the S&P 500 Index, and the Russell 2000 Financial Services Index. The graph also assumes the reinvestment of all cash dividends prior to any tax effect. The graph and other information furnished under this Part II Item 5 of this annual report on Form 10-K shall not be deemed to be "soliciting material" or to be "filed" with the SEC or subject to Regulation 14A or 14C, or to the liabilities of Section 18 of the Exchange Act. The stock price performance included in the below graph is not necessarily indicative of future stock performance.



Item 6. Selected Financial Data

We have derived the selected financial data below from our audited consolidated financial statements for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011 which have been audited by Ernst & Young LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm.

We commenced operations and completed our initial public offering on January 10, 2011. As a result, no information is provided for the periods prior to the Fiscal Year ended September 30, 2011. The following selected financial data should be read together with our financial statements and the related notes and the discussion under "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" (dollars in thousands except per share and other data).

| | Year ended September 30, 2013 | Year ended September 30, 2012 | | Year ended September 30, 2011 |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----|-------------------------------------|
| Statement of Operations data: | | | | |
| Total investment income | \$ 88,991 | \$ 44,520 | \$ | 14,569 |
| Base management fee, net | 10,918 | 5,480 | | 1,610 |
| Incentive fee | 11,600 | 5,886 | | 714 |
| All other expenses | 20,074 | 9,644 | | 2,616 |
| Net investment income | 46,399 | 23,510 | | 9,629 |
| Unrealized appreciation/(depreciation) on investments | (7,242) | (1,062) | | (150) |
| Realized gain on investments | 261 | (44) | | 55 |
| Net increase in net assets resulting from operations | 39,418 | 22,404 | | 9,534 |
| Per share data: | | | | |
| Net asset value per common share at year end | \$ 12.70 | \$ 12.52 | \$ | 12.57 |
| Market price at year end | 13.79 | 14.07 | | 10.08 |
| Net investment income | 1.53 | 1.31 | | 0.56 |
| Net realized and unrealized loss on investments | (0.23) | (0.06) | | (0.01) |
| Net increase in net assets resulting from operations | 1.30 | 1.25 | | 0.55 |
| Dividends paid | 1.45 | 1.20 | | 0.37 |
| Balance Sheet data at year end: | | | | |
| Total investments at fair value | \$ 749,237 | \$ 401,949 | \$ | 199,206 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 8,558 | 4,894 | | 17,202 |
| Other assets | 18,598 | 8,928 | | 3,721 |
| Total assets | 776,393 | 415,771 | | 220,129 |
| Total liabilities | 266,559 | 126,432 | | 2,476 |
| Total net assets | 509,834 | 289,339 | | 217,653 |
| Other data: | | | | |
| Weighted average annual yield on debt investments ⁽¹⁾ | 13.8% | 14.3% | | 14.5% |
| Number of investments at year end | 57 | 38 | | 18 |

(1) The weighted average yield is based upon original cost on our debt investments.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our financial statements and related notes and other financial information appearing elsewhere in this annual report on Form 10-K.

Except as otherwise specified, references to "we," "us," "our," or the "Company," refer to Medley Capital Corporation.

Forward-Looking Statements

Some of the statements in this annual report on Form 10-K constitute forward-looking statements, which relate to future events or our performance or financial condition. The forward-looking statements contained in this annual report on Form 10-K involve risks and uncertainties, including statements as to:

- the introduction, withdrawal, success and timing of business initiatives and strategies;
- · changes in political, economic or industry conditions, the interest rate environment or conditions affecting the financial and capital markets, which could result in changes in the value of our assets;
- the relative and absolute investment performance and operations of MCC Advisors;
- · the impact of increased competition;
- · the impact of future acquisitions and divestitures;
- · our business prospects and the prospects of our portfolio companies;
- the impact of legislative and regulatory actions and reforms and regulatory, supervisory or enforcement actions of government agencies relating to us or MCC Advisors;
- · our contractual arrangements and relationships with third parties;
- · any future financings by us;
- the ability of MCC Advisors to attract and retain highly talented professionals;
- fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates;
- \cdot the impact of changes to tax legislation and, generally, our tax position; and
- · the unfavorable resolution of legal proceedings.

Such forward-looking statements may include statements preceded by, followed by or that otherwise include the words "trend," "opportunity," "pipeline," "believe," "comfortable," "expect," "anticipate," "current," "intention," "estimate," "position," "assume," "potential," "outlook," "continue," "remain," "maintain," "sustain," "seek," "achieve," and similar expressions, or future or conditional verbs such as "will," "would," "should," "could," "may," or similar expressions. The forward looking statements contained in this annual report involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from those implied or expressed in the forward-looking statements for any reason, including the factors set forth as "Risk Factors" and elsewhere in this annual report on Form 10-K.

We have based the forward-looking statements included in this report on information available to us on the date of this report, and we assume no obligation to update any such forward-looking statements. Actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in our forward-looking statements, and future results could differ materially from historical performance. Although we undertake no obligation to revise or update any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, you are advised to consult any additional disclosures that we may make directly to you or through reports that we have filed or in the future may file with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"), including annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K.

Overview

We are an externally-managed, non-diversified closed-end management investment company that filed an election to be regulated as a BDC under the 1940 Act. In addition, we have elected and qualified to be treated for U.S. federal income tax purposes as a RIC under subchapter M of the Code.

We commenced operations and completed our initial public offering on January 20, 2011. Our investment activities are managed by MCC Advisors and supervised by our board of directors, of which a majority of the members are independent of us.

Our investment objective is to generate current income and capital appreciation by lending directly to privately-held middle market companies to help these companies fund acquisitions, growth or refinancing. Our portfolio generally consists of senior secured first lien term loans and senior secured second lien term loans. In many of our investments, we receive warrants or other equity participation features which we believe will increase the total investment returns.

As a BDC, we are required to comply with certain regulatory requirements. For instance, we generally have to invest at least 70% of our total assets in "qualifying assets," including securities of private or thinly traded public U.S. companies, cash, cash equivalents, U.S. government securities and high-quality debt investments that mature in one year or less. In addition, we are only allowed to borrow money such that our asset coverage, as defined in the 1940 Act, equals at least 200% after such borrowing, with certain limited exceptions. To maintain our RIC status, we must meet specified source-of-income and asset diversification requirements. To maintain our RIC tax treatment under subchapter M for U.S. federal income tax purposes, we must distribute at least 90% of our net ordinary income and realized net short-term capital gains in excess of realized net long-term capital losses, if any, for the taxable year.

Revenues

We generate revenue in the form of interest income on the debt that we hold and capital gains, if any, on warrants or other equity interests that we may acquire in portfolio companies. We invest our assets primarily in privately held companies with enterprise or asset values between \$25 million and \$250 million and focus on investment sizes of \$10 million to \$50 million. We believe that pursuing opportunities of this size offers several benefits including reduced competition, a larger investment opportunity set and the ability to minimize the impact of financial intermediaries. We expect our debt investments to bear interest at either a fixed or floating rate. Interest on debt will be payable generally either monthly or quarterly. In some cases our debt investments may provide for a portion of the interest to be PIK. To the extent interest is PIK, it will be payable through the increase of the principal amount of the obligation by the amount of interest due on the then-outstanding aggregate principal amount of such obligation. The principal amount of the debt and any accrued but unpaid interest will generally become due at the maturity date. In addition, we may generate revenue in the form of commitment, origination, structuring or diligence fees, fees for providing managerial assistance or investment management services and possibly consulting fees. Any such fees will be generated in connection with our investments and recognized as earned.

Expenses

Our primary operating expenses include the payment of management and incentive fees pursuant to the investment management agreement we have with MCC Advisors and overhead expenses, including our allocable portion of our administrator's overhead under the administration agreement. Our management and incentive fees compensate MCC Advisors for its work in identifying, evaluating, negotiating, closing and monitoring our investments. We bear all other costs and expenses of our operations and transactions, including those relating to:

- · our organization and continued corporate existence;
- · calculating our NAV (including the cost and expenses of any independent valuation firms);
- expenses incurred by MCC Advisors payable to third parties, including agents, consultants or other advisers, in monitoring our financial and legal
 affairs and in monitoring our investments and performing due diligence on our prospective portfolio companies;
- · interest payable on debt, if any, incurred to finance our investments;
- · the costs of all offerings of common stock and other securities, if any;
- · the base management fee and any incentive fee;
- · distributions on our shares;
- · administration fees payable under our administration agreement;
- the allocated costs incurred by MCC Advisors in providing managerial assistance to those portfolio companies that request it;
- · amounts payable to third parties relating to, or associated with, making investments;
- · transfer agent and custodial fees;
- · registration fees and listing fees;
- · U.S. federal, state and local taxes;
- · independent director fees and expenses;

- · costs of preparing and filing reports or other documents with the SEC or other regulators;
- · the costs of any reports, proxy statements or other notices to our stockholders, including printing costs;
- · our fidelity bond;
- · directors and officers/errors and omissions liability insurance, and any other insurance premiums;
- · indemnification payments;
- · direct costs and expenses of administration, including audit and legal costs; and
- · all other expenses reasonably incurred by us or MCC Advisors in connection with administering our business, such as the allocable portion of overhead under our administration agreement, including rent and other allocable portions of the cost of certain of our officers and their respective staffs (including travel expenses).

Portfolio and Investment Activity

As of September 30, 2013, our portfolio consisted of investments in fifty-seven portfolio companies with a fair value of approximately \$749.2 million. During the year ended September 30, 2013, we invested \$420.9 million in thirty-two new portfolio companies and \$62.2 million in fourteen existing portfolio companies, and we had \$139.8 million in aggregate amount of exits and repayments, resulting in net investments of \$343.3 million for the year.

As of September 30, 2012, our portfolio consisted of investments in 38 portfolio companies with a fair value of approximately \$401.9 million. During the year ended September 30, 2012, we invested \$242.6 million in 23 new portfolio companies and \$28.2 million in nine existing portfolio companies, and we had \$70.9 million in aggregate amount of exits and repayments, resulting in net investments of \$199.9 million for the year.

As of September 30, 2013, our average portfolio company investment and our largest portfolio company investment at amortized cost and fair value was approximately \$13.3 million and \$13.1 million, and \$25.5 million and \$25.3 million, respectively, and we had approximately \$8.6 million of cash and cash equivalents. As of September 30, 2012, our average portfolio company investment and our largest portfolio company investment at amortized cost and fair value was approximately \$10.6 million and \$10.6 million, and \$23.4 million, respectively, and we had approximately \$4.9 million of cash and cash equivalents and \$10.2 million payable for investments purchased.

The following table summarizes the amortized cost and the fair value of investments and cash and cash equivalents as of September 30, 2013 (dollars in thousands):

| | Amortized | | Percentage | | Percentage |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|---------|------------|------------|------------|
| | Cost | | of Total | Fair Value | of Total |
| Senior Secured First Lien Term Loans | \$ | 418,109 | 54.6 | 408,802 | 53.9 |
| Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loans | | 253,210 | 33.0 | 251,963 | 33.2 |
| Senior Secured Notes | | 84,125 | 11.0 | 85,262 | 11.3 |
| Unsecured Debt | | 255 | 0.1 | 255 | 0.1 |
| Equities/ Warrants | | 1,991 | 0.2 | 2,955 | 0.4 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents | | 8,558 | 1.1 | 8,558 | 1.1 |
| Total | \$ | 766,248 | 100% | \$ 757,795 | 100.00% |

The following table summarizes the amortized cost and the fair value of investments and cash and cash equivalents as of September 30, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

| | Amortized | | Percentage | | | Percentage | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|---------|------------|-----------|---------|------------|--|
| | Cost | | of Total | Fair Valu | | of Total | |
| Senior Secured First Lien Term Loans | \$ | 187,753 | 46.0% | \$ | 186,841 | 45.9% | |
| Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loans | | 158,076 | 38.7 | | 157,015 | 38.6 | |
| Senior Secured Notes | | 55,381 | 13.6 | | 55,750 | 13.7 | |
| Equity/Warrants | | 1,950 | 0.5 | | 2,343 | 0.6 | |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents | | 4,894 | 1.2 | | 4,894 | 1.2 | |
| Total | \$ | 408,054 | 100.0% | \$ | 406,843 | 100.0% | |

As of September 30, 2013, the weighted average loan to value ratio ("LTV") of our portfolio investments was approximately 57.5%. We believe that the LTV ratio for a portfolio investment is a useful indicator of the riskiness of the portfolio investment, or its likelihood of default. As part of our investment strategy, we seek to structure transactions with downside protection and seek LTVs of lower than 65%. We regularly evaluate the LTV of our portfolio investments and believe that LTV is a useful indicator for management and investors.

As of September 30, 2013, our income-bearing investment portfolio, which represented nearly 99.5% of our total portfolio, had a weighted average yield based upon cost of our portfolio investments of approximately 13.8%, and 53.1% of our income-bearing investment portfolio bore interest based on floating rates, such as LIBOR, and 46.9% bore interest at fixed rates.

MCC Advisors regularly assesses the risk profile of each of our investments and rates each of them based on the following categories, which we refer to as MCC Advisors' investment credit rating:

Credit **Definition** Rating Investments that are performing above expectations. 1 2 Investments that are performing within expectations, with risks that are neutral or favorable compared to risks at the time of origination. All new loans are rated '2'. 3 Investments that are performing below expectations and that require closer monitoring, but where no loss of interest, dividend or principal is expected. Companies rated '3' may be out of compliance with financial covenants, however, loan payments are generally not past due. Investments that are performing below expectations and for which risk has increased materially since origination. 4 Some loss of interest or dividend is expected but no loss of principal. In addition to the borrower being generally out of compliance with debt covenants, loan payments may be past due (but generally not more than 180 days past due). 5 Investments that are performing substantially below expectations and whose risks have increased substantially since origination.

The following table shows the distribution of our investments on the 1 to 5 investment performance rating scale at fair value as of September 30, 2013 (dollars in thousands):

| Investment | | |
|-------------|----------------|------------|
| Performance | Investments at | |
| Rating | Fair Value | Percentage |
| 1 | \$ 37,618 | |
| 2 | 650,130 | 86.8 |
| 3 | 49,396 | 6.6 |
| 4 | 8,003 | 1.1 |
| 5 | 4,090 | 0.5 |
| Total | \$ 749,237 | 100.0% |

Most or all of the debt covenants are out of compliance and payments are substantially delinquent.

Some loss of principal is expected.

The following table shows the distribution of our investments on the 1 to 5 investment performance rating scale at fair value as of September 30, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

| Investment | | |
|-------------|----------------|------------|
| Performance | Investments at | |
| Rating | Fair Value | Percentage |
| 1 | \$ 17,230 | 4.3% |
| 2 | 354,577 | 88.2 |
| 3 | 30,142 | 7.5 |
| 4 | _ | _ |
| 5 | | _ |
| Total | \$ 401,949 | 100.0% |

Results of Operations

Operating results for the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011 are as follows (dollars in thousands):

| | For the years ended | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------|---------------------------------------|----|-------------------|----|--------------------|--|--|
| | 5 | September 30, 2013 September 30, 2012 | | | | September 30, 2011 | | |
| | | | | (\$ in thousands) | | | | |
| Total investment income | \$ | 88,991 | \$ | 44,520 | \$ | 14,569 | | |
| Total expenses, net | | 42,592 | | 20,974 | | 4,940 | | |
| Net investment income before excise taxes | | 46,399 | | 23,546 | | 9,629 | | |
| Excise tax expense | | - | | (36) | | - | | |
| Net investment income | | 46,399 | | 23,510 | | 9,629 | | |
| Net realized gains (losses) | | 261 | | (44) | | 55 | | |
| Net unrealized gains (losses) | | (7,242) | | (1,062) | | (150) | | |
| Net increase in net assets resulting from operations | \$ | 39,418 | \$ | 22,404 | \$ | 9,534 | | |

Investment Income

For the year ended September 30, 2013, investment income totaled \$89.0 million, of which \$73.2 million was attributable to portfolio interest and \$15.8 million to other fee income. For the year ended September 30, 2012, investment income totaled \$44.5 million, of which \$38.3 million was attributable to portfolio interest and \$6.2 million to other fee income. For the year ended September 30, 2011, investment income totaled \$14.6 million, of which \$12.7 million was attributable to portfolio interest, \$0.1 million to interest earned on cash and cash equivalents and \$1.8 million to other fee income.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses for the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011 were as follows:

| | For the years ended | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------|---------------|-------|---------------|------|----------------|--|--|
| | Septer | nber 30, 2013 | Septe | mber 30, 2012 | Sept | ember 30, 2011 | | |
| | | | (\$ i | n thousands) | | | | |
| Base management fees | \$ | 10,918 | \$ | 5,521 | \$ | 2,679 | | |
| Incentive fees | | 11,600 | | 5,886 | | 714 | | |
| Administrator expenses | | 2,475 | | 1,540 | | 866 | | |
| Professional fees | | 1,846 | | 1,600 | | 628 | | |
| Interest and financing expenses | | 13,448 | | 5,011 | | 163 | | |
| Directors fees | | 461 | | 481 | | 449 | | |
| Insurance | | 377 | | 465 | | 287 | | |
| Organizational expense | | 151 | | - | | 92 | | |
| General and administrative | | 1,316 | | 511 | | 131 | | |
| Expenses before management fee waiver ⁽¹⁾ | \$ | 42,592 | \$ | 21,015 | \$ | 6,009 | | |

(1) For the year ended September 30, 2013, there was no waiver of management fees.

For the year ended September 30, 2013, total operating expenses before manager expense waiver and reimbursement increased by \$21.6 million, or 102.7%, compared to the year ended September 30, 2012.

For the year ended September 30, 2012, total operating expenses before manager expense waiver and reimbursement increased \$15.0 million, or 249.7%, compared to the year ended September 30, 2011.

Interest and financing expenses were higher in the year ended September 30, 2013 than the year ended September 30, 2012 as a result of increase in commitment on a four-year senior secured revolving credit facility, issuing \$40.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 7.125% unsecured notes that mature on March 30, 2019 (the "2019 Notes"), entering into a new five-year senior secured term loan credit facility, issuing \$63.5 million in aggregate principal amount of 6.125% unsecured notes that mature on March 30, 2023 (the "2023 Notes") and issuing SBA-guaranteed debentures.

Excluding interest and financing expenses, expenses increased for the year ended September 30, 2013 compared to the year ended September 30, 2012 due to an increase in professional fees, base management fees, incentive fees, administrative service fees, general administrative expenses and organizational expenses. Professional fees and administrative service fees have increased due to higher legal, audit, valuation services and administrator expenses. Base management fees, which are calculated based on average gross assets, increased due to the growth in the portfolio throughout the period. The incentive fee increased as a result of the increase in pre-incentive fee net investment income.

Net Realized Gains/Losses from Investments

We measure realized gains or losses by the difference between the net proceeds from the disposition and the amortized cost basis of an investment, without regard to unrealized gains or losses previously recognized.

During the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011, we recognized \$260,822 of realized gains, \$44,727 realized losses, and \$55,000 of realized gains on our portfolio investments, respectfully.

Net Unrealized Appreciation/Depreciation on Investments

Net change in unrealized appreciation or depreciation on investments reflects the net change in the fair value of our investment portfolio. For the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011, we had \$7.2 million, \$1.1 million and \$0.1 million of unrealized depreciation, respectively, on portfolio investments.

Changes in Net Assets from Operations

For the year ended September 30, 2013, we recorded a net increase in net assets resulting from operations of \$39.4 million compared to a net increase in net assets resulting from operations of \$22.4 million for the year ended September 30, 2012 and \$9.5 million for the year ended September 30, 2011. The difference among each of the fiscal years is attributable to an increase in total investment income offset by an increase in total operating expenses, resulting from portfolio growth and an increase in net unrealized depreciation for the year ended September 30, 2013, as compared to September 30, 2012 and 2011. Based on 30,246,247, 17,919,310 and 17,258,215 weighted average common shares outstanding for the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, our per share net increase in net assets resulting from operations was \$1.30 for the year ended September 30, 2013 compared to a per share net increase in net assets from operations of \$1.25 for the year ended September 30, 2012 and \$0.55 for the year ended September 30, 2011.

Financial Condition, Liquidity and Capital Resources

As a BDC, we distribute substantially all of our net income to our stockholders and have an ongoing need to raise additional capital for investment purposes. To fund growth, we have a number of alternatives available to increase capital; including raising equity, increasing debt, and funding from operational cash flow.

Our liquidity and capital resources have been generated primarily from the net proceeds of public offerings of common stock, advances from the Revolving Facility and the Term Loan Facility (each as defined below and together, the "Facilities") and net proceeds from the issuance of notes as well as cash flows from operations.

On January 20, 2011, we completed our IPO and issued 11,111,112 common shares and received net proceeds of \$129.6 million.

On August 4, 2011, the Company closed a four-year senior secured revolving credit facility (the "Revolving Facility") led by ING Capital LLC with initial commitments of \$60 million and a feature that provides for expansion of the Facility up to \$125 million, subject to customary conditions.

On March 21, 2012, we issued \$40.0 million in aggregate principal amount of the 2019 Notes. The 2019 Notes may be redeemed in whole or in part at any time or from time to time at our option on or after March 30, 2015. The 2019 Notes bear interest at a rate of 7.125% per year, payable quarterly on March 30, June 30, September 30 and December 30 of each year, beginning June 30, 2012. The 2019 Notes are listed on the New York Stock Exchange and trade thereon under the trading symbol "MCQ". As of September 30, 2013, \$40.0 million in aggregate principal amount of the 2019 Notes were outstanding.

On August 24, 2012, we completed a public offering of 5,750,000 shares, including the underwriters' full exercise of the option to purchase additional shares, of our common stock at a public offering price of \$12.95 per share, raising approximately \$71.9 million in net proceeds.

On August 31, 2012, we entered into Amendment No. 1 to the Revolving Facility, and entered into a new senior secured term loan credit facility (the "Term Loan Facility," and together with the Revolving Facility, the "Facilities") with ING Capital LLC.

Amendment No. 1 to the Revolving Facility revised the Revolving Facility, to, among other things, increase the amount available for borrowing from \$125.0 million to \$132.5 million; permit the Term Loan Facility; and extend the maturity date from August 4, 2015 to August 31, 2016. Amendment No. 1 to the Revolving Facility also changes the interest rate of the Revolving Facility from (a) Eurocurrency loans from LIBOR + 3.75% per annum, with a 1% LIBOR floor, to (i) when the Company's stockholders' equity is less than or equal to \$350.0 million and the step-down condition is not satisfied, LIBOR plus 3.75% per annum, with no LIBOR floor, and (ii) when the Company's stockholders' equity exceeds \$350.0 million and the step-down condition is satisfied, LIBOR plus 3.25% per annum, with no LIBOR floor, and (b) alternative base rate loans based, or ABR, on 2.75% per annum plus the greatest of the Prime Rate in effect on such day, the federal funds effective rate for such day plus 0.5%, LIBOR for a period of three months plus 1% or the ABR Floor of 2% to (i) when the Company's stockholders' equity is less than or equal to \$350.0 million and the step-down condition is not satisfied, 2.75% per annum plus the greatest of the Prime Rate in effect on such day, the federal funds effective rate for such day plus 0.5% or LIBOR for a period of three months plus 1%, and (ii) when the Company's stockholders' equity exceeds \$350.0 million and the step-down condition is satisfied, 2.25% per annum plus the greatest of the Prime Rate in effect on such day, the federal funds effective rate for such day plus 0.5% or LIBOR for a period of three months plus 1%. As of September 30, 2013, there was \$2.5 million outstanding under the Revolving Facility.

Each of the Facilities includes an accordion feature permitting us to expand the Facilities, if certain conditions are satisfied; provided, however, that the aggregate amount of the Facilities, collectively, is capped at \$400.0 million.

On September 25, 2012, we closed \$5 million of additional commitment to the Revolving Facility resulting in total commitments to the Revolving Facility of \$137.5 million.

On December 3, 2012, we completed a public offering of 5,000,000 shares of our common stock at a public offering price of \$13.75 per share, raising approximately \$66.0 million in net proceeds. On December 19, 2012, we sold an additional 495,263 shares of our common stock at a public offering price of \$13.75 per share, raising approximately \$6.5 million in net proceeds, pursuant to the underwriters' partial exercise of the option to purchase additional shares.

On December 7, 2012, we entered into Amendment No. 2 to the Revolving Facility, and entered into Amendment No. 1 to the Term Loan Facility.

Amendment No. 2 to the Revolving Facility revised the Revolving Facility, to, among other things, increase the amount available for borrowing from \$137.5 million to \$182.0 million.

Amendment No. 1 to the Term Loan Facility revised the Term Loan Facility, to, among other things, increase the amount available for borrowing from \$55.0 million to \$80.5 million. The Term Loan Facility matures on August 31, 2017, bears interest at LIBOR plus 4.00% (with no LIBOR floor, rounded upwards, if necessary, to the next 1/16 of 1%).

On January 23, 2013, we entered into Amendment No. 2 to the Term Loan Facility. Amendment No. 2 to the Term Loan Facility revised the Term Loan Facility, to, among other things, increase the amount available for borrowing from \$80.5 million to \$100.0 million.

On January 23, 2013, the Company closed \$18.0 million of additional commitment to the Revolving Facility resulting in total commitments to the Revolving Facility of \$200.0 million.

On March 18, 2013, the Company issued \$60.0 million in aggregate principal amount of the 2023 Notes. The 2023 Notes may be redeemed in whole or in part at any time or from time to time at the Company's option on or after March 30, 2016. The 2023 Notes bear interest at a rate of 6.125% per year, payable quarterly on March 30, June 30, September 30 and December 30 of each year, beginning June 30, 2013. The 2023 Notes are listed on the New York Stock Exchange and trade thereon under the trading symbol "MCV".

On March 26, 2013, the Company closed an additional \$3.5 million in aggregate principal amount of the 2023 Notes, pursuant to the partial exercise of the underwriters' option to purchase additional notes.

On March 28, 2013, we entered into Amendment No. 3 to the Revolving Facility, and entered into Amendment No. 3 to the Term Loan Facility.

Amendment No. 3 to each of the Revolving Facility and the Term Loan Facility amend certain provisions of the Facilities. In particular, the aggregate accordion feature permitting subsequent increases to the Facilities have been increased to an aggregate maximum amount of \$400 million, an increase of \$100 million from the prior limit of \$300 million.

On March 28, 2013, the Company closed \$9.0 million of additional commitment to the Revolving Facility resulting in total commitments to the Revolving Facility of \$209.0 million and \$5.0 million of additional commitment to the Term Facility resulting in total commitments to the Term Facility of \$105.0 million.

On April 12, 2013, we completed a public offering of 4,000,000 shares of our common stock and an additional 492,271 shares of our common stock pursuant to the underwriters' partial exercise of the over-allotment option at a public offering price of \$14.70 per share, raising approximately \$63.4 million in net proceeds.

On April 18, 2013, the Company closed \$1.0 million of additional commitment to the Revolving Facility resulting in total commitments to the Revolving Facility of \$210.0 million.

On May 1, 2013, we entered into Amendment No. 4 to the Revolving Facility, and entered into Amendment No. 4 to the Term Loan Facility.

Amendment No. 4 to the Revolving Facility revised the Revolving Facility, to, among other things, increase the amount available for borrowing from \$210.0 million to \$230.0 million.

Amendment No. 4 to the Term Loan Facility revised the Term Loan Facility, to, among other things, increase the amount available for borrowing from \$105.0 million to \$115.0 million.

On September 9, 2013, we completed a public offering of 6,900,000 shares of our common stock, which included the full exercise of the underwriters' option to purchase an additional 900,000 shares, at a public offering price of \$14.70 per share, raising approximately \$86.6 million in net proceeds.

On September 25, 2013, the Company closed \$15.0 million of additional commitment to the Revolving Facility resulting in total commitments to the Revolving Facility of \$245.0 million and \$5.0 million of additional commitment to the Term Facility resulting in total commitments to the Term Facility of \$120.0 million.

As of September 30, 2013, we had \$8.6 million in cash and cash equivalents. In the future, we may generate cash from future offerings of securities, future borrowings and cash flows from operations, including interest earned from the temporary investment of cash in U.S. government securities and other high-quality debt investments that mature in one year or less. Our primary use of funds is investments in our targeted asset classes, cash distributions to our stockholders, and other general corporate purposes.

If our common stock trades below our net asset value per share, we will generally not be able to issue additional common stock at the market price unless our stockholders approve such a sale and our Board of Directors makes certain determinations. A proposal, approved by our stockholders at our reconvened 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, authorizes us to sell shares of our common stock below the then current net asset value per share of our common stock in one or more offerings (subject to certain conditions, including that the number of shares issued in such offerings does not exceed 25% of our then outstanding common stock, at a price below, but no more than 20% below, our then current net asset value) for a period expiring on the earlier of the anniversary of the date of the 2013 Annual Meeting or the date of our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which is expected to be held in February 2014 . We intend to seek stockholder approval to sell our common stock below NAV at our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, on the same terms that were approved at our 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

In order to satisfy the Code requirements applicable to a RIC, we intend to distribute to our stockholders substantially all of our taxable income, but we may also elect to periodically spillover certain excess undistributed taxable income from one tax year into the next tax year. In addition, as a BDC, we generally are required to meet a coverage ratio of total assets to total senior securities, which include borrowings and any preferred stock we may issue in the future, of at least 200%. This requirement limits the amount that we may borrow.

On March 26, 2013, our wholly-owned subsidiary, Medley SBIC LP ("SBIC LP") received a Small Business Investment Company ("SBIC") license from the Small Business Administration ("SBA").

The SBIC license allows the SBIC LP to obtain leverage by issuing SBA-guaranteed debentures, subject to the issuance of a capital commitment by the SBA and other customary procedures. SBA-guaranteed debentures are non-recourse, interest only debentures with interest payable semi-annually and have a ten year maturity. The principal amount of SBA-guaranteed debentures is not required to be paid prior to maturity but may be prepaid at any time without penalty. The interest rate of SBA-guaranteed debentures is fixed on a semi-annual basis at a market-driven spread over U.S. Treasury Notes with 10-year maturities. The SBA, as a creditor, will have a superior claim to the SBIC LP's assets over our stockholders in the event we liquidate the SBIC LP or the SBA exercises its remedies under the SBA-guaranteed debentures issued by the SBIC LP upon an event of default.

SBA regulations currently limit the amount that the SBIC LP may borrow to a maximum of \$150 million when it has at least \$75 million in regulatory capital, receives a capital commitment from the SBA and has been through an examination by the SBA subsequent to licensing.

On November 16, 2012, we obtained exemptive relief from the SEC to permit us to exclude the debt of the SBIC LP guaranteed by the SBA from our 200% asset coverage test under the 1940 Act. The exemptive relief provides us with increased flexibility under the 200% asset coverage test by permitting it to borrow up to \$150 million more than it would otherwise be able to absent the receipt of this exemptive relief.

As of September 30, 2013, SBIC LP had \$50.0 million in regulatory capital and had \$30.0 million SBA-guaranteed debentures outstanding.

Contractual Obligations and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We may become a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of our business to meet the financial needs of our portfolio companies. As of September 30, 2013, we had commitments under loan and financing agreements to fund up to \$33.1 million to six portfolio companies. These commitments are primarily composed of senior secured term loans and a revolver. As of September 30, 2012, we had commitments under loan and financing agreements to fund up to \$17.3 million to six portfolio companies. These commitments are primarily composed of senior secured term loans and preferred equity. The commitments are generally subject to the borrowers meeting certain criteria such as compliance with covenants and certain operational metrics. The terms of the borrowings and financings subject to commitment are comparable to the terms of other loan and equity securities in our portfolio. A summary of the composition of the unfunded commitments for the years ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 is show in the table below (dollars in thousands):

| | Year ended September 30, 2013 | | | Year ended September 30, 2012 |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------|----|-------------------------------------|
| Red Skye Wireless LLC | \$ | 15,000 | \$ | - |
| Lydell Jewelry Design Studio LLC | | 5,928 | | - |
| DLR Restaurants LLC | | 4,177 | | - |
| DreamFinders Homes LLC - Term Loan B | | 2,723 | | - |
| DreamFinders Homes LLC - Term Loan A | | 2,500 | | - |
| Tenere Acquisition Corp. | | 2,000 | | - |
| Physicians Care Alliance LLC - Revolver | | 767 | | - |
| Prestige Industries LLC | | - | | 6,240 |
| Gulf Coast Atlantic Corporation | | - | | 3,938 |
| Calloway Laboratories, Inc. | | - | | 3,000 |
| American Gaming Systems LLC | | - | | 1,240 |
| Welocalize Inc Term Loan B | | - | | 1,112 |
| Welocalize Inc Term Loan A | | - | | 977 |
| Meridian Behavioral Health, LLC | | - | | 750 |
| Total | \$ | 33,095 | \$ | 17,257 |

We have certain contracts under which we have material future commitments. We have entered into an investment management agreement with MCC Advisors in accordance with the 1940 Act. The investment management agreement became effective upon the pricing of our initial public offering. Under the investment management agreement, MCC Advisors has agreed to provide us with investment advisory and management services. For these services, we have agreed to pay a base management fee equal to a percentage of our gross assets and an incentive fee based on our performance.

We have also entered into an administration agreement with MCC Advisors as our administrator. The administration agreement became effective upon the pricing of our initial public offering. Under the administration agreement, MCC Advisors has agreed to furnish us with office facilities and equipment, provide us clerical, bookkeeping and record keeping services at such facilities and provide us with other administrative services necessary to conduct our day-to-day operations. MCC Advisors will also provide on our behalf significant managerial assistance to those portfolio companies to which we are required to provide such assistance.

The following table shows our payment obligations for repayment of debt and other contractual obligations at September 30, 2013 (dollars in thousands):

| | Payment Due by Period | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---------|----|-----------|----|-------------|----|-------------|----|---------|--|
| | | | | More than | | | | | | | |
| | | Total | | 1 year | 1 | 1 – 3 years | , | 3 – 5 years | | 5 years | |
| Revolving Facility | \$ | 2,500 | \$ | | \$ | | \$ | 2,500 | \$ | | |
| Term Loan Facility | | 120,000 | | _ | | _ | | 120,000 | | _ | |
| 7.125% Notes | | 40,000 | | _ | | _ | | _ | | 40,000 | |
| 6.125% Notes | | 63,500 | | | | | | | | 63,500 | |
| SBA Debenture | | 30,000 | | _ | | _ | | _ | | 30,000 | |
| Total contractual obligations | \$ | 256,000 | \$ | _ | \$ | _ | \$ | 122,500 | \$ | 133,500 | |

If any of the contractual obligations discussed above are terminated, our costs under any new agreements that we enter into may increase. In addition, we would likely incur significant time and expense in locating alternative parties to provide the services we expect to receive under our investment management agreement and our administration agreement. Any new investment management agreement would also be subject to approval by our stockholders.

Distributions

We have elected and qualified to be treated for U.S. federal income tax purposes as a RIC under subchapter M of the Code. As a RIC, in any taxable year with respect to which we distribute at least 90 percent of the sum of our (i) investment company taxable income (which is generally our ordinary income plus the excess of realized net short-term capital gains over realized net long-term capital losses) determined without regard to the deduction for dividends paid and (ii) net tax exempt interest income (which is the excess of our gross tax exempt interest income over certain disallowed deductions), we (but not our stockholders) generally will not be subject to U.S. federal income tax on investment company taxable income and net capital gains that we distribute to our stockholders. We intend to distribute annually all or substantially all of such income. To the extent that we retain our net capital gains or any investment company taxable income, we may be subject to U.S. federal income tax. We may choose to retain our net capital gains or any investment company taxable income, and pay the associated federal corporate income tax, including the federal excise tax described below.

Amounts not distributed on a timely basis in accordance with a calendar year distribution requirement are subject to a nondeductible 4% U.S. federal excise tax payable by us. To avoid this tax, we must distribute (or be deemed to have distributed) during each calendar year an amount equal to the sum of:

- (1) at least 98.0 percent of our ordinary income (not taking into account any capital gains or losses) for the calendar year;
- (2) at least 98.2 percent of the amount by which our capital gains exceed our capital losses (adjusted for certain ordinary losses) for a one-year period ending on October 31st of the calendar year; and
- (3) income realized, but not distributed, in preceding years.

While we intend to distribute any income and capital gains in the manner necessary to minimize imposition of the 4% U.S. federal excise tax, sufficient amounts of our taxable income and capital gains may not be distributed to avoid entirely the imposition of the tax. In that event, we will be liable for the tax only on the amount by which we do not meet the foregoing distribution requirement.

We intend to pay quarterly dividends to our stockholders out of assets legally available for distribution. We cannot assure you that we will achieve investment results that will allow us to pay a specified level of dividends or year-to-year increases in dividends. In addition, the inability to satisfy the asset coverage test applicable to us as a BDC could limit our ability to pay dividends. All dividends will be paid at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend on our earnings, our financial condition, maintenance of our RIC status, compliance with applicable BDC regulations and such other factors as our board of directors may deem relevant from time to time. We cannot assure you that we will pay dividends to our stockholders in the future.

To the extent our taxable earnings fall below the total amount of our distributions for that fiscal year, a portion of those distributions may be deemed a return of capital to our stockholders for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Thus, the source of a distribution to our stockholders may be the original capital invested by the stockholder rather than our income or gains. Stockholders should read any written disclosure accompanying a distribution carefully and should not assume that the source of any distribution is our ordinary income or gains.

We have adopted an "opt out" dividend reinvestment plan for our common stockholders. As a result, if we declare a cash dividend or other distribution, each stockholder that has not "opted out" of our dividend reinvestment plan will have their dividends automatically reinvested in additional shares of our common stock rather than receiving cash dividends. Stockholders who receive distributions in the form of shares of common stock will be subject to the same federal, state and local tax consequences as if they received cash distributions.

The following table summarizes the dividends declared for the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012, and 2011:

| | | Payment | |
|---------------|-------------|------------|------------------|
| Date Declared | Record Date | Date | Amount Per Share |
| 5/11/2011 | 6/1/2011 | 6/15/2011 | 0.16 |
| 8/4/2011 | 9/1/2011 | 9/15/2011 | 0.21 |
| 11/29/2011 | 12/15/2011 | 12/30/2011 | 0.25 |
| 2/2/2012 | 2/24/2012 | 3/15/2012 | 0.28 |
| 5/2/2012 | 5/25/2012 | 6/15/2012 | 0.31 |
| 8/1/2012 | 8/24/2012 | 9/14/2012 | 0.36 |
| 11/1/2012 | 11/23/2012 | 12/14/2012 | 0.36 |
| 1/30/2013 | 2/27/2013 | 3/15/2013 | 0.36 |
| 5/1/2013 | 5/24/2013 | 6/14/2013 | 0.36 |
| 7/31/2013 | 8/23/2013 | 9/13/2013 | 0.37 |

Related Party Transactions

Concurrent with the pricing of our initial public offering, we entered into a number of business relationships with affiliated or related parties, including the following:

· We entered into an investment management agreement with MCC Advisors. Mr. Brook Taube, our chairman and chief executive officer, is a managing partner and senior portfolio manager of MCC Advisors, and Mr. Seth Taube and Mr. Andrew Fentress, two of our directors, are managing partners of MCC Advisors.

- MCC Advisors provides us with the office facilities and administrative services necessary to conduct day-to-day operations pursuant to our
 administration agreement. We reimburse MCC Advisors for the allocable portion (subject to the review and approval of our board of directors) of
 overhead and other expenses incurred by it in performing its obligations under the administration agreement, including rent, the fees and expenses
 associated with performing compliance functions, and our allocable portion of the cost of our chief financial officer and chief compliance officer and
 their respective staffs.
- · We have entered into a license agreement with Medley Capital LLC, pursuant to which Medley Capital LLC has granted us a non-exclusive, royalty-free license to use the name "Medley."
- · Certain affiliates of MCC Advisors, Medley Capital LLC, their respective affiliates and some of their employees purchased in the initial public offering an aggregate of 833,333 shares of common stock at the initial public offering price per share of \$12.00. We received the full proceeds from the sale of these shares, and no underwriting discounts or commissions were paid in respect of these shares.

MCC Advisors and its affiliates may in the future manage other accounts that have investment mandates that are similar, in whole and in part, with ours. MCC Advisors and its affiliates may determine that an investment is appropriate for us and for one or more of those other accounts. In such event, depending on the availability of such investment and other appropriate factors, and pursuant to MCC Advisors' allocation policy, MCC Advisors or its affiliates may determine that we should invest side-by-side with one or more other accounts. We will not make any investments if they are not permitted by applicable law and interpretive positions of the SEC and its staff, or if they are inconsistent with MCC Advisors' allocation procedures.

In addition, we have adopted a formal code of ethics that governs the conduct of our and MCC Advisors' officers, directors and employees. Our officers and directors also remain subject to the duties imposed by both the 1940 Act and the Delaware General Corporation Law.

Management Fee

Pursuant to the investment management agreement, we pay our investment adviser a fee for investment management services consisting of two components — a base management fee and an incentive fee.

MCC Advisors receives a base management fee from us payable quarterly in arrears, at an annual rate of 1.75% of our gross assets, including any assets acquired with the proceeds of leverage. MCC Advisors agreed to waive the base management fee payable with respect to cash and cash equivalents held by the Company through December 31, 2011.

The investment management agreement also provides that MCC Advisors is entitled to an incentive fee of 20.0%. The incentive fee consists of two parts: (1) the first component, which is payable quarterly in arrears, equals 20.0% of the excess, if any, of the pre-incentive fee net investment income over a hurdle rate (2.0% quarterly) and subject to a "catch-up" provision measured as of the end of each calendar quarter. Under this provision, in any calendar quarter, MCC Advisors receives no incentive fee until our net investment income equals the hurdle rate of 2.0%, but then receives, as a "catch-up", 100% of our pre-incentive fee net investment income with respect to that portion of such pre-incentive fee net investment income, if any, that exceeds the hurdle rate but is less than 2.5%. The effect of this provision is that, if pre-incentive fee net investment income exceeds 2.5% in any calendar quarter, MCC Advisors will receive 20% of our pre-incentive fee net investment income as if the hurdle rate did not apply; and (2) the second component, which is payable in arrears at the end of each calendar year (or upon termination of the investment management agreement, as of the termination date), commencing with the year ending December 31, 2011, equals 20.0% of our cumulative aggregate realized capital gains less cumulative realized capital losses, unrealized capital depreciation (unrealized depreciation on a gross investment-by-investment basis at the end of each calendar year) and all capital gains upon which prior performance-based capital gains incentive fee payments were previously made to MCC Advisors.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of financial statements and related disclosures in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and revenues and expenses during the periods reported. Actual results could materially differ from those estimates. We have identified the following items as critical accounting policies.

Valuation of Portfolio Investments

We value investments for which market quotations are readily available at their market quotations, which are generally obtained from an independent pricing service or multiple broker-dealers or market makers. We weight the use of third-party broker quotes, if any, in determining fair value based on our understanding of the level of actual transactions used by the broker to develop the quote and whether the quote was an indicative price or binding offer. However, a readily available market value is not expected to exist for many of the investments in our portfolio, and we value these portfolio investments at fair value as determined in good faith by our board of directors under our valuation policy and process. We may seek pricing information with respect to certain of our investments from pricing services or brokers or dealers in order to value such investments. We also employ independent third party valuation firms for all of our investments for which there is not a readily available market value.

Valuation methods may include comparisons of financial ratios of the portfolio companies that issued such private equity securities to peer companies that are public, the nature and realizable value of any collateral, the portfolio company's ability to make payments and its earnings and discounted cash flows, the markets in which the portfolio company does business, and other relevant factors. When an external event such as a purchase transaction, public offering or subsequent equity sale occurs, we will consider the pricing indicated by the external event to corroborate the private equity valuation. Due to the inherent uncertainty of determining the fair value of investments that do not have a readily available market value, the fair value of the investments may differ significantly from the values that would have been used had a readily available market value existed for such investments, and the differences could be material.

Our board of directors is ultimately and solely responsible for determining the fair value of the investments in our portfolio that are not publicly traded, whose market prices are not readily available on a quarterly basis or any other situation where portfolio investments require a fair value determination.

With respect to investments for which market quotations are not readily available, our board of directors will undertake a multi-step valuation process each quarter, as described below:

- · Our quarterly valuation process begins with each investment being initially valued by the investment professionals responsible for monitoring the portfolio investment.
- · Preliminary valuation conclusions are then documented and discussed with senior management.
- At least twice annually, the valuation for each portfolio investment is reviewed by an independent valuation firm.
- The audit committee of our board of directors reviews the preliminary valuations of the investment professionals, senior management and independent valuation firms.
- · Our board of directors discusses the valuations and determines the fair value of each investment in our portfolio in good faith based on the input of MCC Advisors, the respective independent valuation firms and the audit committee.

In following these approaches, the types of factors that are taken into account in fair value pricing investments include available current market data, including relevant and applicable market trading and transaction comparables; applicable market yields and multiples; security covenants; call protection provisions; information rights; the nature and realizable value of any collateral; the portfolio company's ability to make payments; the portfolio company's earnings and discounted cash flows; the markets in which the portfolio company does business; comparisons of financial ratios of peer companies that are public; comparable merger and acquisition transactions; and the principal market and enterprise values.

Determination of fair values involves subjective judgments and estimates not verifiable by auditing procedures. Under current auditing standards, the notes to our financial statements refer to the uncertainty with respect to the possible effect of such valuations, and any change in such valuations, on our consolidated financial statements.

Revenue Recognition

Our revenue recognition policies are as follows:

Investments and Related Investment Income. We account for investment transactions on a trade-date basis and interest income, adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts, is recorded on an accrual basis. For investments with contractual PIK interest, which represents contractual interest accrued and added to the principal balance that generally becomes due at maturity, we will not accrue PIK interest if the portfolio company valuation indicates that the PIK interest is not collectible. Origination, closing and/or commitment fees associated with investments in portfolio companies are recognized as income when the investment transaction closes. Other fees are capitalized as deferred revenue and recorded into income over the respective period. Prepayment penalties received by the Company for debt instruments paid back to the Company prior to the maturity date are recorded as income upon receipt. Realized gains or losses on investments are measured by the difference between the net proceeds from the disposition and the amortized cost basis of investment, without regard to unrealized gains or losses previously recognized. We report changes in the fair value of investments that are measured at fair value as a component of the net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on investments in our consolidated statement of operations.

Non-accrual. We place loans on non-accrual status when principal and interest payments are past due by 90 days or more, or when there is reasonable doubt that we will collect principal or interest. Accrued interest is generally reversed when a loan is placed on non-accrual. Interest payments received on non-accrual loans may be recognized as income or applied to principal depending upon management's judgment. Non-accrual loans are restored to accrual status when past due principal and interest is paid and, in our management's judgment, are likely to remain current. At September 30, 2013, one portfolio company was on PIK non-accrual status with a fair value of approximately \$4.1 million, or 0.6% of the fair value of our portfolio. At September 30, 2012, we had no portfolio company on non-accrual status.

Federal Income Taxes

The Company has elected and qualified to be treated for U.S. federal income tax purposes as a RIC under subchapter M of the Code, commencing with its first taxable year as a corporation, and it intends to operate in a manner so as to maintain its RIC tax treatment. As a RIC, among other things, the Company is required to meet certain source of income and asset diversification requirements. Once qualified as a RIC, the Company must timely distribute to its stockholders at least 90% of the sum of investment company taxable income ("ICTI") including PIK, as defined by the Code, and net tax exempt interest income (which is the excess of our gross tax exempt interest income over certain disallowed deductions) for each taxable year in order to be eligible for tax treatment under subchapter M of the Code. The Company will be subject to a nondeductible U.S. federal excise tax of 4% on undistributed income if it does not distribute at least 98% of its ordinary income in any calendar year and 98.2% of its capital gain net income for each one-year period ending on October 31 of such calendar year. Depending on the level of ICTI earned in a tax year, the Company may choose to carry forward ICTI in excess of current year dividend distributions into the next tax year and pay a 4% excise tax on such income, as required. To the extent that the Company determines that its estimated current year annual taxable income will be in excess of estimated current year dividend distributions for excise tax purposes, the Company may choose to carry forward ICTI in excess of current year distributions into the next tax year, the Company may choose to carry forward ICTI in excess of current year distributions into the next tax year and pay a 4% excise tax on such excess. Any such carryover ICTI must be distributed before the end of that next tax year through a dividend declared prior to filing the final tax return related to the year which generated such ICTI.

Because federal income tax regulations differ from GAAP, distributions in accordance with tax regulations may differ from net investment income and realized gains recognized for financial reporting purposes. Differences may be permanent or temporary. Permanent differences are reclassified among capital accounts in the consolidated financial statements to reflect their tax character. Temporary differences arise when certain items of income, expense, gain or loss are recognized at some time in the future. Differences in classification may also result from the treatment of short-term gains as ordinary income for tax purposes.

Recent Developments

On October 30, 2013, the Company's board of directors declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.37 per share payable on December 13, 2013, to stockholders of record at the close of business on November 22, 2013.

On November 25, 2013, we have received an amended order from the SEC that expanded our ability to negotiate the terms of co-investment transactions with other funds managed by MCC Advisors or its affiliates, including Sierra Income Corporation, a non-traded business development company, subject to the conditions included therein.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

We are subject to financial market risks, including changes in interest rates. Changes in interest rates may affect both our cost of funding and our interest income from portfolio investments and cash and cash equivalents. Our investment income will be affected by changes in various interest rates, including LIBOR, to the extent our debt investments include floating interest rates. In the future, we expect other loans in our portfolio will have floating rates. We may hedge against interest rate fluctuations by using standard hedging instruments such as futures, options and forward contracts subject to the requirements of the 1940 Act. For the year ended September 30, 2013, we did not engage in hedging activities.

As of September 30, 2013, 53.1% of our income-bearing investment portfolio bore interest based on floating rates. The compositions of our floating rate debt investments by cash interest rate floor as of September 30, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

| | September 30, 2013 | | September 30, 2012 | |
|----------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|
| | Fair Value | % of Floating | Fair Value | % of Floating |
| | (thousands) | Rate Portfolio | (thousands) | Rate Portfolio |
| Under 1% | \$ 54,113 | 13.7% \$ | 25,148 | 13.9% |
| 1% to under 2% | 319,630 | 80.8 | 128,705 | 71.3 |
| 2% to under 3% | 22,008 | 5.5 | 26,627 | 14.8 |
| Total | \$ 395,751 | 100.0% \$ | 180,480 | 100.0% |

Based on our Consolidated Statement of Assets and Liabilities as of September 30, 2013, the following table shows the approximate increase (decrease) in components of net assets resulting from operations of hypothetical base rate changes in interest rates, assuming no changes in our investment and capital structure.

| | Interest | Interest | Net Increase |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| | Income | Expense | (Decrease) |
| Basis point increase ⁽¹⁾ | (thousands) | (thousands) | (thousands) |
| 100 | \$ 800 | \$ 1,500 | \$ (700) |
| 200 | 4,200 | 3,100 | 1,100 |
| 300 | 7,900 | 4,600 | 3,300 |
| 400 | 11,600 | 6,100 | 5,500 |
| 500 | 15,200 | 7,600 | 7,600 |

(1) A hypothetical decline in interest rates would not have a material impact on our financial statements.

Item 8. Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | Page |
|--|------|
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | F-1 |
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting | F-2 |
| Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities as of September 30, 2013 and 2012 | F-3 |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011 | F-4 |
| Consolidated Statements of Changes in Net Assets for the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011 | F-5 |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011 | F-6 |
| Consolidated Schedules of Investments as of September 30, 2013 and 2012 | F-7 |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | F-12 |
| | |
| | |

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Shareholders and Board of Directors of Medley Capital Corporation

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of assets and liabilities of Medley Capital Corporation (the Company), including the consolidated schedules of investments, as of September 30, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in net assets, and cash flows, for each of the three years in the period ended September 30, 2013. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our procedures included confirmation of investments owned as of September 30, 2013 and 2012 by correspondence with the custodian, directly with designees of the portfolio companies and debt agents, as applicable. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Medley Capital Corporation at September 30, 2013 and 2012, and the consolidated results of its operations, changes in its net assets, and its cash flows, for each of the three years in the period ended September 30, 2013 in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Medley Capital Corporation's internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2013, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (1992 framework) and our report dated December 9, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

New York, New York December 9, 2013

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Shareholders and Board of Directors of Medley Capital Corporation

We have audited Medley Capital Corporation's (the Company) internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2013, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (1992 framework) (the "COSO criteria"). Medley Capital Corporation's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in Item 9A of Form 10-K, Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Medley Capital Corporation maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2013, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated statements of assets and liabilities, including the consolidated schedules of investments, as of September 30, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in net assets, and cash flows, for each of the three years in the period ended September 30, 2013, and our report dated December 9, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion therein.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

New York, New York December 9, 2013

Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities

| | As of | | | | |
|---|-------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|--|
| | Sep | tember 30, 2013 | Sep | tember 30, 2012 | |
| | | | | | |
| ASSETS | | | | | |
| Investments at fair value | 4 | | . | 202 = 44 2== | |
| Non-controlled/non-affiliated investments (amortized cost of \$748,405,904 and \$394,482,053, respectively) | \$ | 740,097,249 | \$ | 393,741,357 | |
| Affiliated investments (amortized cost of \$9,283,640 and \$8,678,596, respectively) | | 9,139,377 | | 8,208,006 | |
| Total investments at fair value | | 749,236,626 | | 401,949,363 | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | | 8,557,899 | | 4,893,616 | |
| Interest receivable | | 9,607,539 | | 3,940,148 | |
| Deferred financing costs, net | | 8,523,291 | | 4,651,724 | |
| Other assets | | 249,388 | | 232,496 | |
| Deferred offering costs | | 218,681 | | 103,671 | |
| Total assets | \$ | 776,393,424 | \$ | 415,771,018 | |
| | _ | | _ | | |
| LIABILITIES | | | | | |
| Revolving credit facility payable | \$ | 2,500,000 | \$ | 15,000,000 | |
| Term loan payable | | 120,000,000 | | 55,000,000 | |
| Notes payable | | 103,500,000 | | 40,000,000 | |
| SBA debentures payable | | 30,000,000 | | - | |
| Payable for investments purchased | | 54,013 | | 10,212,300 | |
| Management and incentive fees payable, net | | 6,899,653 | | 3,514,772 | |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | | 1,305,361 | | 924,152 | |
| Administrator expenses payable | | 701,208 | | 465,412 | |
| Deferred revenue | | 255,922 | | 173,627 | |
| Interest and fees payable | | 1,155,524 | | 1,048,205 | |
| Due to affiliate | | 82,083 | | 13,246 | |
| Offering costs payable | | 105,205 | | 80,073 | |
| Total liabilities | \$ | 266,558,969 | \$ | 126,431,787 | |
| | ÷ | | = | 120, 151,707 | |
| NET ASSETS | | | | | |
| Common stock, par value \$.001 per share, 100,000,000 common shares authorized, 40,152,904 and 23,110,242 | | | | | |
| common shares issued and outstanding, respectively | \$ | 40,153 | \$ | 23,110 | |
| Capital in excess of par value | Ψ | 506,062,597 | Ψ | 285,012,499 | |
| Accumulated undistributed net investment income | | 12,184,623 | | 5,559,635 | |
| Accumulated net realized gain/(loss) from investments | | 12,104,025 | | (44,727) | |
| Net unrealized appreciation/(depreciation) on investments | | (8,452,918) | | (1,211,286) | |
| Total net assets | | 509,834,455 | | 289,339,231 | |
| Total liabilities and net assets | ф | | ф | | |
| Total Havilities and het assets | \$ | 776,393,424 | \$ | 415,771,018 | |
| | | | | | |
| NET ASSET VALUE PER SHARE | \$ | 12.70 | \$ | 12.52 | |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Consolidated Statements of Operations

| | For the years ended September 30 | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|-------------|----|-------------|----|-------------|--|
| | | 2013 | | 2012 | | 2011 | |
| INVESTMENT INCOME | | | | | | | |
| Interest from investments | | | | | | | |
| Non-controlled/Non-affiliated investments | \$ | 71,649,288 | \$ | 35,376,190 | \$ | 8,517,020 | |
| Affiliated investments | Ψ | 1,499,179 | Ψ | 2,973,533 | Ψ | 4,217,333 | |
| Total interest income | | 73,148,467 | | 38,349,723 | | 12,734,353 | |
| Interest from cash and cash equivalents | | 7,847 | | 5,176 | | 69,763 | |
| Other fee income (See note 9) | | 15,834,820 | | 6,165,393 | | 1,764,738 | |
| Total investment income | _ | 88,991,134 | _ | 44,520,292 | _ | 14,568,854 | |
| Total investment income | | 00,331,134 | _ | 44,320,232 | | 14,300,034 | |
| EXPENSES | | | | | | | |
| Base management fees | | 10,917,857 | | 5,521,293 | | 2,678,806 | |
| Incentive fees | | 11,599,641 | | 5,886,482 | | 713,745 | |
| Interest and financing expenses | | 13,448,573 | | 5,010,670 | | 163,072 | |
| Administrator expenses | | 2,474,556 | | 1,539,585 | | 866,055 | |
| Professional fees | | 1,846,717 | | 1,600,240 | | 628,209 | |
| Directors fees | | 461,511 | | 481,047 | | 448,871 | |
| Insurance | | 376,942 | | 465,212 | | 287,326 | |
| General and administrative | | 1,315,855 | | 510,961 | | 130,570 | |
| Organizational expenses | | 150,916 | | - | | 92,226 | |
| Expenses before management fee waiver | | 42,592,568 | | 21,015,490 | | 6,008,880 | |
| Management fee waiver (See note 6) | | - | | (41,126) | | (1,068,688) | |
| Total expenses net of management fee waiver | | 42,592,568 | | 20,974,364 | | 4,940,192 | |
| Net investment income before excise taxes | | 46,398,566 | | 23,545,928 | | 9,628,662 | |
| Excise tax expense | | - | | (35,501) | | - | |
| NET INVESTMENT INCOME | - | 46,398,566 | | 23,510,427 | | 9,628,662 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| REALIZED AND UNREALIZED GAIN/(LOSS) ON INVESTMENTS: | | | | | | | |
| Net realized gain/(loss) from investments | | 260,822 | | (44,727) | | 55,000 | |
| Net unrealized appreciation/(depreciation) on investments | | (7,241,632) | | (1,061,758) | | (149,528) | |
| Net gain/(loss) on investments | | (6,980,810) | _ | (1,106,485) | | (94,528) | |
| NET INCREASE IN NET ASSETS RESULTING FROM OPERATIONS | \$ | 39,417,756 | \$ | 22,403,942 | \$ | 9,534,134 | |
| | _ | | | | _ | | |
| WEIGHTED AVERAGE - BASIC AND DILUTED EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE | \$ | 1.30 | \$ | 1.25 | \$ | 0.55 | |
| WEIGHTED AVERAGE - BASIC AND DILUTED NET INVESTMENT INCOME PER | | | | | | | |
| COMMON SHARE | \$ | 1.53 | \$ | 1.31 | \$ | 0.56 | |
| WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMON STOCK OUTSTANDING - BASIC AND DILUTED | | | | | | | |
| (SEE NOTE 11) | | 30,246,247 | | 17,919,310 | | 17,258,215 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| DIVIDENDS DECLARED PER COMMON SHARE | \$ | 1.45 | \$ | 1.20 | \$ | 0.37 | |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Net Assets

| | For the years ended September 30 | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--------------|------|--------------|----|-------------|--|
| | | 2013 | 2012 | | | 2011 | |
| INCORPAGE EDOM OPERATIONS | | | | | | | |
| INCREASE FROM OPERATIONS: | | | | | | | |
| Net investment income | \$ | 46,398,566 | \$ | 23,510,427 | \$ | 9,628,662 | |
| Net realized gain/(loss) from investments | | 260,822 | | (44,727) | | 55,000 | |
| Net unrealized appreciation/(depreciation) on investments | | (7,241,632) | | (1,061,758) | | (149,528) | |
| Net increase/(decrease) in net assets from operations | | 39,417,756 | | 22,403,942 | | 9,534,134 | |
| SHAREHOLDER DISTRIBUTIONS: | | _ | | _ | | | |
| Distributions declared from net investment income | | (42,882,132) | | (22,799,562) | | (6,408,573) | |
| Distributions declared from realized gains | | - | | (55,000) | | - | |
| Net decrease in net assets from shareholder distributions | | (42,882,132) | | (22,854,562) | | (6,408,573) | |
| CAPITAL SHARE TRANSACTIONS: | | | | | | | |
| Issuance of common stock, net of underwriting costs (16,887,534, 5,750,000 and 11,111,112 | | | | | | | |
| shares, respectively) | | 224,602,791 | | 72,376,239 | | 216,051,889 | |
| Offering costs | | (643,191) | | (239,084) | | (1,432,704) | |
| Net increase in net assets from common share transactions | | 223,959,600 | | 72,137,155 | | 214,619,185 | |
| Total increase/(decrease) in net assets | | 220,495,224 | | 71,686,535 | | 217,744,746 | |
| Net assets at beginning of year | | 289,339,231 | | 217,652,696 | | (92,050) | |
| Net assets at end of period including accumulated undistributed net investment income of | | | | | | | |
| \$12,184,623, \$5,559,635 and \$3,220,089, respectively | \$ | 509,834,455 | \$ | 289,339,231 | \$ | 217,652,696 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Net asset value per common share | \$ | 12.70 | \$ | 12.52 | \$ | 12.57 | |
| Common shares outstanding at end of year | | 40,152,904 | | 23,110,242 | | 17,320,468 | |
| | | | | | | | |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

| | For the years ended September 30 | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|---------------|----|---------------|----|---------------|
| | | 2013 | | 2012 | _ | 2011 |
| Cash flows from operating activities | | | | | | |
| NET INCREASE IN NET ASSETS FROM OPERATIONS | \$ | 39,417,756 | \$ | 22,403,942 | \$ | 9,534,134 |
| ADJUSTMENTS TO RECONCILE NET INCREASE IN NET ASSETS FROM OPERATIONS | | 55,417,750 | Ψ | 22,405,542 | Ψ | 3,334,134 |
| TO NET CASH USED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | | | | | | |
| Investment increases due to paid-in-kind interest | | (9,964,734) | | (3,810,495) | | (1,727,763) |
| Net amortization of premium/(discount) on investments | | (689,892) | | (118,114) | | 121,115 |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | | 1,477,860 | | 658,029 | | 66,405 |
| Net realized (gain)/loss from investments | | (260,822) | | 44,727 | | (55,000) |
| Net unrealized (appreciation)/depreciation on investments | | 7,241,632 | | 1,061,758 | | 149,528 |
| Proceeds from sale and redemption of investments | | 192,942,793 | | 83,557,875 | | 2,055,000 |
| Purchase of investments | | (536,556,240) | | (283,478,567) | | (114,798,931) |
| (Increase)/decrease in operating assets: | | (330,330,240) | | (203,470,307) | | (114,750,551) |
| Interest receivable | | (5,667,391) | | (2,260,410) | | (1,679,738) |
| Other assets | | (16,892) | | 549,510 | | (782,006) |
| Increase/(decrease) in operating liabilities: | | (10,032) | | 545,510 | | (702,000) |
| Payable for investments purchased | | (10,158,287) | | 10,212,300 | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | | 381,209 | | 297,891 | | 626,261 |
| Management and incentive fees payable, net | | 3,384,881 | | 2,031,021 | | 1,483,751 |
| Administrator expenses payable | | 235,796 | | 119,119 | | 346,293 |
| Interest and fees payable | | 107,319 | | 1,046,538 | | 1,667 |
| Deferred revenue | | 82,295 | | 154,979 | | 18,648 |
| Due to affiliate | | 68,837 | | 13,246 | | 10,040 |
| Accrued organizational costs | | 00,037 | | 13,240 | | (92,000) |
| NET CASH USED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES | _ | (217.072.000) | _ | (107.510.051) | _ | |
| NET CASH USED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES | _ | (317,973,880) | _ | (167,516,651) | _ | (104,732,636) |
| Cook floors from financing activities | | | | | | |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | | | | | (50,000) |
| Proceeds/(repayment) of contributed loan | | - | | 70.076.000 | | (50,000) |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock, net of underwriting costs | | 224,602,791 | | 72,376,239 | | 131,101,393 |
| Offering cost paid | | (733,069) | | (262,683) | | (1,397,944) |
| Borrowings on debt | | 343,700,000 | | 229,400,000 | | - |
| Paydowns on debt | | (197,700,000) | | (119,400,000) | | (1 225 707) |
| Financing cost paid | | (5,349,427) | | (4,050,370) | | (1,325,787) |
| Payments of cash dividends | | (42,882,132) | | (22,854,562) | | (6,408,573) |
| NET CASH PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | 321,638,163 | | 155,208,624 | | 121,919,089 |
| MET INCDE A CE //DECDE A CE) IN CACH AND CACH FOLLWALENTS | | 2.664.202 | | (12 200 027) | | 17 100 450 |
| NET INCREASE/(DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | | 3,664,283 | | (12,308,027) | | 17,186,453 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF YEAR | _ | 4,893,616 | _ | 17,201,643 | _ | 15,190 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF YEAR | \$ | 8,557,899 | \$ | 4,893,616 | \$ | 17,201,643 |
| Supplemental Information: | | | | | | |
| Interest paid during the year | \$ | 12,571,820 | \$ | 3,292,585 | \$ | 95,000 |
| Excise tax paid during the year | \$ | - | \$ | 35,501 | \$ | - |
| Supplemental non-cash information | | | | | | |
| Paid-in-kind interest income | \$ | 9,125,274 | \$ | 3,810,495 | \$ | 1,727,763 |
| Net amortization of premium/(discount) on investments | \$ | 689,892 | \$ | | \$ | (121,115) |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | \$ | (1,477,860) | \$ | (658,029) | | (66,405) |
| Issuance of 5,759,356 shares of common stock in connection with the formation transaction | | | | | | |
| (See Note 1) | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 84,950,496 |
| Issuance of common stock in connection with dividend reinvestment plan | \$ | 2,109,891 | \$ | 519,926 | \$ | - |
| | | | | | | |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Consolidated Schedule of Investments

September 30, 2013

| Company ⁽¹⁾ | Industry | Type of Investment | Maturity | Par Amount (2) | Cost | Fair Value | Net Assets (3) |
|---|---|--|-------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| Non-Controlled/ Non-Affiliated Invest | ments: | | | | | | |
| Accupac, Inc. | Containers, Packaging and Glass | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan | 11/10/2018 | 12,000,000 | 12,000,000 | 12,000,000 | 2.4% |
| | | (12.29%) | | 12,000,000 | 12,000,000 | 12,000,000 | |
| Aderant North America, Inc. | Electronics | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan | 6/20/2019 | 4,550,000 | 4,550,000 | 4,550,000 | 0.9% |
| | | (LIBOR + 8.75% , 1.25% LIBOR Floor) | | 4,550,000 | 4,550,000 | 4,550,000 | |
| Alora Pharmaceuticals LLC ⁽¹³⁾ | Healthcare, Education and Childcare | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan | 9/13/2018 | 14,000,000 | 14,000,000 | 14,000,000 | 2.79 |
| | | (LIBOR + 9.00% Cash, 1.00% LIBOR Floor) | | | | | |
| | | | | 14,000,000 | 14,000,000 | 14,000,000 | |
| American Apparel, Inc. ⁽⁸⁾ | Retail Stores | Senior Secured Note (13.00%) | 4/15/2020 | 13,000,000 | 12,626,748 | 13,259,927 | 2.6% |
| American Gaming Systems LLC ⁽¹³⁾ | Hotels, Motels, Inns and Gaming | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan | 8/15/2016 | 10,750,000 | 10,750,000 | 10,848,660 | 2.19 |
| American Gaming Systems LLC | Trotels, Marcels, mile and Gaming | (LIBOR + 10.00% , 1.50% LIBOR Floor) | 0, 13, 2010 | 10,7 50,000 | 10,750,000 | 10,010,000 | 2.17 |
| | | , | | 10,750,000 | 10,750,000 | 10,848,660 | |
| Amerit Fleet Services, Inc. (12) | Business Services | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan (LIBOR + 9.70% Cash, 1.00% LIBOR | 12/21/2016 | 8,906,159 | 8,906,159 | 8,870,534 | 1.7% |
| | | Floor, 1.50% PIK) | | 8,906,159 | 8.906,159 | 8,870,534 | |
| ARBOC Specialty Vehicles LLC | Automobile | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan | 3/21/2018 | 24,687,500 | 24,687,500 | 24,647,996 | 4.8% |
| ARBOC Specialty vehicles LLC | Automobile | (LIBOR + 12.50% Cash, 1.00% LIBOR Floor) | 3/21/2010 | 24,007,300 | 24,007,300 | 24,047,330 | 4.0 / |
| | | 11001) | | 24,687,500 | 24,687,500 | 24,647,996 | |
| Aurora Flight Sciences Corporation | Aerospace & Defense | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan | 3/16/2014 | 15,807,836 | 15,807,836 | 15,863,600 | 3.19 |
| | | (11.25% Cash, 2.00% PIK) | | 15,807,836 | 15,807,836 | 15,863,600 | |
| BayDelta Maritime LLC | Cargo Transport | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan | 6/30/2016 | 6,669,292 | 6,573,846 | 6,680,885 | 1.3% |
| | | (11.25% Cash, 2.50% Deferred) Fee Note (14.88%) ⁽⁶⁾ | 6/30/2016 | 250,000 | 170,717 | 170,717 | 0.0% |
| | | Warrants to purchase 10% of the outstanding equity | 6/30/2016 | | 25,000 | 594,346 | 0.1% |
| | | | | 6,919,292 | 6,769,563 | 7,445,948 | |
| Brantley Transportation LLC ⁽¹³⁾ | Oil and Gas | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan (12.00%) | 8/2/2017 | 10,162,500 | 10,346,975 | 10,162,500 | 2.0% |
| | | | | 10,162,500 | 10,346,975 | 10,162,500 | |
| Calloway Laboratories, Inc. | Healthcare, Education and Childcare | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan (12.00% PIK) | 9/30/2014 | 24,869,263 | 24,388,179 | 19,666,360 | 3.9% |
| | | Warrants to purchase 15.00% of the outstanding equity | 9/30/2014 | - | 68,433 | - | 0.09 |
| | | 0 | | 24,869,263 | 24,456,612 | 19,666,360 | |
| Caregiver Services, Inc. | Healthcare, Education and Childcare | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan (12.45% Cash, 2.00% PIK) | 12/29/2017 | 15,361,486 | 15,361,486 | 15,361,486 | 3.0% |
| | | | | 15,361,486 | 15,361,486 | 15,361,486 | |
| Cenegenics LLC ⁽¹³⁾ | Personal, Food and Miscellaneous Services | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan (10.00% Cash, 2.25% PIK) | 12/20/2017 | 19,414,099 | 19,414,099 | 19,899,452 | 3.9% |
| | | (, , | | 19,414,099 | 19,414,099 | 19,899,452 | |
| Dispensing Dynamics International (8) | Personal and Nondurable Consumer Products (Manufacturing Only) | Senior Secured Note (12.50%) | 1/1/2018 | 4,800,000 | 4,714,770 | 4,825,840 | 0.9% |
| | Troubeto (manufacturing omy) | | | 4,800,000 | 4,714,770 | 4,825,840 | |
| DLR Restaurants LLC (10) (13) | Restaurant & Franchise | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan (11.00% Cash, 2.50% PIK) | 4/18/2018 | 9,683,644 | 9,683,644 | 9,683,644 | 1.9% |
| | | Unsecured Debt (12.00% Cash, 4.00% PIK) | 4/18/2018 | 254,645 | 254,645 | 254,645 | 0.0% |
| | | | | 9,938,289 | 9,938,289 | 9,938,289 | |
| DreamFinders Homes LLC ⁽¹⁰⁾ | Buildings and Real Estate | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan A (LIBOR + 10.00% Cash) | 4/30/2014 | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 | 2.0% |
| | | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan B (LIBOR + 14.50% Cash) | 9/13/2018 | 7,277,199 | 7,098,472 | 7,098,472 | 1.4% |
| | | Warrants to purchase 5% of outstanding equity | 9/13/2018 | - | 180,000 | 180,000 | 0.0% |
| | | equity | | 17,277,199 | 17,278,472 | 17,278,472 | |
| Exide Technologies ⁽⁹⁾ | Machinery (Nonagriculture, Nonconstruction, Nonelectric) | Senior Secured Note (8.63%) | 2/1/2018 | 11,000,000 | 9,006,908 | 8,002,435 | 1.6% |
| | ronconstruction, ronesectric) | | | 11,000,000 | 9,006,908 | 8,002,435 | |
| FC Operating LLC | Retail Stores | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan | 11/14/2017 | 10,925,000 | 10,925,000 | 10,860,657 | 2.19 |
| | | (LIBOR + 10.75% Cash, 1.25% LIBOR Floor) | | 10.005.000 | 10.005.000 | 10.000.055 | |
| 40.440 | Demandard N. J. D. C. | Coming Community of the Community | 12/24/2044 | 10,925,000 | 10,925,000 | 10,860,657 | 0.50 |
| Geneva Wood Fuels LLC ^{(4) (11)} | Personal and Nondurable Consumer Products (Manufacturing Only) | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan (4.50% Cash, 10.50% PIK) | 12/31/2014 | 8,199,184 | 8,143,385 | 4,090,000 | 0.8% |
| | 10.1 | | 40/0: | 8,199,184 | 8,143,385 | 4,090,000 | |
| | Mining, Steel, Iron and Nonprecious Metals | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan (LIBOR + 8.50% Cash, 0.50% PIK, | 12/21/2017 | 23,885,299 | 23,885,299 | 23,885,299 | 4.7% |
| | | 1.50% LIBOR Floor) | | 23,885,299 | 23,885,299 | 23,885,299 | |

| HD Vest, Inc. | Finance | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan (LIBOR + 8.00% Cash, 1.25% LIBOR | 6/18/2019 | 8,750,000 | 8,750,000 | 8,750,000 | 1.7% |
|---|---|--|--------------------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------|
| | | Floor) | | 8,750,000 | 8,750,000 | 8,750,000 | |
| Help/Systems LLC | Business Services | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan (LIBOR + 8.50% Cash, 1.00% LIBOR Floor) | 6/28/2020 | 15,000,000 | 15,000,000 | 15,000,000 | 3.0% |
| | | 11001) | | 15,000,000 | 15,000,000 | 15,000,000 | |
| HGDS Acquisition LLC | Business Services | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan (LIBOR + 12.00% Cash, 3.50% PIK) | 3/28/2018 | 13,066,264 | 13,066,264 | 13,000,932 | 2.6% |
| | | (EIDOR · 12.00/0 Cash, 3.30/0 Fire) | | 13,066,264 | 13,066,264 | 13,000,932 | |
| Hoffmaster Group, Inc. | Personal and Nondurable Consumer Products (Manufacturing Only) | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan (LIBOR + 9.50% Cash, 1.50% LIBOR Floor) | 1/3/2019 | 6,000,000 | 6,000,000 | 5,951,856 | 1.2% |
| | | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan (LIBOR + 9.00% Cash, 1.25% LIBOR Floor) | 1/3/2019 | 2,000,000 | 1,983,005 | 1,926,637 | 0.4% |
| | | | | 8,000,000 | 7,983,005 | 7,878,493 | |
| Ingenio Acquisition LLC | Personal, Food and Miscellaneous Services | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan (12.75%) | 5/9/2018 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 4.9% |
| Insight Pharmaceuticals LLC | Personal, Food and Miscellaneous | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan | 8/25/2017 | 7,724,138 | 7,724,138 | 7,748,867 | 1.5% |
| insight Filanmaceuticus EEC | Services | (LIBOR + 11.75%, 1.50% LIBOR Floor) | 0/23/2017 | | | | 1.570 |
| Interior Telegon | T-1 | C: | 2/22/2020 | 7,724,138 | 7,724,138 | 7,748,867 | 2.40/ |
| Integra Telecom | Telecommunications | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan (LIBOR + 8.50% Cash, 1.25% LIBOR Floor) | 2/22/2020 | 12,132,000 | 12,158,115 | 12,329,145 | 2.4% |
| | | | | 12,132,000 | 12,158,115 | 12,329,145 | |
| Interface Security Systems (8) | Electronics | Senior Secured Note (9.25%) | 1/15/2018 | 3,333,000 | 3,333,000 | 3,427,030 | 0.7% |
| JD Norman Industries, Inc. | Diversified/Conglomerate | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan | 1/28/2019 | 12,500,000 | 12,500,000 | 12,500,000 | 2.5% |
| | Manufacturing | (13.50%) | | 12,500,000 | 12,500,000 | 12,500,000 | |
| Lexmark Carpet Mills, Inc. | Home and Office Furnishings, | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan | 9/30/2018 | 31,000,000 | 31,000,000 | 31,000,000 | 6.1% |
| Deximark Carpet Minis, Inc. | Housewares, and Durable Consumer Products | (LIBOR + 10.00%, 1.00% LIBOR Floor, 2.50% LIBOR Cap) | 3/30/2010 | | | | 0.170 |
| (0) | 07. 16 | C : C IN + (12 F00/) | 10/21/2017 | 31,000,000 | 31,000,000 | 31,000,000 | 0.70/ |
| Linc Energy Finance (USA), Inc. ⁽⁸⁾ | Oil and Gas | Senior Secured Note (12.50%) | 10/31/2017 | 3,500,000 | 3,392,153 | 3,823,750 | 0.7% |
| Lydell Jewelry Design Studio LLC ⁽¹⁰⁾ (13) | Personal and Nondurable Consumer Products (Manufacturing Only) | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan (LIBOR + 10.50%, 1.50% LIBOR | 9/13/2018 | 13,072,000 | 13,072,000 | 13,072,000 | 2.6% |
| | | Floor) Revolver (LIBOR + 10.50%, 1.50% | 9/13/2018 | 2,250,000 | 2,250,000 | 2,250,000 | 0.4% |
| | | LIBOR Floor) Warrants to purchase 17.5% of the | 9/13/2018 | | - | - | 0.0% |
| | | outstanding memebership units | | 15,322,000 | 15,322,000 | 15,322,000 | |
| Meridian Behavioral Health LLC | Healthcare, Education and Childcare | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan A | 11/14/2016 | 10,289,141 | 9,902,304 | 10,289,141 | 2.0% |
| | | (14.00%) | 11/14/2016 | 3,750,000 | 3,750,000 | 3,750,000 | 0.7% |
| | | | 11/14/2016 | | 536,296 | 1,071,347 | 0.2% |
| | | | | 14,039,141 | 14,188,600 | 15,110,488 | |
| Modern VideoFilm, Inc. | Leisure, Amusement, Motion Pictures, Entertainment | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan (LIBOR + 9.00% Cash, 3.00% PIK, 1.50% LIBOR Floor) | 9/25/2017 | 11,868,109 | 11,583,071 | 9,791,187 | 1.9% |
| | | Warrants to purchase 4.5% of the outstanding equity | 9/25/2017 | - | 339,573 | | 0.0% |
| | | | | 11,868,109 | 11,922,644 | 9,791,187 | |
| NCM Demolition and Remediation LP | Buildings and Real Estate | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan (LIBOR + 11.50%, 1.00% LIBOR Floor) | 8/29/2018 | 19,291,000 | 19,291,000 | 19,291,000 | 3.8% |
| | | | | 19,291,000 | 19,291,000 | 19,291,000 | |
| Physicians Care Alliance LLC (10) (13) | Personal and Nondurable Consumer Products (Manufacturing Only) | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan (10.00% Cash, 1.00% PIK) | 12/28/2017 12/28/2017 | 15,854,027 | 15,854,027 | 15,900,559 | 3.1% 0.0% |
| | | Revolving Credit Facility (10.50%) ⁽⁷⁾ | 12/20/2017 | 15,854,027 | 15,854,027 | 15,900,559 | 0.070 |
| Prestige Industries LLC | Business Services | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan | 1/31/2017 | 6,029,795 | 5,914,778 | 5,506,459 | 1.1% |
| | | (10.00% Cash, 3.00% PIK) Warrants to purchase 0.63% of the | 1/31/2017 | - | 151,855 | - | 0.0% |
| | | outstanding common units | | 6,029,795 | 6,066,633 | 5,506,459 | |
| Prince Mineral Holdings Corp. (8) | Mining, Steel, Iron and Nonprecious | Senior Secured Note (11.50%) | 12/15/2019 | 6,800,000 | 6,726,424 | 7,242,000 | 1.4% |
| | Metals | | | 6,800,000 | 6,726,424 | 7,242,000 | |
| RCS Management Corporation & Specialized Medical Services, Inc. | Diversified/Conglomerate Service | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan (LIBOR + 11.00% Cash, 0.50% PIK, | 9/23/2015 | 25,474,725 | 25,474,725 | 25,336,272 | 5.0% |
| | | 1.50% LIBOR Floor) | | 25,474,725 | 25,474,725 | 25,336,272 | |
| Red Skye Wireless LLC ⁽¹⁰⁾ | Retail Stores | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan (LIBOR + 9.00% Cash, 2.00% PIK, | 6/27/2017 | 15,080,145 | 15,080,145 | 15,075,802 | 3.0% |
| | | 1.00% LIBOR Floor) | | 15,080,145 | 15,080,145 | 15,075,802 | |
| Reddy Ice Corporation | Beverage, Food and Tobacco | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan (LIBOR + 9.50% Cash, 1.25% LIBOR | 10/1/2019 | 17,000,000 | 17,000,000 | 16,863,027 | 3.3% |
| | | Floor) | | 17,000,000 | 17,000,000 | 16,863,027 | |
| Revstone Aero LLC | Aerospace & Defense | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan | 11/1/2013 | 13,203,903 | 13,051,823 | 13,203,780 | 2.6% |
| | | | | , , | ,,, | ,, | |

(LIBOR + 12.00% Cash, 3.00% PIK)
Fee Note 11/1/2013 500,000 274,147 500,000 0.1%
13,703,903 13,325,970 13,703,780

| SESAC HOLDCO II | | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan (LIBOR + 8.75%, 1.25% LIBOR Floor) | 7/12/2019 | 3,500,000 | 3,494,828 | 3,561,527 | 0.7% |
|--|--|--|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| | | | | 3,500,000 | 3,494,828 | 3,561,527 | |
| Sizzling Platter LLC ⁽⁸⁾ | Restaurant & Franchise | Senior Secured Note (12.25%) | 4/15/2016 | 10,867,000 | 11,066,638 | 11,500,444 | 2.3% |
| | | | | 10,867,000 | 11,066,638 | 11,500,444 | |
| Taylored Freight Services LLC | Business Services | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan (LIBOR + 9.50% Cash, 2.00% PIK, 1.50% LIBOR Floor) | 11/1/2017 | 14,239,039 | 14,239,039 | 13,992,136 | 2.8% |
| | | , | | 14,239,039 | 14,239,039 | 13,992,136 | |
| Tempel Steel Company ⁽⁸⁾ | Mining, Steel, Iron and Nonprecious Metals | Senior Secured Note (12.00%) | 8/15/2016 | 12,000,000 | 11,828,051 | 11,616,000 | 2.3% |
| | | | | 12,000,000 | 11,828,051 | 11,616,000 | |
| Tenere Acquisition Corp. (10) | Diversified/Conglomerate Manufacturing | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan (11.00% Cash, 2.00% PIK) | 12/15/2017 | 10,909,333 | 10,909,333 | 11,107,612 | 2.2% |
| | | | | 10,909,333 | 10,909,333 | 11,107,612 | |
| The Great Atlantic & Pacific Tea Company, Inc. | Grocery | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan (LIBOR + 9.00% Cash, 2.00% LIBOR Floor) | 3/13/2017 | 7,874,921 | 7,874,921 | 7,968,817 | 1.6% |
| | | | | 7,874,921 | 7,874,921 | 7,968,817 | |
| Travelclick, Inc. | Hotels, Motels, Inns and Gaming | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan (LIBOR + 8.50% Cash, 1.25% LIBOR Floor) | 3/26/2018 | 15,000,000 | 15,000,000 | 15,169,312 | 3.0% |
| | | 11001) | | 15,000,000 | 15,000,000 | 15,169,312 | |
| U.S. Well Services LLC ⁽⁹⁾ | Oil and Gas | Senior Secured Note (14.50%) Warrants to purchase 3.48% of the outstanding common membership | 2/15/2017 2/15/2017 | 21,558,808 | 21,430,696 11,370 | 21,564,270 436,137 | 4.2% 0.1% |
| | | interests | | 21,558,808 | 21,442,066 | 22,000,407 | |
| United Restaurant Group L.P. | Restaurant & Franchise | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan (LIBOR + 11.50% Cash, 3.50% PIK) | 12/31/2016 | 10,832,789 | 10,832,789 | 10,809,818 | 2.1% |
| | | | | 10,832,789 | 10,832,789 | 10,809,818 | |
| United Road Towing Inc. (13) | Personal, Food and Miscellaneous Services | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan (10.00% Cash, 5.00% PIK) | 6/30/2014 | 21,016,117 | 20,653,191 | 19,937,991 | 3.9% |
| | | | | 21,016,117 | 20,653,191 | 19,937,991 | |
| Velum Global Credit Management LLC | Finance | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan (15.00%) | 3/31/2014 | 8,300,000 | 8,331,636 | 8,290,332 | 1.6% |
| | | | | 8,300,000 | 8,331,636 | 8,290,332 | |
| Water Capital USA, Inc. | Finance | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan (7.00% Cash, 7.00% PIK) | 1/3/2015 | 25,141,230 | 25,141,230 | 25,141,230 | 4.9% |
| | | | | 25,141,230 | 25,141,230 | 25,141,230 | |
| Westport Axle Corp. (13) | Automobile | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan (11.50% Cash, 1.50% PIK) | 11/17/2018 | 19,084,847 | 19,084,847 | 19,084,847 | 3.7% |
| WDCM D : 11 H.C | G . T | G : G 1G 11; T 1 | 0/20/2014 | | | | 1.00/ |
| YRCW Receivables LLC | Cargo Transport | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan (LIBOR + 9.75% Cash, 1.50% LIBOR Floor) | 9/30/2014 | 4,848,049 | 4,779,391 | 4,858,530 | 1.0% |
| | | | | 4,848,049 | 4,779,391 | 4,858,530 | |
| Subtotal Non-Controlled / Non-Affiliat | ed Investments | | | \$ 752,093,486 | \$ 748,405,904 | \$ 740,097,249 | |
| Affiliated Investments: Cymax Stores, Inc. ⁽⁹⁾ | Home and Office Furnishings, Housewares, and Durable Consumer Products | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan (10.00% Cash, 5.00% PIK) | 8/1/2015 | 9,006,620 | 8,605,486 | 8,466,223 | 1.7% |
| | Troubels | 190 Class B Common Units (5) | | | 678,154 | 673,154 | 0.1% |
| Subtotal Affiliated Investments | | | | \$ 9,006,620 | \$ 9,283,640 | \$ 9,139,377 | |
| Total Investments, September 30, 2013 | | | | \$ 761,100,106 | \$ 757,689,544 | \$ 749,236,626 | 147.0% |
| 2013 | | | | | | | |

(1) All of our investments are domiciled in the United States except for Cymax Stores, Inc. which is domiciled in Canada and denominated in USD.

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

⁽²⁾ Par amount includes accumulated PIK interest and is net of repayments.

⁽³⁾ Percentage is based on net assets of \$509,834,455 as of September 30, 2013.

⁽⁴⁾ Investment is held via participation agreements with affiliated entities (See note 7).

^{(5) 190} Class B Common Units represent 19% ownership of Cymax Stores, Inc.

⁽⁶⁾ Fee note is a zero coupon note, due at the earlier of prepayment or maturity and stated interest rate represents an effective interest rate.

⁽⁷⁾ The entire commitment was unfunded at September 30, 2013. As such, no interest is being earned on this investment.

⁽⁸⁾ Securities are exempt from registration under Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933. These securities represent \$55.7 million and 10.9% of net assets as of September 30, 2013 and are considered restricted.

⁽⁹⁾ The investment is not a qualifying asset under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended.

⁽¹⁰⁾ The investment has an unfunded commitment as of September 30, 2013 (See note 8).

⁽¹¹⁾ The investment was on PIK non-accrual status as of September 30, 2013.

⁽¹²⁾ Investment changed its name from Kelley Amerit Holdings, Inc. during FY 2013.

⁽¹³⁾ A portion of this investment was sold via a participation agreement (See note 3).

Consolidated Schedule of Investments

September 30, 2012⁽¹⁰⁾

| Company ⁽¹⁾ | Industry | Type of Investment | Maturity | Par Amount (2) | Cost | Fair Value | % of Net Assets ⁽³⁾ |
|---|---|---|------------------------|----------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Non-Controlled/ Non-Affiliated Investr | nents: | | | | | | |
| American Gaming Systems LLC (8)(9) | Hotels, Motels, Inns and Gaming | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan (LIBOR + 10.00%, 1.50% LIBOR Floor) | 8/15/2016 | \$ 9,509,615 | \$ 9,509,615 | \$ 9,509,615 | 3.3% |
| | | 11001) | | 9,509,615 | 9,509,615 | 9,509,615 | |
| Atkore International, Inc. ⁽⁷⁾ | Mining, Steel, Iron and Nonprecious Metals | Senior Secured Note (9.88%) | 1/1/2018 | 5,000,000 | 4,825,086 | 4,875,000 | 1.7% |
| | Wetdis | | | 5,000,000 | 4,825,086 | 4,875,000 | |
| Aurora Flight Sciences Corporation | Aerospace & Defense | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan | 3/16/2014 | 15,490,782 | 15,490,782 | 15,490,782 | 5.3% |
| | | (11.25% Cash, 2.00% PIK) | | 15,490,782 | 15,490,782 | 15,490,782 | |
| BayDelta Maritime LLC | Cargo Transport | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan | 6/30/2016 | 6,669,293 | 6,547,553 | 6,669,293 | 2.3% |
| | | (11.25% Cash, 2.50% Deferred) Fee Note (14.88%) ⁽⁶⁾ | 6/30/2016 | 250,000 | 148,611 | 148,611 | 0.1% |
| | | Warrants to purchase 10% of the outstanding equity | 6/30/2016 | | 25,000 | 216,387 | 0.1% |
| | | | | 6,919,293 | 6,721,164 | 7,034,291 | |
| Bennu Glass, Inc. | Containers, Packaging and Glass | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan (15.00%) | 4/30/2013 | 10,000,000 | 10,062,296 | 10,000,000 | 3.4% |
| | | | | 10,000,000 | 10,062,296 | 10,000,000 | |
| Brantley Transportation LLC ⁽⁹⁾ | Oil and Gas | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan (LIBOR + 10.00% Cash, 2.50% PIK, 1.50% LIBOR Floor) | 8/2/2017 | 10,920,360 | 10,920,360 | 10,920,360 | 3.8% |
| (0) | W 14 | | 0/00/0044 | 10,920,360 | 10,920,360 | 10,920,360 | 0.004 |
| Calloway Laboratories, Inc. (8) | Healthcare, Education and Childcare | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan (10.00% Cash , 2.00% PIK) | 9/30/2014 | 20,041,029 | 19,973,752 | 19,743,006 | 6.8% |
| | | Warrants to purchase 2.4% of the outstanding equity | 9/30/2014 | - | 68,433 | 68,433 | 0.0% |
| | | | | 20,041,029 | 20,042,185 | 19,811,439 | |
| Caregiver Services, Inc. | Healthcare, Education and Childcare | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan (12.45% Cash, 2.00% PIK) | 12/29/2017 | 15,053,384 | 15,053,384 | 15,053,384 | 5.2% |
| _ | | | | 15,053,384 | 15,053,384 | 15,053,384 | |
| Exide Technologies (7) | Machinery (Nonagriculture, Nonconstruction, Nonelectric) | Senior Secured Note (8.63%) | 2/1/2018 | 10,000,000 | 8,669,210 | 8,662,500 | 3.0% |
| | | | | 10,000,000 | 8,669,210 | 8,662,500 | |
| Flexera Software LLC | Electronics | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan (LIBOR + 6.25%, 1.25% LIBOR Floor) | 9/30/2017 9/30/2018 | 3,920,000 | 3,920,000 | 3,920,000 | 1.3% |
| | | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan (LIBOR + 9.75%, 1.25% LIBOR Floor) | 9/30/2018 | 6,000,000 | 6,000,000 | 5,819,983 | 2.0% |
| | D I IN I II C | | 10/04/0010 | 9,920,000 | 9,920,000 | 9,739,983 | 2.404 |
| Geneva Wood Fuels LLC ⁽⁴⁾ | Personal and Nondurable Consumer Products (Manufacturing Only) | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan (LIBOR + 13.00%, 2.50% LIBOR Floor) | 12/31/2012 | 7,500,000 | 7,500,000 | 6,937,502 | 2.4% |
| G M G . A . L M G | Oil and Gas | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan | 6/14/2017 | 11,180,191 | 11,180,191 | 11,180,191 | 3.9% |
| Gulf Coast Asphalt Company, Inc. (7) (8) | On and Gas | (LIBOR + 11.00% Cash, 1.00% LIBOR Floor, 3.50% PIK) | 0/14/2017 | 11,180,191 | 11,180,191 | 11,180,191 | 3.3 / |
| Hilex Poly Co. | Chemicals, Plastics and Rubber | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan | 11/19/2015 | 1,533,848 | 1,533,848 | 1,533,848 | 0.5% |
| Tillex Foly Co. | Chemicals, Flastics and Rubber | (LIBOR + 9.25%, 2.00% LIBOR Floor) | 11/19/2013 | 1,533,848 | | | 0.570 |
| Hoffmantor Crown Inc | Personal and Nondurable Consumer | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan | 1/3/2019 | 6,000,000 | 1,533,848 6,000,000 | 1,533,848 | 2.0% |
| Hoffmaster Group, Inc. | Products (Manufacturing Only) | (LIBOR + 9.50% Cash, 1.50% LIBOR Floor) Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan | 1/3/2019 | 2,000,000 | 1,980,714 | 5,935,052 1,913,402 | 0.7% |
| | | (LIBOR + 9.00% Cash, 1.25% LIBOR Floor) | | | | | |
| | | | | 8,000,000 | 7,980,714 | 7,848,454 | |
| Insight Pharmaceuticals LLC | Personal, Food and Miscellaneous Services | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan (LIBOR + 11.75%, 1.50% LIBOR Floor) | 8/25/2017 | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 | 3.4% |
| | | | | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 | |
| Integra Telecom, Inc. ⁽⁷⁾ | Telecommunications | Senior Secured Note (10.75%) | 4/15/2016 | 7,250,000 | 7,113,784 | 7,113,784 | 2.5% |
| Kelley Amerit Holdings, Inc. | Business Services | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan (LIBOR + 9.70% Cash, 1.00% LIBOR Floor, 1.50% PIK) | 12/22/2016 | 9,242,940 | 9,242,940 | 9,242,940 | 3.2% |
| | | ,, | | 9,242,940 | 9,242,940 | 9,242,940 | |
| Meridian Behavioral Health LLC ⁽⁸⁾ | Healthcare, Education and Childcare | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan A (12.00% Cash, 2.00% PIK) | 11/14/2016 | 6,107,870 | 5,635,807 | 6,199,931 | 2.1% |
| | | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan B (12.00%) | 11/14/2016 | 3,000,000 | 3,000,000 | 2,830,434 | 1.0% |
| | | Warrants to purchase 8% of the outstanding membership units | 11/14/2016 | - | 536,296 | 786,118 | 0.3% |
| | | o | | 9,107,870 | 9,172,103 | 9,816,483 | |
| Modern VideoFilm, Inc. ⁽⁷⁾ | Leisure, Amusement, Motion Pictures, Entertainment | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan (LIBOR + 9.00% Cash, 3.00% PIK, 1.50% LIBOR Floor) | 9/25/2017 | 16,000,000 | 15,522,547 | 15,522,547 | 5.4% |

| | | Warrants to purchase 5% of the outstanding equity | 9/25/2017 | ٠ | 480,000 | 480,000 | 0.2% |
|--|-------------------|--|-----------|------------|------------|------------|------|
| | | | | 16,000,000 | 16,002,547 | 16,002,547 | |
| 400 | | | | | | | |
| Prestige Industries LLC ⁽⁸⁾ | Business Services | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan (10.00% Cash, 3.00% PIK) | 1/31/2017 | 5,849,374 | 5,709,063 | 5,537,365 | 1.9% |
| | | Warrants to purchase 3.04% of the outstanding common units | 1/31/2017 | - | 151,855 | 119,406 | 0.0% |
| | | , and the second | - | 5,849,374 | 5,860,918 | 5,656,771 | |
| | | | | | | | |

| RCS Management Corporation & Specialized Medical Services, Inc. | Diversified/Conglomerate Service | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan (LIBOR + 11.00% Cash, 1.50% LIBOR Floor, 0.50% PIK) | 9/23/2015 | 19,346,687 | 19,346,687 | 19,346,687 | 6.7% |
|--|--|--|------------|----------------|----------------|------------------------|---------|
| | | 11001, 0.0070 1110) | | 19,346,687 | 19,346,687 | 19,346,687 | |
| Renaissance Learning LLC | Healthcare, Education and Childcare | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan (LIBOR + 6.25%, | 10/19/2017 | 2,970,000 | 2,865,919 | 2,865,919 | 1.0% |
| | | 1.50% LIBOR Floor) Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan (LIBOR + 10.50%, 1.50% LIBOR Floor) | 10/19/2018 | 2,000,000 | 1,927,002 | 1,927,002 | 0.7% |
| | | 11001) | | 4,970,000 | 4,792,921 | 4,792,921 | |
| Revstone Aero LLC | Aerospace & Defense | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan | 6/30/2017 | 15,117,806 | 14,901,459 | 14,901,459 | 5.2% |
| | | (LIBOR + 12.00% Cash, 3.00% PIK) Fee Note (17.38%) ⁽⁶⁾ | 6/30/2017 | 500,000 | 233,561 | 233,561 | 0.1% |
| | | | | 15,617,806 | 15,135,020 | 15,135,020 | |
| Santa Cruz Nutritional ⁽⁷⁾ | Personal and Nondurable Consumer Products (Manufacturing Only) | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan (14.50%) | 5/25/2015 | 15,000,000 | 15,000,000 | 15,000,000 | 5.2% |
| | | | | 15,000,000 | 15,000,000 | 15,000,000 | |
| Sequel Youth and Family Services LLC | Healthcare, Education and Childcare | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan (14.00%) | 12/23/2014 | 10,500,000 | 10,500,000 | 10,500,000 | 3.6% |
| | | | | 10,500,000 | 10,500,000 | 10,500,000 | |
| Sizzling Platter LLC ⁽⁷⁾ | Restaurant & Franchise | Senior Secured Note (12.25%) | 4/15/2016 | 3,630,000 | 3,529,636 | 3,757,050 | 1.3% |
| | | | | 3,630,000 | 3,529,636 | 3,757,050 | |
| Strike Holdings LLC ⁽⁹⁾ | Leisure, Amusement, Motion Pictures, Entertainment | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan (LIBOR + 10.00% Cash, 2.00% PIK, 1.00% LIBOR Floor) | 8/31/2017 | 15,777,126 | 15,777,126 | 15,777,126 | 5.4% |
| | | | | 15,777,126 | 15,777,126 | 15,777,126 | |
| Tempel Steel Company (7) | Mining, Steel, Iron and Nonprecious Metals | Senior Secured Note (12.00%) | 8/15/2016 | 12,000,000 | 11,781,691 | 11,879,995 | 4.1% |
| | | | | 12,000,000 | 11,781,691 | 11,879,995 | |
| The Great Atlantic & Pacific Tea Company, Inc. ⁽⁷⁾ | Grocery | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan (LIBOR + 9.00%, 2.00% LIBOR Floor) | 3/13/2017 | 7,960,000 | 7,960,000 | 7,960,000 | 2.8% |
| | | | | 7,960,000 | 7,960,000 | 7,960,000 | |
| Tower International, Inc. ⁽⁷⁾ | Automobile | Senior Secured Note (10.63%) | 9/1/2017 | 6,101,000 | 6,216,917 | 6,216,916 6,216,916 | 2.1% |
| U.S. Well Services LLC (7) | Oil and Gas | Senior Secured Note (14.50% PIK until | 2/15/2017 | 13,393,941 | 13,244,727 | 13,244,727 | 4.6% |
| | | 8/15/12, 14.50% cash therafter) Warrants to purchase 2.29% of the outstanding common membership interests | 2/15/2017 | - | 10,697 | - | 0.0% |
| | | mercoto | | 13,393,941 | 13,255,424 | 13,244,727 | |
| United Restaurant Group L.P. | Restaurant & Franchise | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan (LIBOR + 11.50% Cash, 3.50% PIK) | 12/31/2016 | 10,455,664 | 10,455,664 | 10,246,510 | 3.5% |
| | | | | 10,455,664 | 10,455,664 | 10,246,510 | |
| United Road Towing Inc. | Personal, Food and Miscellaneous Services | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan (11.50% Cash, 3.50% PIK) | 10/21/2016 | 15,421,293 | 15,421,293 | 14,997,196 | 5.2% |
| | | | | 15,421,293 | 15,421,293 | 14,997,196 | |
| Velum Global Credit Management LLC | Finance | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan (15.00%) | 3/31/2014 | 10,000,000 | 10,106,822 | 10,000,000 | 3.5% |
| | | (13.0070) | | 10,000,000 | 10,106,822 | 10,000,000 | |
| Water Capital USA, Inc. (7) | Finance | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan | 1/3/2013 | 23,437,803 | 23,437,803 | 23,437,803 | 8.1% |
| | | (7.00% Cash, 7.00% PIK) | | 23,437,803 | 23,437,803 | 23,437,803 | |
| Welocalize, Inc. ⁽⁷⁾ (8) | Business Services | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan A | 11/19/2015 | 4,716,740 | 4,716,740 | 4,716,740 | 1.6% |
| weiocanze, inc. | | (LIBOR + 8.00%, 2.00% LIBOR Floor) Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan B (LIBOR + 9.00%, 2.00% LIBOR Floor, | 11/19/2015 | 5,478,728 | 5,478,728 | 5,478,728 | 1.9% |
| | | 1.25% PIK) | | 10,195,468 | 10,195,468 | 10,195,468 | |
| YRCW Receivables LLC | Cargo Transport | Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan | 9/30/2014 | 4,897,519 | 4,768,454 | 4,824,064 | 1.7% |
| | | (LIBOR + 9.75%, 1.50% LIBOR Floor) | | 4,897,519 | 4,768,454 | 4,824,064 | |
| Subtotal Non-Controlled / Non- | | | | 4,037,313 | 4,700,434 | 4,024,004 | |
| Affiliated Investments | | | | \$ 397,222,993 | \$ 394,482,053 | \$ 393,741,357 | |
| Affiliated Investments: | | | | | | | |
| Cymax Stores, Inc. | Home and Office Furnishings, Housewares, and Durable Consumer Products | Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan (10.00% Cash, 5.00% PIK) | 8/1/2015 | 8,562,329 | 8,000,442 | 7,534,852 | 2.6% |
| | vaucto | 190 Class B Common Units ⁽⁵⁾ | | | 678,154 | 673,154 | 0.2% |
| Subtotal Affiliated Investments | | | | \$ 8,562,329 | \$ 8,678,596 | \$ 8,208,006 | |
| Total Investments, September 30, | | | | 405,785,322 | 403,160,649 | 401,949,363 | 138.9% |
| 2012 | | | | \$ | \$ | \$ | 100.070 |

⁽¹⁾ All of our investments are domiciled in the United States except for Cymax Stores, Inc. which is domiciled in Canada and denominated in USD.

⁽²⁾ Par amount includes accumulated PIK interest and is net of repayments.

⁽³⁾ Percentage is based on net assets of \$289,339,231 as of September 30, 2012.

⁽⁴⁾ Investment is held via participation agreements with affiliated entities (See note 7).

^{(5) 190} Class B Common Units represent 19% ownership of Cymax Stores, Inc.

⁽⁶⁾ Fee note is a zero coupon note, due at the earlier of prepayment or maturity and stated interest rate represents an effective interest rate.

⁽⁷⁾ An affiliated fund that is managed by an affiliate of MCC Advisors LLC also holds an investment in this security.

⁽⁸⁾ The investment has an unfunded commitment as of September 30, 2012 (See note 8).

⁽⁹⁾ A portion of this investment was sold via a participation agreement (See note 3).

(10) The September 30, 2012 presentation has been revised to conform to the current period presentation.

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

MEDLEY CAPITAL CORPORATION Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013

Note 1. Organization

Medley Capital Corporation (the "Company", "we" and "us") is a non-diversified closed end management investment company incorporated in Delaware that has elected to be treated and is regulated as a business development company ("BDC") under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "1940 Act"). We completed our initial public offering ("IPO") and commenced operations on January 20, 2011. The Company has elected and qualified to be treated for U.S. federal income tax purposes as a regulated investment company ("RIC") under subchapter M of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"). We are externally managed and advised by our investment adviser, MCC Advisors LLC ("MCC Advisors") a registered investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as amended (the "Advisers Act"), pursuant to an investment management agreement.

Medley Capital BDC LLC (the "LLC"), a Delaware limited liability company, was formed on April 23, 2010. On January 18, 2011, the LLC, in accordance with Delaware law, converted into Medley Capital Corporation, a Delaware corporation, and on January 20, 2011, the Company filed an election to be regulated as a BDC under the 1940 Act.

On January 20, 2011, the Company consummated its IPO, sold 11,111,112 shares of common stock at \$12.00 per share and commenced its operations and investment activities. On February 24, 2011, an additional 450,000 shares of common stock were issued at a price of \$12.00 per share pursuant to the partial exercise of the underwriters' over-allotment option. Net of underwriting fees and offering costs, the Company received total cash proceeds of approximately \$129.6 million.

On January 20, 2011, the Company's shares began trading on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") under the symbol "MCC".

Prior to the consummation of our IPO, Medley Opportunity Fund LP ("MOF LP"), a Delaware limited partnership, and Medley Opportunity Fund, Ltd. ("MOF LTD"), a Cayman Islands exempted limited liability company, which are managed by an affiliate of MCC Advisors, transferred all of their respective interests in six loan participations in secured loans to middle market companies with a combined fair value, plus payment-in-kind interest and accrued interest thereon, of approximately \$84.95 million (the "Loan Assets") to MOF I BDC LLC ("MOF I BDC"), a Delaware limited liability company, in exchange for membership interests in MOF I BDC. As a result, MOF LTD owned approximately 90% of the outstanding MOF I BDC membership interests and MOF LP owned approximately 10% of the outstanding MOF I BDC membership interests.

On January 18, 2011, each of MOF LTD and MOF LP contributed their respective MOF I BDC membership interests to the LLC in exchange for LLC membership interests. As a result, MOF I BDC became a wholly-owned subsidiary of the LLC. As a result of the LLC's conversion noted above, MOF LTD and MOF LP's LLC membership interests were exchanged for 5,759,356 shares of the Company's common stock at \$14.75 per share.

On March 26, 2013, our wholly-owned subsidiary, Medley SBIC LP ("SBIC LP"), a Delaware limited partnership, received a license from Small Business Administration ("SBA") to operate as a Small Business Investment Company ("SBIC") under Section 301(c) of the Small Business Investment Company Act of 1958.

On August 27, 2013 we formed a wholly-owned subsidiary, MCC Investment Holdings LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, which holds certain of our portfolio equity investments.

The Company's investment objective is to generate current income and capital appreciation by lending directly and indirectly to privately-held small and middle market companies to help these companies fund acquisitions, growth or refinancing. The portfolio will generally consist of senior secured first lien loans and senior secured second lien loans. In many of our investments, we will receive warrants or other equity participation features which we believe will increase the total investment returns.

Note 2. Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared on the accrual basis of accounting in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") and include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, MOF I BDC, SBIC LP and MCC Investment Holdings LLC. All references made to the "Company," "we," and "us" herein include Medley Capital Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries, except as stated otherwise. Additionally, the accompanying consolidated financial statements of the Company and related financial information have been prepared pursuant to the requirements for reporting on Form 10-K and Articles 6 or 10 of Regulation S-X. In the opinion of management, the consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments and reclassifications that are necessary for the fair presentation of financial results as of and for the periods presented. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers cash equivalents to be highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less. Cash and cash equivalents include deposits in a money market account. The Company deposits its cash in a financial institution and, at times, such balance may be in excess of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insurance limits.

Use of Estimates in the Preparation of Financial Statements

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Organizational Expenses

Organizational expenses consist principally of legal and accounting fees incurred in connection with the organization of the Company and have been expensed as incurred. For the year ended September 30, 2013, organizational expenses incurred related to the launch of SBIC, LP.

Offerings

On December 3, 2012, we completed a public offering of 5,000,000 shares of our common stock at a public offering price of \$13.75 per share, raising approximately \$66.0 million in net proceeds. On December 19, 2012, we sold an additional 495,263 shares of our common stock at a public offering price of \$13.75 per share, raising approximately \$6.5 million in net proceeds, pursuant to the underwriters' partial exercise of the over-allotment option.

On April 12, 2013, we completed a public offering of 4,000,000 shares of our common stock and an additional 492,271 shares of our common stock pursuant to the underwriters' partial exercise of the over-allotment option at a public offering price of \$14.70 per share, raising approximately \$63.4 million in net proceeds.

On September 9, 2013, we completed a public offering of 6,000,000 shares of our common stock and an additional 900,000 shares of our common stock pursuant to the underwriters' partial exercise of the over-allotment option at a public offering price of \$13.00 per share, raising approximately \$86.6 million in net proceeds.

Deferred Offering Costs

Deferred offering costs consist of fees and expenses incurred in connection with the public offering and sale of the Company's common stock, including legal, accounting, printing fees and other related expenses, as well as costs incurred in connection with the filing of a shelf registration statement.

Deferred Financing Costs

Financing costs, incurred in connection with our credit facilities, unsecured notes and SBA debentures are deferred and amortized over the life of the respective facility.

Indemnification

In the normal course of business, the Company enters into contractual agreements that provide general indemnifications against losses, costs, claims and liabilities arising from the performance of individual obligations under such agreements. The Company has had no prior claims or payments pursuant to such agreements. The Company's individual maximum exposure under these arrangements is unknown, as this would involve future claims that may be made against the Company that have not yet occurred. However, based on management's experience, the Company expects the risk of loss to be remote.

Revenue Recognition

Interest income, adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts, is recorded on an accrual basis.

Origination/closing, amendment and transaction break-up fees associated with investments in portfolio companies are recognized as income when we become entitled to such fees. Other fees are capitalized as deferred revenue and recorded into income over the respective period. Other fee income for the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011 was approximately \$15.8 million, \$6.2 million, and \$1.8 million, respectively.

Prepayment penalties received by the Company for debt instruments paid back to the Company prior to the maturity date are recorded as income upon receipt.

Administrative agent fees received by the Company are recorded as income when the services are rendered.

The Company holds debt investments in its portfolio that contain a payment-in-kind ("PIK") interest provision. The PIK interest, which represents contractually deferred interest added to the investment balance that is generally due at maturity, is recorded on the accrual basis to the extent such amounts are expected to be collected. PIK interest is not accrued if the Company does not expect the issuer to be able to pay all principal and interest when due. For the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Company earned approximately \$9.1 million, \$3.8 million and \$1.7 million in PIK interest, respectively.

Investment transactions are accounted for on a trade-date basis. Realized gains or losses on investments are measured by the difference between the net proceeds from the disposition and the amortized cost basis of investment, without regard to unrealized gains or losses previously recognized. The Company reports changes in fair value of investments as a component of the net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on investments in the consolidated statements of operations.

Management reviews all loans that become 90 days or more past due on principal and interest or when there is reasonable doubt that principal or interest will be collected for possible placement on non-accrual status. Accrued interest is generally reserved when a loan is placed on non-accrual status. Interest payments received on non-accrual loans may be recognized as income or applied to principal depending upon management's judgment regarding collectability. Non-accrual loans are restored to accrual status when past due principal and interest is paid and, in management's judgment, are likely to remain current, although we may make exceptions to this general rule if the loan has sufficient collateral value and is in the process of collection. At September 30, 2013, one portfolio company was on PIK non-accrual status with a fair value of approximately \$4.1 million, or 0.6% of the fair value of our portfolio. At September 30, 2012, we had no portfolio company on non-accrual status. At September 30, 2011, we had no portfolio company on non-accrual status.

Investment Classification

The Company classifies its investments in accordance with the requirements of the 1940 Act. Under the 1940 Act, we would be deemed to "control" a portfolio company if we owned more than 25% of its outstanding voting securities and/or had the power to exercise control over the management or policies of such portfolio company. We refer to such investments in portfolio companies that we "control" as "Control Investments." Under the 1940 Act, we would be deemed to be an "Affiliated Person" of a portfolio company if we own between 5% and 25% of the portfolio company's outstanding voting securities or we are under common control with such portfolio company. We refer to such investments in Affiliated Persons as "Affiliated Investments."

Valuation of Investments

The Company applies fair value accounting to all of its financial instruments in accordance with the 1940 Act and ASC Topic 820 - Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures ("ASC 820"). ASC 820 defines fair value, establishes a framework used to measure fair value and requires disclosures for fair value measurements. In accordance with ASC 820, the Company has categorized its financial instruments carried at fair value, based on the priority of the valuation technique, into a three-level fair value hierarchy as discussed in Note 4. Fair value is a market-based measure considered from the perspective of the market participant who holds the financial instrument rather than an entity specific measure. Therefore, when market assumptions are not readily available, the Company's own assumptions are set to reflect those that management believes market participants would use in pricing the financial instrument at the measurement date.

Investments for which market quotations are readily available are valued at such market quotations, which are generally obtained from an independent pricing service or multiple broker-dealers or market makers. We weight the use of third-party broker quotes, if any, in determining fair value based on our understanding of the level of actual transactions used by the broker to develop the quote and whether the quote was an indicative price or binding offer. However, debt investments with remaining maturities within 60 days that are not credit impaired are valued at cost plus accreted discount, or minus amortized premium, which approximates fair value. Investments for which market quotations are not readily available are valued at fair value as determined by the Company's board of directors based upon input from management and third party valuation firms. Because these investments are illiquid and because there may not be any directly comparable companies whose financial instruments have observable market values, these loans are valued using a fundamental valuation methodology, consistent with traditional asset pricing standards, that is objective and consistently applied across all loans and through time.

The Company uses third-party valuation firms to assist the board of directors in the valuation of its portfolio investments. The valuation reports generated by the third-party valuation firms consider the evaluation of financing and sale transactions with third parties, expected cash flows and market based information, including comparable transactions, performance multiples, and movement in yields of debt instruments, among other factors. Based on market data obtained from the third-party valuation firms, the Company uses a combined market yield analysis and an enterprise model of valuation. In applying the market yield analysis, the value of the Company's loans is determined based upon inputs such as the coupon rate, current market yield, interest rate spreads of similar securities, the stated value of the loan, and the length to maturity. In applying the enterprise model, the Company uses a waterfall analysis which takes into account the specific capital structure of the borrower and the related seniority of the instruments within the borrower's capital structure into consideration. To estimate the enterprise value of the portfolio company, we weigh some or all of the traditional market valuation methods and factors based on the individual circumstances of the portfolio company in order to estimate the enterprise value. The methodologies for performing investments may be based on, among other things: valuations of comparable public companies, recent sales of private and public companies, discounting the forecasted cash flows of the portfolio company, third party valuations of the portfolio company, considering offers from third parties to buy the company, estimating the value to potential strategic buyers and considering the value of recent investments in the equity securities of the portfolio company. For non-performing investments, we may estimate the liquidation or collateral value of the portfolio company's assets and liabilities using an expected recovery model. We may estimate the fair value of warrant

We undertake a multi-step valuation process each quarter when valuing investments for which market quotations are not readily available, as described below:

- Our quarterly valuation process begins with each portfolio investment being initially valued by the investment professionals responsible for monitoring the portfolio investment;
- Preliminary valuation conclusions are then documented and discussed with senior management; and
- An independent valuation firm engaged by our board of directors prepares an independent valuation report for approximately one third of the portfolio investments each quarter on a rotating quarterly basis on non-fiscal year-end quarters, such that each of these investments will be valued by independent valuation firms at least twice per annum when combined with the fiscal year-end review of all the investments by independent valuation firms.

In addition, all of our investments are subject to the following valuation process:

- Management reviews preliminary valuations and their own independent assessment;
- The audit committee of our board of directors reviews the preliminary valuations of senior management and independent valuation firms; and
- Our board of directors discusses valuations and determines the fair value of each investment in our portfolio in good faith based on the input of MCC Advisors, the respective independent valuation firms and the audit committee.

Due to the inherent uncertainty of determining the fair value of investments that do not have a readily available market value, the fair value of our investments may differ significantly from the values that would have been used had a readily available market value existed for such investments, and the differences could be material.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts of certain of our financial instruments, including cash and cash equivalents, accounts payable and accrued expenses, approximate fair value due to their short-term nature. The carrying amounts and fair values of our long-term obligations are discussed in Note 5.

Federal Income Taxes

The Company has elected to be treated as a RIC under subchapter M of the Code and operates in a manner so as to qualify for the tax treatment applicable to RICs. In order to qualify as a RIC, among other things, the Company is required to meet certain source of income and asset diversification requirements and timely distribute to its stockholders at least 90% of the sum of investment company taxable income ("ICTI") including PIK, as defined by the Code, and net tax exempt interest income (which is the excess of our gross tax exempt interest income over certain disallowed deductions) for each taxable year in order to be eligible for tax treatment under subchapter M of the Code. Depending on the level of ICTI earned in a tax year, the Company may choose to carry forward ICTI in excess of current year dividend distributions into the next tax year. Any such carryover ICTI must be distributed before the end of that next tax year through a dividend declared prior to filing the final tax return related to the year which generated such ICTI.

The Company is subject to a nondeductible U.S. federal excise tax of 4% on undistributed income if it does not distribute at least 98% of its ordinary income in any calendar year and 98.2% of its capital gain net income for each one-year period ending on October 31 of such calendar year. To the extent that the Company determines that its estimated current year annual taxable income will be in excess of estimated current year dividend distributions for excise tax purposes, the Company accrues excise tax, if any, on estimated excess taxable income as taxable income is earned. For the calendar year ended December 31, 2011, the Company did not distribute at least 98% of its ordinary income and 98.2% of its capital gains and subsequently paid \$35,501 in federal excise taxes. There is no provision for federal excise tax for 2013 accrued at September 30, 2013.

ICTI generally differs from net investment income for financial reporting purposes due to temporary and permanent differences in the recognition of income and expenses. The Company may be required to recognize ICTI in certain circumstances in which it does not receive cash. For example, if the Company holds debt obligations that are treated under applicable tax rules as having original issue discount, the Company must include in ICTI each year a portion of the original issue discount that accrues over the life of the obligation, regardless of whether cash representing such income is received by the Company in the same taxable year. The Company may also have to include in ICTI other amounts that it has not yet received in cash, such as 1) PIK interest income and 2) interest income from investments that have been classified as non-accrual for financial reporting purposes. Interest income on non-accrual investments is not recognized for financial reporting purposes, but generally is recognized in ICTI. Because any original issue discount or other amounts accrued will be included in the Company's ICTI for the year of accrual, the Company may be required to make a distribution to its stockholders in order to satisfy the minimum distribution requirements, even though the Company will not have received and may not ever receive any corresponding cash amount. ICTI also excludes net unrealized appreciation or depreciation, as investment gains or losses are not included in taxable income until they are realized.

Permanent differences between ICTI and net investment income for financial reporting purposes are reclassified among capital accounts in the financial statements to reflect their tax character. Differences in classification may also result from the treatment of short-term gains as ordinary income for tax purposes. During the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Company reclassified for book purposes amounts arising from permanent book/tax differences related to the different tax treatment of the loss carried over from the LLC as follows:

| | Year ended September 30, 2013 | | Year ended September 30, 2012 | | Year ended September 30, 2011 | |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|----|-------------------------------------|--|
| Capital in excess of par value | \$ | (2,892,459) | \$ (1,628,680) | \$ | (92,050) | |
| Accumulated undistributed net investment income (loss) | | 3,108,554 | 1,683,680 | | 92,050 | |
| Accumulated net realized gain (loss) from investments | \$ | (216,095) | \$ (55,000) | \$ | _ | |

For income tax purposes, distributions paid to stockholders are reported as ordinary income, return of capital, long term capital gains or a combination thereof. The tax character of distributions paid for the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011 were as follows:

| | Year ended September 30, 2013 | Year ended September 30, 2012 | Year ended September 30, 2011 |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Ordinary income | \$ 39,357,552 | \$ 21,015,643 | 6,408,573 |
| Distributions of long-term capital gains | 632,121 | 245,740 | _ |
| Return of capital | 2,892,459 | 1,593,179 | _ |
| Distributions on a tax basis | \$ 42,882,132 | \$ 22,854,562 | 6,408,573 |

For federal income tax purposes, the cost of investments owned at September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011 were approximately \$745.3 million, \$397.5 million and \$197.7 million, respectively.

At September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011, the components of distributable earnings on a tax basis detailed below differ from the amounts reflected in the Company's Statement of Assets and Liabilities by temporary and other book/tax differences, primarily relating to the tax treatment of certain fee income and organizational expenses, as follows:

| | As of eptember 30, 2013 | As of September 30, 2012 | As of September 30, 2011 |
|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Undistributed net investment income | \$ | \$ | 1,690,954 |
| Accumulated capital gains (losses) | _ | (44,727) | _ |
| Other temporary differences | (219,528) | (81,466) | (87,615) |
| Unrealized appreciation (depreciation) | 3,951,233 | 4,429,816 | 1,522,222 |
| Components of distributable earnings at year end | \$ 3,731,705 | \$ 4,303,623 | 3,125,561 |

Pursuant to Federal income tax regulations applicable to investment companies, the Company has elected to treat net capital losses realized between November 1 and September 30 of each year as occurring on the first day of the following tax year.

The Company accounts for income taxes in conformity with ASC Topic 740 - Income Taxes ("ASC 740"). ASC 740 provides guidelines for how uncertain tax positions should be recognized, measured, presented and disclosed in financial statements. ASC 740 requires the evaluation of tax positions taken or expected to be taken in the course of preparing the Company's tax returns to determine whether the tax positions are "more-likely-than-not" of being sustained by the applicable tax authority. Tax positions deemed to meet a "more-likely-than-not" threshold would be recorded as a tax benefit or expense in the current period. The Company recognizes interest and penalties, if any, related to unrecognized tax benefits as income tax expense in the consolidated statement of operations. There were no material uncertain income tax positions at September 30, 2013, and 2012. Although we file federal and state tax returns, our major tax jurisdiction is federal. The Company's inception-to-date federal tax years remain subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service.

Segments

The Company invests in various industries. The Company separately evaluates the performance of each of its investment relationships. However, because each of these investment relationships has similar business and economic characteristics, they have been aggregated into a single investment segment. All applicable segment disclosures are included in or can be derived from the Company's financial statements. See Note 3 for further information.

Company Investment Risk, Concentration of Credit Risk, and Liquidity Risk

MCC Advisors has broad discretion in making investments for the Company. Investments will generally consist of debt instruments that may be affected by business, financial market or legal uncertainties. Prices of investments may be volatile, and a variety of factors that are inherently difficult to predict, such as domestic or international economic and political developments, may significantly affect the results of the Company's activities and the value of its investments. In addition, the value of the Company's portfolio may fluctuate as the general level of interest rates fluctuate.

The value of the Company's investments in loans may be detrimentally affected to the extent, among other things, that a borrower defaults on its obligations, there is insufficient collateral and/or there are extensive legal and other costs incurred in collecting on a defaulted loan, observable secondary or primary market yields for similar instruments issued by comparable companies increase materially or risk premiums required in the market between smaller companies, such as our borrowers, and those for which market yields are observable increase materially. MCC Advisors may attempt to minimize this risk by maintaining low loan-to-liquidation values with each loan and the collateral underlying the loan.

The Company's assets may, at any time, include securities and other financial instruments or obligations that are illiquid or thinly traded, making purchase or sale of such securities and financial instruments at desired prices or in desired quantities difficult. Furthermore, the sale of any such investments may be possible only at substantial discounts, and it may be extremely difficult to value any such investments accurately.

Note 3. Investments

The composition of our investments as of September 30, 2013 as a percentage of our total portfolio, at amortized cost and fair value were as follows (dollars in thousands):

| | | stments at | | | |
|---------------------------------------|----|------------|------------|----------------|------------|
| | An | nortized | | Investments at | |
| | | Cost | Percentage | Fair Value | Percentage |
| Senior Secured First Lien Term Loans | \$ | 418,109 | 55.2 | 408,802 | 54.5 |
| Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loans | | 253,210 | 33.4 | 251,963 | 33.6 |
| Senior Secured Notes | | 84,125 | 11.1 | 85,262 | 11.4 |
| Unsecured Debt | | 255 | 0.1 | 255 | 0.1 |
| Equity/Warrants | | 1,991 | 0.2 | 2,955 | 0.4 |
| Total | \$ | 757,690 | 100.0% | \$ 749,237 | 100.0% |

The composition of our investments as of September 30, 2012 as a percentage of our total portfolio, at amortized cost and fair value were as follows (dollars in thousands):

| | Inv | estments at | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----|-------------|------------|----------------|------------|--|
| | A | mortized | | Investments at | | |
| | | Cost | Percentage | Fair Value | Percentage | |
| Senior Secured First Lien Term Loans | \$ | 187,753 | 46.6% | \$ 186,841 | 46.5% | |
| Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loans | | 158,076 | 39.2 | 157,015 | 39.0 | |
| Senior Secured Notes | | 55,381 | 13.7 | 55,750 | 13.9 | |
| Equity/Warrants | | 1,951 | 0.5 | 2,343 | 0.6 | |
| Total | \$ | 403,161 | 100.0% | \$ 401,949 | 100.0% | |
| | | | | | | |

The following table shows the portfolio composition by industry grouping at fair value at September 30, 2013 (dollars in thousands):

| | Inve | stments at | |
|--|------|------------|------------|
| | Fa | ir Value | Percentage |
| Personal, Food and Miscellaneous Services | \$ | 72,586 | \$ 9.7% |
| Healthcare, Education and Childcare | | 64,138 | 8.6 |
| Business Services | | 59,932 | 8.0 |
| Personal and Nondurable Consumer Products (Manufacturing Only) | | 48,017 | 6.4 |
| Automobile | | 43,733 | 5.8 |
| Mining, Steel, Iron and Nonprecious Metals | | 42,743 | 5.7 |
| Finance | | 42,182 | 5.6 |
| Home and Office Furnishings, Housewares, and Durable Consumer Products | | 40,139 | 5.4 |
| Retail Stores | | 39,196 | 5.2 |
| Buildings and Real Estate | | 36,570 | 4.9 |
| Oil and Gas | | 35,987 | 4.8 |
| Restaurant & Franchise | | 32,249 | 4.3 |
| Aerospace & Defense | | 29,567 | 3.9 |
| Hotels, Motels, Inns and Gaming | | 26,018 | 3.5 |
| Diversified/Conglomerate Service | | 25,336 | 3.4 |
| Diversified/Conglomerate Manufacturing | | 23,608 | 3.2 |
| Beverage, Food and Tobacco | | 16,863 | 2.2 |
| Telecommunications | | 12,329 | 1.6 |
| Cargo Transport | | 12,305 | 1.6 |
| Containers, Packaging and Glass | | 12,000 | 1.6 |
| Leisure, Amusement, Motion Pictures, Entertainment | | 9,791 | 1.3 |
| Machinery (Nonagriculture, Nonconstruction, Nonelectric) | | 8,002 | 1.1 |
| Electronics | | 7,977 | 1.1 |
| Grocery | | 7,969 | 1.1 |
| Total | \$ | 749,237 | 100.0% |

The following table shows the portfolio composition by industry grouping at fair value at September 30, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

| | Inve | stments at | | | |
|--|------|------------|------------|--|--|
| | Fa | air Value | Percentage | | |
| Healthcare, Education and Childcare | \$ | 59,974 | 14.9% | | |
| Oil and Gas | | 35,345 | 8.8 | | |
| Finance | | 33,438 | 8.3 | | |
| Leisure, Amusement, Motion Pictures, Entertainment | | 31,780 | 7.9 | | |
| Aerospace & Defense | | 30,626 | 7.6 | | |
| Personal and Nondurable Consumer Products (Manufacturing Only) | | 29,786 | 7.4 | | |
| Business Services | | 25,095 | 6.2 | | |
| Personal, Food and Miscellaneous Services | | 24,997 | 6.2 | | |
| Diversified/Conglomerate Service | | 19,347 | 4.8 | | |
| Mining, Steel, Iron and Nonprecious Metals | | 16,755 | 4.2 | | |
| Restaurant & Franchise | | 14,003 | 3.5 | | |
| Cargo Transport | | 11,858 | 3.0 | | |
| Containers, Packaging and Glass | | 10,000 | 2.5 | | |
| Electronics | | 9,740 | 2.4 | | |
| Hotels, Motels, Inns and Gaming | | 9,510 | 2.4 | | |
| Machinery (Nonagriculture, Nonconstruction, Nonelectric) | | 8,662 | 2.2 | | |
| Home and Office Furnishings, Housewares, and Durable Consumer Products | | 8,208 | 2.0 | | |
| Grocery | | 7,960 | 2.0 | | |
| Telecommunications | | 7,114 | 1.8 | | |
| Automobile | | 6,217 | 1.5 | | |
| Chemicals, Plastics and Rubber | | 1,534 | 0.4 | | |
| Total | \$ | 401,949 | 100.0% | | |

The Company invests in portfolio companies principally located in North America. The geographic composition is determined by the location of the corporate headquarters of the portfolio company, which may not be indicative of the primary source of the portfolio company's business. The following table shows the portfolio composition by geographic location at fair value at September 30, 2013 (dollars in thousands):

| | Inve | estments at | | |
|---------------|------|-------------|------------|--|
| | F | air Value | Percentage | |
| Midwest | \$ | 231,437 | 30.9% | |
| West | | 182,195 | 24.3 | |
| Southeast | | 103,692 | 13.9 | |
| Southwest | | 101,386 | 13.5 | |
| Northeast | | 61,490 | 8.2 | |
| Mid-Atlantic | | 59,898 | 8.0 | |
| International | | 9,139 | 1.2 | |
| Total | \$ | 749,237 | 100.0% | |

The following table shows the portfolio composition by geographic location at fair value at September 30, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

| | Inve | estments at | | |
|---------------|------------|-------------|--------|--|
| | Fair Value | | | |
| Midwest | \$ | 119,473 | 29.7% | |
| West | | 101,098 | 25.2 | |
| Mid-Atlantic | | 59,549 | 14.8 | |
| Northeast | | 42,526 | 10.6 | |
| Southeast | | 35,750 | 8.9 | |
| Southwest | | 35,345 | 8.8 | |
| International | | 8,208 | 2.0 | |
| Total | \$ | 401,949 | 100.0% | |

Transactions With Affiliated Companies

During the years ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, the Company had investments in portfolio companies designated as affiliates under the 1940 Act. Transactions with affiliates were as follows:

| Name of Investment Non-Controlled Affiliates | air Value at ember 30, 2012 | of/ (Dist | hases (Sales) 'Advances ributions) to Affiliates | J | Transfers In/(Out) of Affiliates | _ | Income Earned | ir Value at otember 30, 2013 | Сар | ital Loss |
|--|--------------------------------|--------------|--|----|----------------------------------|---|------------------|------------------------------|-----|-----------|
| Cymax Stores, Inc. | \$ 8,208,006 | \$ | _ | \$ | - | _ | \$ 1,499,179 | \$ 9,139,377 | \$ | _ |
| Total Non-Controlled Affiliates | \$ 8,208,006 | \$ | _ | \$ | - | | \$ 1,499,179 | \$ 9,139,377 | \$ | |

| Name of Investment | Fair Value at September 30, 2011 | (| (=) + () + | | Fair Value at Income September 30, Earned 2012 | | | Capital Loss | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|----|------------|----|--|----|-----------|--------------|-----------|----|---|
| Non-Controlled Affiliates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cymax Stores, Inc. ⁽¹⁾ | \$ — | \$ | 2,419,901 | \$ | 6,782,696 | \$ | 735,853 | \$ | 8,208,006 | \$ | _ |
| Allied Cash Holdings LLC ⁽²⁾ | 20,000,000 | | _ | | (20,000,000) | | 1,191,205 | | _ | | _ |
| Applied Natural Gas Fuels, | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Inc. ⁽²⁾ | 15,663,762 | | _ | | (15,663,762) | | 698,588 | | _ | | _ |
| Bennu Glass, Inc. ⁽²⁾ | 10,157,220 | | _ | | (10,133,291) | | 347,887 | | _ | | _ |
| Total Non-Controlled Affiliates | \$ 45,820,982 | \$ | 2,419,901 | \$ | (39,014,357) | \$ | 2,973,533 | \$ | 8,208,006 | \$ | |

- (1) Became a non-controlled affiliate on January 30, 2012.
- (2) Became a non-controlled/non-affiliated investment on February 23, 2012.

Purchases (sales) of/advances (distributions) to affiliates are included in the purchases and sales presented on the consolidated statements of cash flows for the years ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Transfers in/(out) of affiliates represents the fair value for the month an investment became or was removed as an affiliated investment. Income received from affiliates is included in total investment income on the consolidated statements of operations for the years ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Loan Participation Sales

During the years ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, the Company sold portions of eleven investments via participation agreements to a managed account, managed by an affiliate and non-affiliate of the Company, in an aggregate amount of approximately \$56.5 million. At September 30, 2013 and 2012, the aggregate fair value of the loans sold on participation was \$156.5 million and \$36.2 million, respectively. Such investments where the Company has retained a proportionate interest are included in the consolidated schedule of investments. All of these investments are classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, as described as follows. During the years ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, the Company made interest and (principal) payments to the subparticipant in the aggregate amount of \$9.9 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, with respect to these investments. Under the terms of the participation agreements, the Company will make periodic payments to the sub-participant equal to the sub-participant's proportionate share of any principal and interest payments received by the Company from the underlying investee companies.

Note 4. Fair Value Measurements

The Company follows ASC 820 for measuring the fair value of portfolio investments. Fair value is the price that would be received in the sale of an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Where available, fair value is based on observable market prices or parameters, or derived from such prices or parameters. Where observable prices or inputs are not available, valuation models are applied. These valuation models involve some level of management estimation and judgment, the degree of which is dependent on the price transparency for the instruments or market and the instruments' complexity. The Company's fair value analysis includes an analysis of the value of any unfunded loan commitments. Financial investments recorded at fair value in the consolidated financial statements are categorized for disclosure purposes based upon the level of judgment associated with the inputs used to measure their value. The valuation hierarchical levels are based upon the transparency of the inputs to the valuation of the investment as of the measurement date. The three levels are defined as follows:

- · Level 1 Valuations based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities at the measurement date.
- Level 2 Valuations based on inputs other than quoted prices in active markets included in Level 1, which are either directly or indirectly observable at the measurement date. This category includes quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in non-active markets including actionable bids from third parties for privately held assets or liabilities, and observable inputs other than quoted prices such as yield curves and forward currency rates that are entered directly into valuation models to determine the value of derivatives or other assets or liabilities.
- Level 3 Valuations based on inputs that are unobservable and where there is little, if any, market activity at the measurement date. The inputs for the determination of fair value may require significant management judgment or estimation and is based upon management's assessment of the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the assets or liabilities. These investments include debt and equity investments in private companies or assets valued using the market or income approach and may involve pricing models whose inputs require significant judgment or estimation because of the absence of any meaningful current market data for identical or similar investments. The inputs in these valuations may include, but are not limited to, capitalization and discount rates, beta and EBITDA multiples. The information may also include pricing information or broker quotes which include a disclaimer that the broker would not be held to such a price in an actual transaction. The non-binding nature of consensus pricing and/or quotes accompanied by disclaimer would result in classification as Level 3 information, assuming no additional corroborating evidence.

In addition to using the above inputs in investment valuations, the Company continues to employ the valuation policy approved by the board of directors that is consistent with ASC 820 (see Note 2). Consistent with our valuation policy, we evaluate the source of inputs, including any markets in which our investments are trading, in determining fair value.

The following table presents the fair value measurements of our investments, by major class according to the fair value hierarchy, as of September 30, 2013 (dollars in thousands):

| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
|---------------------------------------|---------|----------|------------|------------|
| Senior Secured First Lien Term Loans | | | 408,802 | 408,802 |
| Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loans | _ | _ | 251,963 | 251,963 |
| Senior Secured Notes | _ | 8,003 | 77,259 | 85,262 |
| Unsecured Debt | _ | _ | 255 | 255 |
| Equity/Warrants | _ | _ | 2,955 | 2,955 |
| Total | \$ | \$ 8,003 | \$ 741,234 | \$ 749,237 |

The following table presents the fair value measurements of our investments, by major class according to the fair value hierarchy, as of September 30, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

| | L | evel 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
|---------------------------------------|----|--------|--------------|---------------|---------------|
| Senior Secured First Lien Term Loans | \$ | | \$ | \$ 186,841 | \$ 186,841 |
| Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loans | | _ | _ | 157,015 | 157,015 |
| Senior Secured Notes | | _ | 13,537 | 42,213 | 55,750 |
| Equity/Warrants | | _ | _ | 2,343 | 2,343 |
| Total | \$ | _ | \$ 13,537 | \$ 388,412 | \$ 401,949 |

The following table provides a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances for investments that use level 3 inputs for the year ended September 30, 2013 (dollars in thousands):

| | Senior Secured First Lien Term | Senior Secured Second Lien Term | Senior Secured | Unsecured | Equities/ | |
|--|---|--|-------------------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------|
| | Loans | Lien Term Loans | Notes | Debt | Equities/ Warrants | Total |
| | | | | Deut | | |
| Balance as of September 30, 2012 | 186,841 | 157,015 | 42,213 | - | 2,343 | 388,412 |
| Purchases and other adjustments to cost | 60,138 | 80,326 | 49,565 | 5 | 184 | 190,218 |
| Issuance | 289,366 | 63,217 | - | 250 | - | 352,833 |
| Sales | (51,792) | - | (3,053) | - | (144) | (54,989) |
| Settlements | (67,504) | (48,443) | (14,075) | - | - | (130,022) |
| Net realized gains (losses) from investments | 147 | 33 | 794 | - | - | 974 |
| Net unrealized gains (losses) | (8,394) | (185) | 1,815 | - | 572 | (6,192) |
| Balance as of September 30, 2013 | 408,802 | 251,963 | 77,259 | 255 | 2,955 | 741,234 |

The following table provides a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances for investments that use level 3 inputs for the year ended September 30, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

| | | Senior | | Senior | | Senior | | | |
|--|-----|------------|-----|-------------|----|---------|----|-----------|---------------|
| | Sec | ured First | Sec | ured Second | 5 | Secured | E | quities / | |
| | Li | en Loans | L | ien Loans | | Notes | V | Varrants | Total |
| Balance as of September 30, 2011 | \$ | 107,255 | \$ | 79,415 | \$ | 11,832 | \$ | 705 | \$ 199,207 |
| Purchases and other adjustments to cost | | 18,674 | | 14,229 | | 33,478 | | 11 | 66,392 |
| Originations | | 120,641 | | 84,971 | | _ | | 1,909 | 207,521 |
| Sales | | (12,635) | | (4,927) | | | | _ | (17,562) |
| Settlements | | (46,567) | | (16,059) | | (3,370) | | _ | (65,996) |
| Net realized gains (losses) from investments | | (117) | | (39) | | 111 | | _ | (45) |
| Net change in unrealized gains (losses) | | (410) | | (575) | | 162 | | (282) | (1,105) |
| Balance as of September 30, 2012 | \$ | 186,841 | \$ | 157,015 | \$ | 42,213 | \$ | 2,343 | \$ 388,412 |

Net change in unrealized loss related to investments still held as of September 30, 2013 and 2012, was approximately \$8.9 million and \$1.4 million, respectively.

Purchases and other adjustments to cost include purchases of new investments at cost, effects of refinancing/restructuring, accretion/amortization of income from discount/premium on debt securities, and PIK.

Sales represent net proceeds received from investments sold.

Settlements represent principal paydowns received.

No transfers between levels have occurred during the periods presented.

A review of the fair value hierarchy classifications is conducted on a quarterly basis. Changes in the observability of valuation inputs may result in a reclassification for certain financial assets or liabilities. Reclassifications impacting level 3 of the fair value hierarchy are reported as transfers in/out of the level 3 category as of the beginning of the quarter in which the reclassifications occur.

The following table presents the quantitative information about level 3 fair value measurements of our investments, as of September 30, 2013 (dollars in thousands):

| Senior Secured First Lien Term Loans | \$ Fair value 404,041 | Valuation techniques Market approach | Unobservable input Market yield | Range (weighted average) 10.0% - 50.6% (14.4%) |
|---|--------------------------|--|---------------------------------|---|
| Senior Secured First Lien Term Loans | \$ 4,090 | Cost recovery | EV coverage | N/A |
| Senior Secured First Lien Term Loans - Fee Note | \$ 671 | Market approach | Market yield | 0.0% - 14.9% (3.8%) |
| Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loans | \$ 251,963 | Market approach | Market yield | 9.3% - 20.7% (12.6%) |
| Senior Secured Notes | \$ 77,259 | Market approach | Market yield | 8.4% - 14.5% (12.2%) |
| Unsecured Debt | \$ 255 | Market approach | Market Yield | 16.0% |
| Equity/Warrants | \$ 2,955 | Enterprise valuation analysis | EBITDA multiple ⁽¹⁾ | 0.2x - 6.5x (4.1x) |
| Total | \$ 741,234 | | | |
| | | | | |

F-22

The following table presents the quantitative information about level 3 fair value measurements of our investments, as of September 30, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

| | Fair value | Valuation techniques | Unobservable input | Range (weighted average) |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Senior Secured First Lien Term Loan | \$ 186,841 | Market approach | Market yield | 7.5% - 21.0% (14.1%) |
| Senior Secured Second Lien Term Loan | \$ 157,015 | Market approach | Market yield | 11.3% - 16.0% (13.9%) |
| Senior Secured Notes | \$ 42,213 | Market approach | Market yield | 10.1% - 14.9% (12.5%) |
| Equity/Warrants | \$ 2,343 | Enterprise valuation analysis | EBITDA multiple ⁽¹⁾ | 0.2x - 6.0x (4.0x) |
| Total | \$ 388,412 | | | |

(1) Represents amounts used when the Company has determined that market participants would use such multiples when measuring the fair value of these investments.

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the Company's debt investments are market yields. Increases in market yields would result in lower fair value measurements.

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the Company's equity/warrants investments are comparable company EBITDA multiples. Increases in EBITDA multiples in isolation would result in higher fair value measurements.

Note 5. Borrowings

As a BDC, we are only allowed to employ leverage to the extent that our asset coverage, as defined in the 1940 Act, equals at least 200% after giving effect to such leverage. The amount of leverage that we employ at any time depends on our assessment of the market and other factors at the time of any proposed borrowing.

On November 16, 2012, we obtained exemptive relief from the SEC to permit us to exclude the debt of the SBIC LP guaranteed by the SBA from our 200% asset coverage test under the 1940 Act. The exemptive relief provides us with increased flexibility under the 200% asset coverage test by permitting it to borrow up to \$150 million more than it would otherwise be able to absent the receipt of this exemptive relief.

Credit Facility

On August 4, 2011, the Company closed a four-year senior secured revolving credit facility (the "Revolving Facility") led by ING Capital LLC with initial commitments of \$60 million and a feature that provides for expansion of the Facility up to \$125 million, subject to customary conditions.

On August 31, 2012, we entered into Amendment No. 1 to the Revolving Facility, and entered into a new senior secured term loan credit facility (the "Term Loan Facility," and together with the Revolving Facility the "Facilities"), with ING Capital LLC.

Amendment No. 1 to the Revolving Facility revised the Revolving Facility, to, among other things, increase the amount available for borrowing from \$125.0 million to \$132.5 million; permit the Term Loan Facility; and extend the maturity date from August 4, 2015 to August 31, 2016. Amendment No. 1 to the Revolving Facility also changes the interest rate of the Revolving Facility from (a) Eurocurrency loans from LIBOR + 3.75% per annum, with a 1% LIBOR floor, to (i) when the Company's stockholders' equity is less than or equal to \$350.0 million and the step-down condition is not satisfied, LIBOR plus 3.75% per annum, with no LIBOR floor, and (ii) when the Company's stockholders' equity exceeds \$350.0 million and the step-down condition is satisfied, LIBOR plus 3.25% per annum, with no LIBOR floor, and (b) alternative base rate loans based, or ABR, on 2.75% per annum plus the greatest of the Prime Rate in effect on such day, the federal funds effective rate for such day plus 0.5%, LIBOR for a period of three months plus 1% or the ABR Floor of 2% to (i) when the Company's stockholders' equity is less than or equal to \$350.0 million and the step-down condition is not satisfied, 2.75% per annum plus the greatest of the Prime Rate in effect on such day, the federal funds effective rate for such day plus 0.5% or LIBOR for a period of three months plus 1%, and (ii) when the Company's stockholders' equity exceeds \$350.0 million and the step-down condition is satisfied, 2.25% per annum plus the greatest of the Prime Rate in effect on such day, the federal funds effective rate for such day plus 0.5% or LIBOR for a period of three months plus 1%. In addition to the stated interest expense, the Company is required to pay a commitment fee of between 0.50% and 1.00% depending on the usage level on any unused portion of the Revolving Facility. A significant percentage of our total assets have been pledged under the Revolving Facility to secure our obligations thereunder. The Revolving Facility contains commercially reasonable limitations as to how borrowed funds may be used, such as restrictions on industry concentrations, asset size, weighted average life, currency denomination and collateral interests. The Revolving Facility also includes certain commercially reasonable requirements relating to portfolio performance, the violation of which could result in the limit of further advances and, in some cases, result in an event of default, allowing the lenders to accelerate repayment of amounts owed thereunder.

On September 25, 2012, the Company closed \$5.0 million of additional commitment to the Revolving Facility resulting in total commitments to the Revolving Facility of \$137.5 million.

On December 7, 2012, we entered into Amendment No. 2 to the Revolving Facility, and entered into Amendment No. 1 to the Term Loan Facility.

Amendment No. 2 to the Revolving Facility revised the Revolving Facility, to, among other things, increase the amount available for borrowing from \$137.5 million to \$182.0 million.

Amendment No. 1 to the Term Loan Facility revised the Term Loan Facility, to, among other things, increase the amount available for borrowing from \$55.0 million to \$80.5 million. The Term Loan Facility matures on August 31, 2017 and bears interest at LIBOR plus 4.00% (with no LIBOR Floor, rounded upwards, if necessary, to the next 1/16 of 1%).

On January 23, 2013, we entered into Amendment No. 2 to the Term Loan Facility. Amendment No. 2 to the Term Loan Facility, to, among other things, increase the amount available for borrowing from \$80.5 million to \$100.0 million.

On January 23, 2013, the Company closed \$18.0 million of additional commitment to the Revolving Facility resulting in total commitments to the Revolving Facility of \$200.0 million.

On March 28, 2013, we entered into Amendment No. 3 to the Revolving Facility, and entered into Amendment No. 3 to the Term Loan Facility.

Amendment No. 3 to each of the Revolving Facility and the Term Loan Facility amend certain provisions of the Facilities. In particular, the aggregate accordion feature permitting subsequent increases to the Facilities have been increased to an aggregate maximum amount of \$400 million, an increase of \$100 million from the prior limit of \$300 million.

On March 28, 2013, the Company closed \$9.0 million of additional commitment to the Revolving Facility resulting in total commitments to the Revolving Facility of \$209.0 million and \$5.0 million of additional commitment to the Term Facility resulting in total commitments to the Term Facility of \$105.0 million.

On April 18, 2013, the Company closed \$1.0 million of additional commitment to the Revolving Facility resulting in total commitments to the Revolving Facility of \$210.0 million.

On May 1, 2013, we entered into Amendment No. 4 to the Revolving Facility, and entered into Amendment No. 4 to the Term Loan Facility.

Amendment No. 4 to the Revolving Facility revised the Revolving Facility, to, among other things, increase the amount available for borrowing from \$210.0 million to \$230.0 million.

Amendment No. 4 to the Term Loan Facility revised the Term Loan Facility, to, among other things, increase the amount available for borrowing from \$105.0 million to \$115.0 million.

On September 25, 2013, the Company closed \$15.0 million of additional commitment to the Revolving Facility resulting in total commitments to the Revolving Facility of \$245.0 million and \$5.0 million of additional commitment to the Term Facility resulting in total commitments to the Term Facility of \$120.0 million.

As of September 30, 2013, and 2012, the carrying amount of our borrowings under the Facilities approximated the fair value of our debt obligations. The fair values of our debt obligations are determined in accordance with ASC 820, which defines fair value in terms of the price that would be paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date under current market conditions. The fair value of our borrowings under the Facilities are estimated based upon market interest rates for our own borrowings or entities with similar credit risk, adjusted for nonperformance risk, if any. At September 30, 2013, and 2012, the Facilities would be deemed to be level 3, as defined in Note 4.

As of September 30, 2013, \$3.8 million of financing costs related to the Revolving Facility and \$2.1 million of financing costs related to the Term Loan Facility have been capitalized and are being amortized over their respective terms. For the year ended September 30, 2013, we recorded \$8.0 million of interest and financing expenses related to the Facilities, of which \$1.0 million was attributable to interest related to the Revolving Facility, \$1.8 million to commitment fees related to the Revolving Facility, \$4.1 million to interest related to the Term Loan Facility and \$1.1 million of amortization of deferred financing costs related to the Facilities. As of September 30, 2013, there was \$2.5 million and \$120.0 million outstanding under the Revolving Facility and Term Loan Facility, respectively. For the year ended September 30, 2013, our weighted average outstanding debt balance and our weighted average stated interest rate on the Facilities were \$122.4 million and 4.2%, respectively. As of September 30, 2012, \$2.6 million of financing costs related to the Revolving Facility and \$1.3 million of financing costs related to the Term Loan Facility had been capitalized and are being amortized over their respective terms. For the year ended September 30, 2012, we recorded \$3.4 million of interest and financing expenses related to the Facilities, of which, \$2.1 million was attributable to interest related to the Revolving Facility, \$0.5 million to commitment fees related to the Revolving Facility, \$0.2 million to interest related to the Term Loan Facility and \$0.6 million of amortization of deferred financing costs related to the Facilities. As of September 30, 2012, there was \$15.0 million outstanding under the Revolving Facility and \$55.0 million outstanding under the Term Loan Facility. For the year ended September 30, 2012, our weighted average outstanding debt balance and our weighted average stated interest rate on the Facilities were \$48.2 million and 4.8%, respectively.

Unsecured Notes

On March 21, 2012, the Company issued \$40.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 7.125% unsecured notes that mature on March 30, 2019 (the "2019 Notes"). The 2019 Notes may be redeemed in whole or in part at any time or from time to time at the Company's option on or after March 30, 2015. The 2019 Notes bear interest at a rate of 7.125% per year, payable quarterly on March 30, June 30, September 30 and December 30 of each year, beginning June 30, 2012. The 2019 Notes are listed on the New York Stock Exchange and trade thereon under the trading symbol "MCQ".

On March 18, 2013, the Company issued \$60.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 6.125% unsecured notes that mature on March 30, 2023 (the "2023 Notes," and together with the 2019 Notes, the "Unsecured Notes"). The 2023 Notes may be redeemed in whole or in part at any time or from time to time at the Company's option on or after March 30, 2016. The 2023 Notes bear interest at a rate of 6.125% per year, payable quarterly on March 30, June 30, September 30 and December 30 of each year, beginning June 30, 2013. The 2023 Notes are listed on the New York Stock Exchange and trade thereon under the trading symbol "MCV".

On March 26, 2013, the Company closed an additional \$3.5 million in aggregate principal amount of the 2023 Notes, pursuant to the partial exercise of the underwriters' option to purchase additional notes.

As of September 30, 2013, the carrying amount and fair value of the 2019 Notes was \$40.0 million and \$42.0 million, respectively. The carrying amount and fair value of the 2023 Notes was \$63.5 million and \$60.6 million, respectively. As of September 30, 2012, the carrying amount and fair value of the 2019 Notes was \$40.0 million and \$41.8 million, respectively. The fair values of our debt obligations are determined in accordance with ASC 820, which defines fair value in terms of the price that would be paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date under current market conditions. The fair value of the Unsecured Notes, which are publicly traded, is based upon closing market quotes as of the measurement date. At September 30, 2013, and 2012, the Unsecured Notes would be deemed to be level 1, as defined in Note 4.

As of September 30, 2013, \$1.5 million of financing costs related to the 2019 Notes and \$2.1 million of financing costs related to the 2023 Notes have been deferred and are being amortized over their respective terms. For the year ended September 30, 2013, we recorded \$5.2 million of interest and financing expenses related to the Unsecured Notes, of which \$2.8 million to interest related to the 2019 Notes, \$2.1 million to interest related to the 2023 Notes and \$0.3 million of amortization of deferred financing costs related to the Unsecured Notes. As of September 30, 2013, \$40.0 million and \$63.5 million in aggregate principal amount of the 2019 Notes and the 2023 notes were outstanding, respectively. For the year ended September 30, 2013, our weighted average outstanding debt balance and our weighted average stated interest rate on the Unsecured Notes were \$74.1 million and 6.7%, respectively. As of September 30, 2012, \$1.5 million of financing costs related to the 2019 Notes had been capitalized and are being amortized over their respective terms. For year ended September 30, 2012, we recorded \$1.5 million of interest expense and \$0.1 million of amortization of deferred financing costs related to the Unsecured Notes. As of September 30, 2012, \$40.0 million in aggregate principal amount of the 2019 Notes were outstanding. For the year ended September 30, 2012, our weighted average outstanding debt balance and our weighted average stated interest rate on the Unsecured Notes were \$21.2 million and 7.1%, respectively.

SBA Debentures

On March 26, 2013, SBIC LP received an SBIC license from the SBA.

The SBIC license allows the SBIC LP to obtain leverage by issuing SBA-guaranteed debentures, subject to the issuance of a capital commitment by the SBA and other customary procedures. SBA-guaranteed debentures are non-recourse, interest only debentures with interest payable semi-annually and have a ten year maturity. The principal amount of SBA-guaranteed debentures is not required to be paid prior to maturity but may be prepaid at any time without penalty. The interest rate of SBA-guaranteed debentures is fixed on a semi-annual basis at a market-driven spread over U.S. Treasury Notes with 10-year maturities. The SBA, as a creditor, will have a superior claim to the SBIC LP's assets over our stockholders in the event we liquidate the SBIC LP or the SBA exercises its remedies under the SBA-guaranteed debentures issued by the SBIC LP upon an event of default.

SBA regulations currently limit the amount that the SBIC LP may borrow to a maximum of \$150 million when it has at least \$75 million in regulatory capital, receives a capital commitment from the SBA and has been through an examination by the SBA subsequent to licensing.

As of September 30, 2013, SBIC LP had \$50.0 million in regulatory capital and had \$30.0 million SBA-guaranteed debentures outstanding. The interest rate on \$5.0 million of outstanding debentures is fixed at an average annualized rate of 4.4%. The annualized interim financing rate on the remaining \$25.0 million of outstanding debentures was 1.5% as of September 30, 2013. The interest rate on the \$25.0 million of interim outstanding debentures will be fixed at the next pooling date, which is March 26, 2014.

As of September 30, 2013, the carrying amount of the SBA-guaranteed debentures approximated the fair value of our debt obligations. The fair values of the SBA-guaranteed debentures are determined in accordance with ASC 820, which defines fair value in terms of the price that would be paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date under current market conditions. The fair value of the SBA-guaranteed debentures are estimated based upon market interest rates for our own borrowings or entities with similar credit risk, adjusted for nonperformance risk, if any. At September 30, 2013, the Facilities would be deemed to be level 3, as defined in Note 4.

For the year ended September 30, 2013, we recorded \$89,447 of interest and financing expenses related to the SBA guaranteed debenture, of which \$36,108 was attributable to interest expense and \$53,339 of amortization of commitment fee and upfront fees. As of September 30, 2013, the weighted average outstanding debt balance and the weighted average stated interest rate for all SBA-guaranteed debentures was \$2.5 million and 1.5%, respectively.

Note 6. Agreements

Investment Management Agreement

On January 19, 2011, the Company entered into an investment management agreement (the "Management Agreement") with MCC Advisors. Pursuant to the Management Agreement, MCC Advisors implements our business strategy on a day-to-day basis and performs certain services for us, subject to oversight by our board of directors. MCC Advisors is responsible for, among other duties, determining investment criteria, sourcing, analyzing and executing investments transactions, asset sales, financings and performing asset management duties. Under the Management Agreement, we have agreed to pay MCC Advisors a management fee for investment advisory and management services consisting of a base management fee and an incentive fee.

The base management fee will be calculated at an annual rate of 1.75% of our gross assets payable quarterly in arrears. For purposes of calculating the base management fee, the term "gross assets" includes any assets acquired with the proceeds of leverage. For the first quarter of our operations, the base management fee was calculated based on the initial value of our gross assets. Subsequently, the base management fee is calculated based on the average value of our gross assets at the end of the two most recently completed calendar quarters. MCC Advisors agreed to waive the base management fee payable with respect to cash and cash equivalents held by the Company through December 31, 2011. This waiver does not extend to periods subsequent to December 31, 2011.

The incentive fee consists of the following two parts:

The first, payable quarterly in arrears is based on our pre-incentive fee net investment income for the immediately preceding calendar quarter and will be 20.0% of the amount, if any, by which our pre-incentive fee net investment income for the immediately preceding calendar quarter exceeds a 2.0% (which is 8.0% annualized) hurdle rate, measured as a percentage value of the preceding calendar quarter's net assets and a "catch-up" provision measured as of the end of each calendar quarter. Under this provision, in any calendar quarter, MCC Advisors receives no incentive fee until our net investment income equals the hurdle rate of 2.0%, but then receives, as a "catch-up", 100% of our pre-incentive fee net investment income with respect to that portion of such pre-incentive fee net investment income, if any, that exceeds the hurdle rate but is less than 2.5%. The effect of this provision is that, if pre-incentive fee net investment income exceeds 2.5% in any calendar quarter, our investment adviser will receive 20% of our pre-incentive fee net investment income as if the hurdle rate did not apply. For this purpose, pre-incentive fee net investment income means interest income, dividend income and any other income including any other fees (other than fees for providing managerial assistance), such as commitment, origination, structuring, diligence and consulting fees or other fees that we receive from portfolio companies accrued during the calendar quarter, minus our operating expenses for the quarter including the base management fee, expenses payable under the administration agreement (as defined below), and any interest expense and any dividends paid on any issued and outstanding preferred stock, but excluding the incentive fee. Pre-incentive fee net investment income includes, in the case of investments with a deferred interest feature (such as original issue discount, debt instruments with payment-in-kind interest and zero coupon securities), accrued income that we have not yet received in cash. Pre-incentive fee net investment income does not include any realized capital gains, realized capital losses, unrealized capital appreciation, unrealized capital depreciation or excise tax expense. Since the hurdle rate is fixed, as interest rates rise, it will be easier for the MCC Advisors to surpass the hurdle rate and receive an incentive fee based on net investment income.

The second component of the incentive fee is determined and payable in arrears as of the end of each calendar year (or upon termination of the Management Agreement, as of the termination date) and equals 20.0% of our cumulative aggregate realized capital gains less cumulative realized capital losses, unrealized capital depreciation (unrealized depreciation on a gross investment-by-investment basis at the end of each calendar year) and all capital gains upon which prior performance-based capital gains incentive fee payments are previously made to MCC Advisors.

The Company calculates incentive fee as if the Company had realized all assets at their fair values and liabilities at their settlement amounts as of the reporting date. Accordingly, the Company accrues a provisional incentive fee taking into account any unrealized gains. As the provisional incentive fee is subject to the performance of investments until there is a realization event, the amount of provisional incentive fee accrued at a reporting date may vary from the incentive fee that is ultimately paid, and the differences could be material.

For the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Company incurred net base management fees to MCC Advisors of \$10.9 million, \$5.5 million and \$1.6 million, respectively. For the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011, we incurred \$11.6 million, \$5.9 million and \$0.7 million in incentive fees related to pre-incentive fee net investment income, respectively.

For the years ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, \$6.9 million and \$3.5 million was included in "management and incentive fees payable," in the accompanying consolidated statements of assets and liabilities.

Administration Agreement

On January 19, 2011, the Company entered into an administration agreement with MCC Advisors. Pursuant to this agreement, MCC Advisors furnishes us with office facilities and equipment, clerical, bookkeeping, recordkeeping and other administrative services related to the operations of the Company. We reimburse MCC Advisors for our allocable portion of overhead and other expenses incurred by our administrator in performing its obligations under the administration agreement, including rent and our allocable portion of the cost of certain of our officers and their respective staff. From time to time, our administrator may pay amounts owed by us to third-party service providers and we will subsequently reimburse our administrator for such amounts paid on our behalf. For the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011, we incurred \$2.5 million, \$1.5 million and \$0.9 million in administrator expenses, respectively.

Note 7. Related Party Transactions

Investment in Loan Participations

As discussed in Note 1, the Loan Assets contributed to the Company by MOF LP and MOF LTD upon consummation of the Company's IPO were in the form of loan participations with an affiliated entity managed by affiliates of MCC Advisors. On June 30, 2011, the Company cancelled its participation agreements with an affiliate and executed loan assignment agreements for its investments in Allied Cash Holdings LLC, Applied Natural Gas Fuels, Inc., Bennu Glass, Inc., Velum Global Credit Management LLC and Water Capital USA, Inc. The Company is now a direct lender of record to these borrowers.

The Company holds its investment in Geneva Wood Fuels LLC through a participation agreement with an affiliated entity, which represents 0.6% of the Company's investments as of September 30, 2013 and 1.7% as of September 30, 2012. By virtue of owning loans through a participation agreement, the Company has a contractual relationship with the affiliate, not the borrower. As a result, the Company is subject to the credit risk of the affiliate as well as that of the borrower. As of September 30, 2013 and 2012, the principal amount related to this loan participation was \$8.2 million and \$7.5 million, respectively. For the years ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, total investment income related to this loan participation was \$0.7 million and \$1.2 million, respectively.

Due to Affiliate

Due to affiliate consists of certain general and administrative expenses paid by an affiliate on behalf of the Company.

Other Related Party Transactions

Certain affiliates of MCC Advisors, Medley Capital LLC, their respective affiliates and some of their employees purchased in the IPO an aggregate of 833,333 shares of common stock at the initial public offering price per share of \$12.00. The Company received the full proceeds from the sale of these shares, and no underwriting discounts or commissions were paid in respect of these shares.

On February 23, 2012, MOF LTD and MOF LP sold 4,406,301 shares of common stock at a price of \$11.13 per share. The Company did not receive any of the proceeds of the sale of these shares. In April and May 2012, MOF LTD and MOF LP distributed the remaining 946,293 shares of common stock to their investors and as of June 30, 2012, MOF LTD and MOF LP collectively no longer own shares of our common stock.

Certain employees of Medley Capital LLC, an affiliate of the Company, serve as senior corporate officers of Velum Global Credit Management LLC.

Note 8. Commitments and Contingencies

As of September 30, 2013, we had commitments under loan and financing agreements to fund up to \$33.1 million to six portfolio companies. These commitments are primarily composed of senior secured term loans and a revolver. As of September 30, 2012, we had commitments under loan and financing agreements to fund up to \$17.3 million to six portfolio companies. These commitments are primarily composed of senior secured term loans and preferred equity. The commitments are generally subject to the borrowers meeting certain criteria such as compliance with covenants and certain operational metrics. The terms of the borrowings and financings subject to commitment are comparable to the terms of other loan and equity securities in our portfolio. A summary of the composition of the unfunded commitments for the years ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 is show in the table below (dollars in thousands):

| | Year ended | | Year ended | |
|---|------------|-----------|------------|----------|
| | S | September | Se | eptember |
| | | 30, 2013 | 3 | 0, 2012 |
| Red Skye Wireless LLC | \$ | 15,000 | \$ | |
| Lydell Jewelry Design Studio LLC | | 5,928 | | - |
| DLR Restaurants LLC | | 4,177 | | - |
| DreamFinders Homes LLC - Term Loan B | | 2,723 | | - |
| DreamFinders Homes LLC - Term Loan A | | 2,500 | | - |
| Tenere Acquisition Corp. | | 2,000 | | - |
| Physicians Care Alliance LLC - Revolver | | 767 | | - |
| Prestige Industries LLC | | - | | 6,240 |
| Gulf Coast Atlantic Corporation | | - | | 3,938 |
| Calloway Laboratories, Inc. | | - | | 3,000 |
| American Gaming Systems LLC | | - | | 1,240 |
| Welocalize Inc Term Loan B | | - | | 1,112 |
| Welocalize Inc Term Loan A | | - | | 977 |
| Meridian Behavioral Health, LLC | | - | | 750 |
| Total | \$ | 33,095 | \$ | 17,257 |

Note 9. Other fee income

The other fee income consists of origination/closing fee, amendment fee, prepayment penalty, administrative agent fee, transaction break-up fee and other miscellaneous fees. The following table summarizes the Company's other fee income for the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012, and 2011 (dollars in thousands):

| | For the years ended September 30 | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|----|-----------|----|-----------|--|--|
| | 2013 2012 | | | | 2011 | | |
| Origination fee | \$ 10,280,442 | \$ | 5,378,527 | \$ | 1,703,386 | | |
| Prepayment fee | 3,343,425 | | 121,956 | | - | | |
| Amendment fee | 1,419,708 | | 406,939 | | - | | |
| Transaction break-up fee | 200,000 | | 123,000 | | - | | |
| Administrative agent fee | 276,038 | | 85,452 | | 6,352 | | |
| Other fees | 315,207 | | 49,519 | | 55,000 | | |
| Other fee income | 15,834,820 | | 6,165,393 | | 1,764,738 | | |

Note 10. Directors Fees

The independent directors receive an annual fee of \$35,000. They also receive \$7,500 plus reimbursement of reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with attending each board meeting and receive \$2,500 plus reimbursement of reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with attending each committee meeting. In addition, the chairman of the audit committee receives an annual fee of \$25,000 and the chairman of each other committee receives an annual fee of \$10,000 for their additional services in these capacities. In addition, other members of the audit committee receive an annual fee of \$12,500 and other members of each other committee receive an annual fee of \$6,000. No compensation is paid to directors who are "interested persons" of the Company (as such term is defined in the 1940 Act). For the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011, we accrued \$0.5 million, \$0.5 million and \$0.4 million for directors' fees expense, respectively.

Note 11. Earnings Per Share

In accordance with the provisions of ASC Topic 260 – Earnings per Share ("ASC 260"), basic earnings per share is computed by dividing earnings available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period. Other potentially dilutive common shares, and the related impact to earnings, are considered when calculating earnings per share on a diluted basis.

The following information sets forth the computation of the weighted average basic and diluted net increase in net assets per share from operations for the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012, and 2011 (dollars in thousands except share amounts):

| | Y | ear ended | • | Year ended | | Year ended |
|---|---------------|------------|----------|------------|----|------------|
| | September 30, | | | September | | September |
| Basic and diluted | | 2013 | 30, 2012 | | | 30, 2011 |
| Net increase in net assets from operations | \$ | 39,418 | \$ | 22,404 | \$ | 9,534 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | | 30,246,247 | | 17,919,310 | | 17,258,215 |
| Earnings per common share-basic and diluted | \$ | 1.30 | \$ | 1.25 | \$ | 0.55 |

Note 12. Financial Highlights

The following is a schedule of financial highlights for the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012, and 2011:

| | Septe | Year ended September 30, 2013 | | Year ended September 30, 2012 | | ear ended etember 30, 2011 |
|---|-------|-------------------------------------|----|-------------------------------------|----|----------------------------------|
| Per share data: | | _ | | _ | | |
| Net asset value per share at beginning of year | \$ | 12.52 | \$ | 12.57 | \$ | (0.01) |
| | | | | | | |
| Net investment income ⁽¹⁾ | | 1.53 | | 1.31 | | 0.56 |
| Net realized gains on investments | | 0.01 | | 0.00 | | 0.01 |
| Net unrealized appreciation/(depreciation) on investments | | (0.24) | | (0.06) | | (0.01) |
| Net increase in net assets | | 1.30 | | 1.25 | | 0.56 |
| | | | | | | |
| Distributions declared from net investment income | | (1.45) | | (1.20) | | (0.37) |
| Distributions declared from net realized gains | | - | | - | | - |
| Total distributions to stockholders | | (1.45) | | (1.20) | | (0.37) |
| | | | | | | |
| Issuance of common stock, net of underwriting costs | | 0.28 | | (0.02) | | 12.47 |
| Offering costs | | (0.02) | | (0.01) | | (80.0) |
| Other ⁽²⁾ | | 0.07 | | (0.07) | | 0.00 |
| Total capital share transactions | | 0.33 | | (0.10) | | 12.39 |
| | | | | | | |
| Net asset value at end of year | \$ | 12.70 | \$ | 12.52 | \$ | 12.57 |
| | | | | | | |

| Net assets at end of year | \$ | 509,834,455 | \$ | 289,339,231 | \$ | 217,652,696 | | |
|--|----|-------------|-------|-------------|--------|-------------|------------|--|
| Shares outstanding at end of year | | 40,152,904 | | 23,110,242 | | 17,320,468 | | |
| Per share market value at end of year | \$ | 13.79 | \$ | 14.07 | \$ | 10.08 | | |
| Total return based on market value (3) | • | | 9.01% | | 54.58% | | | |
| Total return based on net asset value ⁽⁴⁾ | | 12.83% | | 10.30% | 10.30% | | 10.30% 4.3 | |
| Portfolio turnover rate | | 25.25% |) | 25.39% | | 1.37% | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

MEDLEY CAPITAL CORPORATION Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

The following is a schedule of ratios and supplemental data for the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012, and 2011:

| | Year ended eptember 30, 2013 | Year ended September 30, 2012 | | Year ended September 30, 2011 |
|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----|-------------------------------------|
| Ratios: (5)(6) | | | | |
| Ratio of net investment income net of management fee waiver to average net assets | 11.19% | 9.969 | 6 | 6.46% |
| Ratio of total expenses net of management fee waiver to average net assets | 10.27% | 8.909 | 6 | 3.31% |
| Ratio of incentive fees to average net assets | 2.80% | 2.499 | 6 | 0.48% |
| | | | | |
| Supplemental Data: | | | | |
| Ratio of operating expenses net of management fee waiver and credit facility related expenses | | | | |
| to average net assets | 7.47% | 6.399 | 6 | 2.83% |
| Average debt outstanding ⁽⁷⁾ | \$ 198,994,397 | \$ 69,375,137 | \$ | - |
| Average debt outstanding per common share | \$ 6.58 | \$ 3.87 | \$ | - |
| Asset coverage ratio per unit ⁽⁸⁾ | 3,256 | 3,630 | | N/A |
| Average market value per unit | | | | |
| Facilities ⁽⁹⁾ | N/A | N/A | | N/A |
| SBA debentures ⁽⁹⁾ | N/A | N/A | | N/A |
| Notes due 2019 | \$ 25.61 | \$ 25.47 | | N/A |
| Notes due 2023 | \$ 23.74 | N/A | | N/A |

- (1) Net investment income based on total weighted average common stock outstanding equals \$1.53 per share for the year ended September 30, 2013 and net investment income excluding management fee waiver based on total weighted average common stock outstanding equals \$1.31 per share and \$0.50 per share for the years ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. MCC Advisors agreed to waive the base management fee payable with respect to cash and cash equivalents held by the Company through December 31, 2011.
- (2) Represents the impact of the different share amounts used in calculating per share data as a result of calculating certain per share data based upon the weighted average basic shares outstanding during the period and certain per share data based on the shares outstanding as of a period end or transaction date.
- (3) Total annual return is historical and assumes changes in share price, reinvestments of all dividends and distributions at prices obtained under the Company's dividend reinvestment plan, and no sales change for the period.
- (4) Total annual return is historical and assumes changes in net assets value, reinvestments of all dividends and distributions at prices obtained under the Company's dividend reinvestment plan, and no sales change for the period.
- (5) Ratios are annualized.
- (6) For the year ended September 30, 2012, excluding the management fee waiver, the ratio of net investment income, operating expenses, incentive fees, credit facility related expenses and total expenses to average net assets is 9.95%, 4.29%, 2.49%, 2.12% and 8.92%, respectively. For the year ended September 30, 2011, excluding the management fee waiver, the ratio of net investment income, operating expenses, incentive fees, credit facility related expenses and total expenses to average net assets is 5.74%, 3.44%, 0.48%, 0.11% and 4.03%, respectively.
- (7) Based on daily weighted average balance of debt outstanding during the period.
- (8) Asset coverage per unit is the ratio of the carrying value of our total consolidated assets, less all liabilities and indebtedness not represented by senior securities, to the aggregate amount of senior securities representing indebtedness. Asset coverage per unit is expressed in terms of dollar amounts per \$1,000 of indebtedness.
- (9) The Facilities and SBA debentures are not registered for public trading.

MEDLEY CAPITAL CORPORATION Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

Note 13. Dividends

Total investment income

Net realized and unrealized gain/(loss)

Net asset value per common share at year end

Net increase/(decrease) in members' equity/net assets resulting from

Net investment income

operations (2)

Earnings per share

Dividends and distributions to common stockholders are recorded on the ex-dividend date. The amount to be paid out as a dividend is determined by our board of directors.

We have adopted an "opt out" dividend reinvestment plan for our common stockholders. As a result, if we declare a cash dividend or other distribution, each stockholder that has not "opted out" of our dividend reinvestment plan will have its dividends automatically reinvested in additional shares of our common stock rather than receiving cash dividends. Stockholders who receive distributions in the form of shares of common stock will be subject to the same federal, state and local tax consequences as if they received cash distributions.

The following table summarizes the Company's dividend declarations and distributions during the years ended September 30, 2013 and 2012:

| | | | -) | | | _ , | | |
|--|------|------------|-----|------------|----|------------|-----|---------------|
| Date Declared | | | R | ecord Date | Pa | yment Date | Amo | ınt Per Share |
| For the year ended September 30, 2013 | | | | | | | | |
| 11/1/2012 | | | 1 | 1/23/2012 | 1 | 2/14/2012 | | 0.36 |
| 1/30/2013 | | | 2 | 2/27/2013 | 3 | 3/15/2013 | | 0.36 |
| 5/1/2013 | | | 5 | 5/24/2013 | (| 6/14/2013 | | 0.36 |
| 7/31/2013 | | | 8 | 3/23/2013 | 9 | 9/13/2013 | | 0.37 |
| | | | | | | | \$ | 1.45 |
| | | | _ | | _ | _ | | D 01 |
| Date Declared | | | R | ecord Date | Pa | yment Date | Amo | ınt Per Share |
| For the year ended September 30, 2012 | | | | | | | | |
| 11/29/2011 | | | | 2/15/2011 | | 2/30/2011 | | 0.25 |
| 2/2/2012 | | | | 2/24/2012 | | 3/15/2012 | | 0.28 |
| 5/2/2012 | | | | 5/25/2012 | | 6/15/2012 | | 0.31 |
| 8/2/2012 | | | 8 | 3/24/2012 | | 9/14/2012 | | 0.36 |
| | | | | | | | \$ | 1.20 |
| Note 14. Selected Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited) | | | | | | | | |
| Note 14. Selected Quarterly Financial Data (Chaudited) | | | | | | | | |
| | Sept | tember 30, | | June 30, | | March 31, | De | cember 31, |
| | - | 2013 | | 2013 | | 2013 | | 2012 |
| Total investment income | \$ | 27,473 | \$ | 23,591 | \$ | 20,207 | \$ | 17,719 |
| Net investment income | | 14,355 | | 12,030 | | 10,396 | | 9,617 |
| Net realized and unrealized gain/(loss) | | 770 | | (8,873) | | 1,127 | | (4) |
| Net increase/(decrease) in members' equity/net assets resulting from | | | | | | | | |
| operations ⁽²⁾ | | 15,125 | | 3,157 | | 11,523 | | 9,613 |
| Earnings per share | | 0.43 | | 0.10 | | 0.40 | | 0.39 |
| Net asset value per common share at year end | \$ | 12.70 | \$ | 12.65 | \$ | 12.73 | \$ | 12.69 |
| | Sept | tember 30, | | June 30, | | March 31, | De | cember 31, |

\$

2012

14,065

7,103

7,337

0.37

12.52

\$

234

2012

12,252

6,208

(1,368)

4,840

0.28

12.60

\$

2012

9,973

5,409

5,838

0.34

12.63

\$

429

2011

8,229

4,791

4,389

12.57

0.25

(402)

MEDLEY CAPITAL CORPORATION Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

| | Se _I | otember 30, 2011 | June 30, 2011 | March 31, 2011 | Γ | December 31, 2010 ⁽¹⁾ |
|--|-----------------|---------------------|------------------|-------------------|----|-------------------------------------|
| Total investment income | \$ | 6,891 | \$ 4,899 | \$ 2,779 | \$ | |
| Net investment income | | 4,427 | 3,555 | 1,743 | | (97) |
| Net realized and unrealized gain/(loss) | | (493) | 399 | _ | | _ |
| Net increase/(decrease) in members' equity/net assets resulting from | | | | | | |
| operations ⁽²⁾ | | 3,934 | 3,954 | 1,743 | | (97) |
| Earnings per share | | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.10 | | N/A |
| Net asset value per common share at year end | \$ | 12.57 | \$ 12.55 | \$ 12.48 | | N/A |

⁽¹⁾ The Company's common stock commenced trading on the New York Stock Exchange on January 20, 2011. There was no established public trading market for the stock prior to that date.

(2) Ending balance may not sum due to rounding.

Note 15. Subsequent Events

Management has evaluated subsequent events through the date of issuance of the consolidated financial statements included herein. There have been no subsequent events that occurred during such period that would require disclosure in this Form 10-K or would be required to be recognized in the Consolidated Financial Statements as of and for the year ended September 30, 2013, except as disclosed below.

On October 30, 2013, the Company's board of directors declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.37 per share payable on December 13, 2013, to stockholders of record at the close of business on November 22, 2013.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of September 30, 2013. The term "disclosure controls and procedures" is defined under Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"), as amended. Based on the evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of September 30, 2013, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of such date, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

(b) Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal controls over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act). Under the supervision of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, our management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting based on the criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on our management's evaluation under the framework in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework*, management concluded that our internal controls over financial reporting were effective as of September 30, 2013.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal controls over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

(c) Audit Report of the Registered Public Accounting Firm

Our internal controls over financial reporting as of September 30, 2013 have been audited by our independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst & Young LLP, as stated in its report titled "Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm" on page F-2.

(d) Changes in Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting

There has not been any change in our internal controls over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15 (f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information.

None.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The information required by Item 10 is hereby incorporated by reference from our definitive Proxy Statement relating to our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days following the end of our fiscal year.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information required by Item 11 is hereby incorporated by reference from our definitive Proxy Statement relating to our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days following the end of our fiscal year.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The information required by Item 12 is hereby incorporated by reference from our definitive Proxy Statement relating to our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days following the end of our fiscal year.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

The information required by Item 13 is hereby incorporated by reference from our definitive Proxy Statement relating to our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days following the end of our fiscal year.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The information required by Item 14 is hereby incorporated by reference from our definitive Proxy Statement relating to our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days following the end of our fiscal year.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

a. The following documents are filed as part of this Annual Report:

The following financial statements are set forth in Item 8:

| | Page |
|--|------|
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | F-1 |
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting | F-2 |
| Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities as of September 30, 2013 and 2012 | F-3 |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011 | F-4 |
| Consolidated Statements of Changes in Net Assets for the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011 | F-5 |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011 | F-6 |
| Consolidated Schedules of Investments as of September 30, 2013 and 2012 | F-7 |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | F-9 |

b. Exhibits:

The following exhibits are filed as part of this report or hereby incorporated by reference to exhibits previously filed with the SEC:

- 3.1 Certificate of Incorporation (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.A.3 to the Registrant's Pre-effective Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement on Form N-2, filed on November 22, 2010).
- 3.2 Form of Bylaws (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.B.3 to the Registrant's Pre-effective Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement on Form N-2, filed on November 22, 2010).
- 4.1 Form of Stock Certificate (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.D to the Registrant's Pre-effective Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement on Form N-2, filed on November 22, 2010.
- 4.2 Form of Indenture (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.D.2 to the Registrant's Pre-Effective Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 (File No. 333-179237), filed on February 13, 2012).
- 4.3 First Supplemental Indenture, dated March 21, 2012, between Medley Capital Corporation and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.D.4 to the Registrant's Post-Effective Amendment No. 2 to the Registrant Statement on Form N-2 (File No. 333-179237), filed on March 21, 2012).
- 4.4 Second Supplemental Indenture, dated March 13, 2013, between Medley Capital Corporation and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.D.4 to the Registrant's Post-Effective Amendment No. 7 to the Registrant Statement on Form N-2 (File No. 333-179237), filed on March 15, 2013).
- 10.1 Form of Investment Management Agreement between Registrant and MCC Advisors LLC (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.G to Registrant's Pre-Effective Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement on N-2, filed on June 9, 2010).
- 10.2 Form of Custody Agreement (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.J to the Registrant's Pre-effective Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement on Form N-2, filed on November 22, 2010).
- 10.3 Form of Administration Agreement (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.K to the Registrant's Pre-effective Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement on Form N-2, filed on June 9, 2010).
- 10.4 Form of Sub-Administration Agreement (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.K.4 to the Registrant's Pre-effective Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 (File No. 333-166491), filed on November 22, 2010).
- 10.5 Form of Trademark License Agreement (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.K.3 to the Registrant's Pre-effective Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement on Form N-2, filed on June 9, 2010).
- 10.6 Dividend Reinvestment Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.E to the Registrant's Pre-effective Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement on Form N-2, filed on November 22, 2010).
- 10.7 Senior Secured Revolving Credit Agreement among Medley Capital Corporation as borrower, the Lenders party thereto, and ING Capital LLC, as Administrative Agent, dated August 4, 2011 (Incorporated by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 9, 2011).
- 10.8 Guarantee, Pledge and Security Agreement among the Company, the Subsidiary Guarantors party thereto, ING Capital LLC, as Administrative Agent, each Financial Agent and Designated Indebtedness Holder party thereto and ING Capital LLC, as Collateral Agent, dated August 4, 2011 (Incorporated by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 9, 2011).
- 10.9 Amendment No. 1, dated as of August 31, 2012, to the Senior Secured Revolving Credit Agreement dated as of August 4, 2011, among Medley Capital Corporation as borrower, the Lenders party thereto, and ING Capital LLC, as Administrative Agent (Incorporated by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 6, 2012).
- 10.10 Amendment No. 2, dated as of December 7, 2012, to the Senior Secured Revolving Credit Agreement dated as of August 4, 2011, among Medley Capital Corporation as borrower, the Lenders party thereto, and ING Capital LLC, as Administrative Agent, as amended by

Amendment No. 1 to the Senior Secured Revolving Credit Agreement, dated as of August 31, 2012 (Incorporated by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 13, 2012).

- 10.11 Amendment No. 3, dated as of March 28, 2013, to the Senior Secured Revolving Credit Agreement dated as of August 4, 2011, among Medley Capital Corporation as borrower, the Lenders party thereto, and ING Capital LLC, as Administrative Agent, as amended by Amendment Nos. 1 and 2 to the Senior Secured Revolving Credit Agreement, dated as of August 31, 2012 and December 7, 2012, respectively (Incorporated by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 2, 2013).
- 10.12 Senior Secured Term Loan Credit Agreement, dated as of August 31, 2011, among Medley Capital Corporation as borrower, the Lenders party thereto, and ING Capital LLC, as Administrative Agent (Incorporated by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 6, 2012).
- 10.13 Amendment No. 1, dated as of December 7, 2012, to the Senior Secured Term Loan Credit Agreement dated as of August 31, 2011, among Medley Capital Corporation as borrower, the Lenders party thereto, and ING Capital LLC, as Administrative Agent (Incorporated by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 13, 2012).
- 10.14 Amendment No. 2, dated as of January 23, 2013, to the Senior Secured Term Loan Credit Agreement dated as of August 31, 2011, among Medley Capital Corporation as borrower, the Lenders party thereto, and ING Capital LLC, as Administrative Agent, as amended by Amendment No. 1 to the Senior Secured Term Loan Credit Agreement, dated as of January 23, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 23, 2013).
- 10.15 Amendment No. 3, dated as of March 28, 2013, to the Senior Secured Term Loan Credit Agreement, dated as of August 31, 2011, among Medley Capital Corporation as borrower, the Lenders party thereto, and ING Capital LLC, as Administrative Agent, as amended by Amendment Nos. 1 and 2 to the Senior Secured Term Loan Credit Agreement, dated as of December 7, 2012 and January 23, 2013, respectively (Incorporated by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 2, 2013).
- 10.16 Amendment No. 4, dated as of May 1, 2013, to the Senior Secured Revolving Credit Agreement, dated as of August 4, 2011, among Medley Capital Corporation as borrower, the Lenders party thereto, and ING Capital LLC, as Administrative Agent, as amended by Amendment Nos. 1, 2 and 3 to the Senior Secured Revolving Credit Agreement, dated as of August 31, 2012, December 7, 2012, March 28, 2013, respectively (Incorporated by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 7, 2013).
- 10.17 Amendment No. 4, dated as of May 1, 2013, to the Senior Secured Term Loan Credit Agreement, dated as of August 31, 2011, among Medley Capital Corporation as borrower, the Lenders party thereto, and ING Capital LLC, as Administrative Agent, as amended by Amendment Nos. 1, 2 and 3 to the Senior Secured Term Loan Credit Agreement, dated as of December 7, 2012, January 23, 2013, and March 28, 2013, respectively (Incorporated by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 7, 2013).
- 10.18 Incremental Assumption Agreement, dated as of February 10, 2012, made by Credit Suisse AG, Cayman Islands Branch, as Assuming Lender, relating to the Senior Secured Revolving Credit Agreement dated as of August 4, 2011, among Medley Capital Corporation, as Borrower, the Several Lenders and Agents from Time to Time Parties Thereto, and ING Capital LLC, as Administrative Agent and Collateral Agent (Incorporated by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 10, 2012).
- 10.19 Incremental Assumption Agreement dated as of March 30, 2012, made by Onewest Bank, FSB, as Assuming Lender, relating to the Senior Secured Revolving Credit Agreement dated as of August 4, 2011, among Medley Capital Corporation, as Borrower, the Several Lenders and Agents from Time to Time Parties Thereto, and ING Capital LLC, as Administrative Agent and Collateral Agent (Incorporated by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 4, 2012).
- 10.20 Incremental Assumption Agreement dated as of May 3, 2012, made by Doral Bank, as Assuming Lender, relating to the Senior Secured Revolving Credit Agreement dated as of August 4, 2011, among Medley Capital Corporation, as Borrower, the Several Lenders and Agents from Time to Time Parties Thereto, and ING Capital LLC, as Administrative Agent and Collateral Agent (Incorporated by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 3, 2012).
- 10.21 Incremental Assumption Agreement dated as of September 25, 2012, made by Stamford First Bank, a division of the Bank of New Canaan, as Assuming Lender, relating to the Senior Secured Revolving Credit Agreement dated as of August 4, 2011, as amended by Amendment No. 1, dated as of August 31, 2012, among Medley Capital Corporation, as Borrower, the Several Lenders and Agents from Time to Time Parties Thereto, and ING Capital LLC, as Administrative Agent and Collateral Agent (Incorporated by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 28, 2012).
- 14.1 Code of Business Conduct and Ethics of the Registrant (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 14.1 to the Registrant's 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2011, filed on August 4, 2011).
- 14.2 Code of Business Ethics of MCC Advisors (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.R.2 to the Registrant's Pre-effective Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement on Form N-2, filed on June 9, 2010).
- 21.1 List of Subsidiaries
- 24 Power of attorney (included on the signature page hereto)
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to section 906 of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this Annual Report on Form 10-K to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: December 10, 2013 Medley Capital Corporation

By /s/ Brook Taube

Brook Taube Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

By /s/ Richard T. Allorto, Jr.

Richard T. Allorto, Jr. Chief Financial Officer (Principal Accounting and Financial Officer)

In accordance with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the following capacities on December 10, 2013.

| /s/ Brook Taube | Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Brook Taube | Board of Directors (Principal Executive Officer) | | | |
| /s/ Richard T. Allorto, Jr. | Chief Financial Officer | | | |
| Richard T. Allorto, Jr. | (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer) | | | |
| /s/ Seth Taube Seth Taube | Director | | | |
| /s/ Andrew Fentress Andrew Fentress | Director | | | |
| /s/ Arthur S. Ainsberg Arthur S. Ainsberg | Director | | | |
| /s/ Karin Hirtler-Garvey Karin Hirtler-Garvey | Director | | | |
| /s/ John E. Mack John E. Mack | Director | | | |
| /s/ Richard A. Dorfman Richard A. Dorfman | Director | | | |

SUBSIDIARIES OF MEDLEY CAPITAL CORPORATION

| Name | Jurisdiction |
|-----------------------------|--------------|
| MOF I BDC LLC | Delaware |
| Medley SBIC LP | Delaware |
| MCC Investment Holdings LLC | Delaware |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Certification of Chief Executive Officer of Periodic Report Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a)

I, Brook Taube, certify that:

- 1) I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Medley Capital Corporation (the "Company");
- 2) Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3) Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Company as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4) The Company's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15 (e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the Company and have:
- a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Company, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
- b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
- c) evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
- d) disclosed in this report any change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company's most recent fiscal quarter (the Company's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5) The Company's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Company's auditors and the audit committee of the Company's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
- a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Company's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
- b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: December 10, 2013

/s/ Brook Taube
Brook Taube
Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

Certification of Chief Financial Officer of Periodic Report Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a)

I, Richard T. Allorto, Jr., certify that:

- 1) I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Medley Capital Corporation (the "Company");
- 2) Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3) Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Company as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4) The Company's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15 (e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the Company and have:
- a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Company, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
- b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
- c) evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
- d) disclosed in this report any change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company's most recent fiscal quarter (the Company's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5) The Company's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Company's auditors and the audit committee of the Company's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
- a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Company's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
- b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: December 10, 2013

/s/ Richard T. Allorto, Jr.

Richard T. Allorto, Jr.

Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Annual Report on Form 10-K of Medley Capital Corporation, (the "Company") for the annual period ended September 30, 2013, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), we, Brook Taube and Richard T. Allorto, Jr., Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, respectively, of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to our knowledge:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company as of the dates and for the periods expressed in the Report.

Dated: December 10, 2013

By /s/ Brook Taube
Brook Taube
Chief Executive Officer

By /s/ Richard T. Allorto, Jr.

y /s/ Richard T. Allorto, Jr.
Richard T. Allorto, Jr.
Chief Financial Officer